



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD
(An Institution of National Importance established by the Act of the Parliament of India)

Minutes of the Fifth (Special) Meeting of the Board of Governors of IIIT-Allahabad held on 06.06.2017 from 11.00 a.m. onwards in the Board Room of IIIT-Allahabad.

The Meeting was held in the Board Room of the Admin Block of IIIT-Allahabad. The members in attendance were as follows:

- | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1. Hon'ble Shri Ravi Kant
Indian Institute of Information Technology,
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 | - | Chairperson |
| 2. Shri Rakesh Ranjan, IAS
Joint Secretary
MHRD, New Delhi | - | Member |
| 3. Prof P. Nagabhushan
Director,
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad | - | Member |
| 4. Shri Sanjay Sharma
Representative of
The Principal Secretary, IT & Electronics Deptt,
Govt. of UP, Lucknow | - | Member |
| 5. Prof. Manindra Agrawal
Acting Director,
Representative of Director, IIT, Kanpur | - | Member |
| 6. Prof. U. S. Tiwary
Professor, Dept. of IT
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad | - | Member |
| 7. Shri O. P. Srivastava
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad | - | Secretary |

Leave of Absence:

- | | | |
|---|---|---------------|
| 1. Prof. Gautam Sinha
Director, IIM Kashipur, Uttarakhand | - | Member |
| 2. Dr. Ajay Kumar
Addl. Secretary,
Department of Electronics & IT, MCIT, New Delhi | - | Member |

The Chairman, BoG welcomed the members present in the meeting. The Chairman also welcomed Sh. Rakesh Ranjan, Joint Secretary, MHRD and Prof. Manindra Agrawal, Acting Director, IIT Kanpur who was representing the Director, IIT Kanpur for the present meeting. Prof. Gautam Sinha, Director, IIM, Kashipur, Dr. Ajay Kumar, Addl. Secretary, Department of Electronics and IT, MCIT, New Delhi and Prof. G. C. Nandi, Professor, Department of IT, IIIT-Allahabad could not attend the meeting owing to their preoccupations and were therefore granted leave of absence. After welcome address, the agenda items were taken up as follows:-

5.01 To approve the Minutes of the Fourth meeting of the Board of Governors of the Institute held on 23.05.2017.

While considering the Minutes of the Fourth meeting of the Board of Governors of the Institute held on 23.05.2017, the Board observed that certain words and sentences appear to have been inadvertently recorded in the circulated draft minutes of the Fourth meeting. Accordingly, the Board observed that the following inadvertent errors be corrected and the corresponding amendments as mentioned herein below be carried out:

I. Following para of Page 4 of the Minutes of the 4th Meeting (Item No. 4.03)

"The Board has also perused the resolution of item No 13,S-3 of 6th BoM meeting held on March 23,2013. Sincethe resolution of the BoM stipulates relaxation of few days/months required in teaching/research/industrial experience to certain candidates having outstanding merits in their academic performance to qualify for the interview, the Board opined that in the absence of exact days/months for relaxation in the said resolution, the relaxation can be considered to the extent of 10% of the total minimum experience required for a particular post. The members after having gone through the records mentioned above, deliberated all available facts and after considering the representations of the affected faculty members resolved as per the details mentioned in Annexure- 1(Page nos. 30 to 35)"

It was observed by the Board that the italicized portions of the above paragraph did not reflect the proceedings as had taken place in the 4th Meeting of the Board of Governors and the same were required to be corrected/modified. Accordingly, the aforementioned paragraph requires to be corrected.

RN

GA

Be corrected and replaced as:

“The Board has also perused the resolution of item No 13, S-3 of 6th BoM meeting held on March 23, 2013. The resolution of the BoM stipulates relaxation of few days/months required in teaching/research/industrial experience to certain candidates having outstanding merits in their academic performance to qualify for the interview. The members after having gone through the records mentioned above, deliberated all available facts and after considering the representations of the affected faculty members recorded the details as mentioned in **Annexure-1** (Page nos. 30 to 35)

II. Modifications to Annexure-1 to the minutes of the 4th Meeting of the Board of Governors dated 23.05.2017 (Item No. 4.03)

- a. The table as contained in **Annexure-1** to the minutes of the 4th Meeting of the Board of Governors dated 23.05.2017, inadvertently uses the heading “**Recommendation**” for last column of the said table. The Board observed that since the entire process of re-consideration of individual cases was an ongoing process until the final decision is taken, therefore, the heading “**Recommendation**” appears to have been inadvertently used while drawing the draft minutes and the same should be replaced with the heading “**Remarks**”.

The Board also clarified that since the entire process of re-consideration of individual cases was an ongoing process until the final decision is taken, therefore, there was no specific recommendations given by the Board. After directing the aforementioned corrections in the minutes of the 4th Meeting, the Board of Governors confirmed the minutes of the 4th Meeting. Further, Annexure No (s). 10-26 of the report of the Sub-Committee of BoG which was inadvertently left out for placement in 4th BoG was placed before the Board.

5.02 To review the Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Fourth meeting of the Board of Governors of the Institute.

The members reviewed the Action Taken Report presented by the Institute, noted the Action Taken on the Minutes of the Fourth Meeting of the Board of Governors of the Institute and further observed as follows:-

Sl. No.	Reference Agenda Item No. of the Minutes of the 4th BoG Meeting	Comments of the BoG
1.	4.03	Action taken report was approved

		alongwith the corrections as recorded herein above under 5.01
--	--	---

5.03 To consider the matters of affected faculty members in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment in SLP No. 13914-13926 of 2016 and other connected matters.

The Board perused the Agenda Item No. 5.03 and observed that the said notes on the above agenda item appear to reflect the same inadvertent error as was contained in the draft minutes of the 4th Meeting in the form of the use of the words "resolved as per details given in Annexure-1" which is required to be appropriately modified, corrected and read as "recorded as per details given in Annexure-1" as has been done hereinabove under 5.01.

Accordingly, before taking up Agenda Item No. 5.03 for further discussion, it was resolved that the notes under the said Agenda Item No. 5.03 be modified to reflect the accurate position i.e. as under:

"The Board while considering the matter of affected faculty members in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment in SLP No. 13914-13926 of 2016 and other connected matters in its fourth meeting held on 23.05.2017 has recorded as per details given in **Annexure-1 (Page Nos.30 to 35)** of the Minutes of the Fourth meeting of BoG."

Thereafter, the Chairman asked the Secretary to apprise the Board regarding this agenda item. The Board was accordingly apprised that:

- I. The Hon'ble Supreme Court *vide* judgment dated 24.03.2017 had *inter alia* directed as under:

"8. Since we intend to remit the matters to the Institute with a direction to start the process from the stage of the judgment of the High Court dated 11.12.2015, we do not propose to make any further observations in this regard. Accordingly, these appeals are disposed of as follows:

- A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all*

the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers.

- B.** *The decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Board Meetings, as far as the further course of action for implementation of the judgment dated 11.12.2015 is concerned, are wholly unwarranted and are set aside.*
- C.** *Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:*

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

- D.** *The appellant-Institute shall take a fresh decision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representations from the affected teachers.*

R

Shri

E. Since we have set aside the decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Board Meetings, the contempt petitions and writ petitions do not survive. Accordingly, those contempt petitions and writ petitions are struck off from the file of the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad."

- II. In compliance with the same, letters dated 31.03.2017 and emails dated 01.04.2017 were issued to the appointees concerned, serving a copy of the Status Report discussed in 8th BOM Meeting along with the decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting and copy of the judgment dated 24.03.2017, providing a period of two weeks to them for making a fresh representation. **(Annexure A-1, Page 39 to 159)**
- III. Thereafter, reminder letters dated 13.04.2017 and emails dated 14.04.2017 were issued to those individuals whose representations were awaited, to enable them for making a fresh representation in terms of the Judgment dated 24.03.2017 within the 2 weeks period. **(Annexure B-1, Page 160 to 198)**
- IV. In the 3rd meeting of the Board of Governors held on April 10, 2017 vide agenda item 3.03, it was decided that a Sub-Committee of the BoG be constituted to examine the representations received from the 17 individuals. Accordingly, the orders for constitution of Sub-committee of BoG to look into the matter of affected Faculty had been issued vide office order F. NO.IIIT-A/Sec. BOG/2017 dated 17th April, 2017 **(Annexure C-1, Page 199)**. However, subsequently since a letter dated 21st April, 2017 was received from the U.P. Government that Shri Sanjay Sharma, Chairman of the Sub-committee was unable to spare time due to his prior engagements, the Hon'ble Chairman of BoG had given his consent on 2nd May, 2017 to the rest of the members of the Sub-committee to go ahead without Shri Sharma and submit its report for each affected faculty member separately to the BoG. Accordingly, it was communicated to the rest of the Sub-committee members vide Office Order F No. IIIT-A/Sec. BoG (R)/2017 dated May 2nd, 2017. **(Annexure D-1, Page 200 to 203).**
- V. In the meanwhile, representations were received from all the 17 individuals between 11.04.2017 to 20.04.2017.
- VI. Thereafter, in compliance with the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court letters dated 04.05.2017 and email dated 05.05.2017 were sent



R

to the 17 individuals (**Annexure E-1, Page 204 to 255**), offering them an opportunity of hearing before the Sub-Committee on 12.05.2017 and 13.05.2017, on which dates 15 individuals appeared before the sub-Committee. For one individual, i.e. Dr. Abhishek Vaish, who was out of the country, it was offered to him to appear before the Sub-Committee in person or through skype on 12th May, 2017 at 5:00 P.M. or 13th May, 2017 at 4 P.M. Dr. Vaish vide his email dated 08th May, 2017 communicated to meet the Committee through Skype on 12th May, 2017. However, vide his email dated 12th May, 2017 he had shown his inability to avail the said opportunity due to his prior engagements and requested the Institute to consider his written response dated April 13, 2017 as the final response. (**Annexure F-1, Page 256 to 260**)

Further, another individual, i.e. Dr. Pravin Kumar, requested for one week more time due to non-availability of tickets. Accordingly, he was accommodated and offered an opportunity of hearing on 19.05.2017. (**Annexure G-1, Page 261 to 264**)

The faculty members were extensively heard by the committee members. The proceedings of hearing on 12.05.2017, 13.05.2017 and 19.05.2017 were duly audio-video recorded with the consent of the said individuals and the note sheets of facts recorded during opportunity of hearing by the Sub-Committee of the Board were duly signed by each candidate.

- VII. The Sub-Committee of the BoG, after detailed deliberations, prepared its report dated 22.05.2017. The said report of the Sub-committee (**Annexure H-1, Page 265 to 281**) & Annexures of the Report (Annexure-E of 4th Meeting of BoG, and Annexure No (s). 10-26 of the report of the Sub-Committee have been enclosed separately), were placed before the Board on the table in the 4th BoG Meeting held on 23.05.2017.
- VIII. The Board in the 4th BoG meeting held on 23.05.2017 had gone through the said report dated 22.05.2017 along with the annexures thereto as submitted by the Sub-committee. The Board had *inter alia* further seen the following records/documents in addition to aforesaid Annexure:
1. The judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad dated 11.12.2015 (Enclosed Separately)

2. The respective applications of the concerned faculty with respect to advertisement against 2013. (Enclosed Separately)
 3. The Complete Minutes of 8th BoM meeting held on Feb1,2014 (**Annexure I-1, Page 282 to 294**)
 4. The Complete Minutes of the 6th BoM meeting held on March 23, 2013 (**Annexure J-1, Page 295 to 308**)
 5. The Complete Minutes of the 7th BoM meeting held on July 20, 2013 (**Annexure K-1, Page 309 to 315**)
 6. The Chart prepared by the Institute on the basis of the application forms and with respect to information recorded by each affected faculty member on the day of hearing before the Sub-Committee of the BoG. (**Annexure L-1, Page 316 to 332**)
- IX. In light of the above, in the 4th Meeting of the Board of Governors dated 23.05.2017, the cases of all the 17 individuals were discussed and the Board was of the *prima facie* view that the information with respect of 6 cases required further verification and therefore, the Board under Item 4.03 resolved that the Director in consultation with Shri Praveer Saxena, may look at and review the documents pertaining to the said 6 cases and report to the Board in the next meeting regarding the same. In so far as the other 11 cases were concerned, the Board noted the factual position with respect to the said cases, for further consideration in the next Board meeting.
- X. Accordingly, the Director in consultation with Shri Praveer Saxena, prepared the report regarding the cases referred to under Agenda Item 4.03 of the 4th Meeting of the Board of Governors and same is being placed on the table before the Board during this meeting, for consideration of the said cases, along with all other cases. (**Annexure M-1, Page 333 to 338**)

Having been apprised of the above chronology of events, the Board was satisfied that the requirement of compliance with principles of natural justice as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its judgment dated 24.03.2017 had been duly adhered to. Thereafter, the Board commenced its deliberations on the matters at hand, and discussed the applicable conditions of the advertisement, Recruitment & Service Rules of IIT Allahabad and relevant previous resolutions of the erstwhile Board of Management.

The Board noted the following salient aspects of the **Advertisement of 2013**:



Minimum Qualification (for all faculty positions):

Ph.D. with first class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch, with a good academic record throughout. In addition, for

Professor: 10 years teaching/research/Industrial experience of which 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor and above.

Associate Professor : 8 years teaching/ research/ Industrial experience of which 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.

Assistant Professor : 3 years teaching/research/ Industrial experience.

Notes:

[1] Mere eligibility will not entitle any candidate for being called for an interview.

[3] The Institute reserves the right to withdraw any advertised post(s) at any time without assigning any reason.

[4] Minimum requirements of qualifications and/or experience can be relaxed in respect of exceptionally outstanding candidates as assessed by Selection Committee.

[5] Applicant not found suitable for higher applied position(s) may be considered for lower position(s) in the same area of specialization.

The Board also noted the Advertisement issued in the year 2011, the amended advertisement for the year 2011 and the advertisement issued in 2012, particularly in the context of the post of Professor, viz.:

2011

Professor: 9 years teaching / research / industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor / Associate Professor.

2011 (Amendment of the above advertisement)

Professor: 10 years teaching / research / industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Associate Professor.

2012

Professor: 10 years teaching / research / industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Associate Professor.

RN

Am...

The Board further noted the following salient aspects of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA qua Appointment to Faculty Positions:

Rule 7.0 Qualification for the Staff of the Institute

The qualifications for recruitment to various academic, technical and support staff posts of the institute shall be those prescribed by the Board as in IITs and as amended from time to time. However, the qualifications as prescribed by the Board for the posts sanctioned by the Government of India and approved by the Board are given in Schedule B.

Schedule B (See Rule 7)

Pay Scales, qualifications and experience of faculty members

S.N.	Post / Pay Scale *	Essential Qualifications	Experience and other conditions of Service
01.	Director Rs.25000/- (fixed)	An eminent educationist / scientist / technologist	
02.	Professor (Rs.18400-500-22400)	Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch /discipline with a very good academic record throughout.	Minimum 10 years Teaching/Research/Industrial experience of which at least 4 years should be at the level of Associate Professor in IITs, IISc. Bangalore, IIMs and NITIE Mumbai at an equivalent level in any such other Indian or foreign institutions / institutions of comparable standards.
03.	Associate Professor (Rs.16400-450-20000)	Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch /discipline with a very good academic record throughout.	Minimum 6 years Teaching/Research/Industrial experience of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent.

Rh

Phd.

04.	Assistant Professor (Rs.12000-420-18300)	Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch /discipline with a very good academic record throughout.	A minimum of three years teaching/research/professional experience, excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D. Candidate should have demonstrated research capabilities in terms of publications in reputed journals and conferences.
-----	---	--	---

Inserted in May, 2004

Faculty Associate (10000-325-15000)	M.Tech. or equivalent qualifications from reputed institutes. Candidates doing research will be preferred. Those selected will get an opportunity to do Ph.D. and every year there will be evaluation of performance. After three years and completion of Ph.D., they may be considered for the post of Assistant Professor.
--	--

General Conditions

...

[2] A mere fulfillment of required minimum qualifications and experience does not entitle a candidate to be called for an interview / discussion.

[3] The Institute reserves the right to fill or not to fill the posts advertised.

...

[8] Applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization.

The Board further noted that by Order dated 03.09.2006 bearing File No. IIIT-A/DIR/2252/2006, the position of Faculty Associate was re-designated as Lecturer with the same pay scale.(Annexure N-1, Page 339)

The Board further noted that subsequently the position of Lecturer was abolished and re-designated as Assistant Professor under the 6th Central Pay Commission. It was therefore noted that the experience in the position of Lecturer may be treated as equivalent to experience on the position of Assistant Professor.



R

The Board further noted that the erstwhile BoM in its 6th Meeting dated 23.03.2013 had under Agenda Item No. S-3 resolved as under:

“To consider and waive conditions of appointment in outstanding cases in the interest academics in the Institute

The Report of Scrutiny Committee of the applications received against recent advertisement was placed before the Members stating that certain candidates having outstanding merits in their academic performances however lack a few days / months required in teaching / research / industrial experience to qualify for the interview.

The Committee recommended that such candidates should also be called for Interview.

The matter was discussed at length by the Hon'ble Members of the Board. The Board agreed to the proposal and it was finally resolved that such conditions as may be waived by the Selection committee in the interest of academic advancement of the Institute may be allowed to be waived.”

The Board further observed that in the cases where there is any difference between the condition as laid down in the advertisement of 2013 as against Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, the same may be read harmoniously and the higher/more stringent of the two criteria may be followed, in the interest of maintaining academic standards in the Institute.

On a combined reading of the above, the Board was of the view that the following objective parameters may be considered in order to decide upon individual cases:

A. For the post of Professor

A candidate for the post of Professor must on the date of closing of applications have:

- a. Ph.D.;
- b. First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch;
- c. A good academic record throughout;

- d. In addition, the candidate must have 10 years teaching/research/industrial experience;
- e. Further, in keeping with the institutional practice of the previous years as per the previous advertisements, out of the total experience of 10 years, 5 years should be at the level of Associate Professor;
- f. Even as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, out of the total experience of 10 years, at least 4 years should be at the level of Associate Professor.

B. For the post of Associate Professor

A candidate for the post of Associate Professor must on the date of closing of applications have:

- a. Ph.D.;
- b. First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch;
- c. A good academic record throughout;
- d. In addition, the candidate must have 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience;
- e. Further, out of the total experience of 8 years, 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.

C. For the post of Assistant Professor

A candidate for the post of Assistant Professor must on the date of closing of applications have:

- a. Ph.D.;
- b. First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch;
- c. A good academic record throughout;
- d. In addition, the candidate must have 3 years teaching/research/industrial experience.

- e. Further, as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, for calculation of minimum experience, the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D. has to be excluded.

Observations of the Board on General Conditions under Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules and the Notes in the Advertisement of 2013

It was observed by the Board that on a holistic reading of the General Conditions under Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules and the Notes in the Advertisement of 2013, it appeared that applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization. Further, under Note 4 of the Advertisement of 2013, it appeared that minimum requirements of qualifications and/or experience can be relaxed in respect of exceptionally outstanding candidates as assessed by Selection Committee. However, it was observed by the Board that the said power can be exercised only in the manner provided therein read with the relevant resolution of the minutes of the 6th BOM Meeting dated 23.03.2013 reproduced hereinabove.

Having discussed the above, along with all the documents referred to hereinabove, the Board thereafter deliberated upon each case individually, with reference to the fact position of each case, representations made by the individual candidates and the documents pertaining thereto:-

CASE NO. 1

Name of Candidate	Dr. Anurika Vaish
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Professor in Management
Presently working as	Associate Professor in IIITA
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	10 years 10 months 1 day
Experience as Associate Professor as on 25.02.2013	3 years 6 months 19 days



Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IITA, for consideration for the post of Professor, a candidate must have minimum 4 years of Experience at the level of Associate Professor and a minimum total experience of 10 years. Further, it was observed by the Board that the Advertisement of 2013 wrongly provides for the minimum requirement to be 5 years at the level of Assistant Professor and above, contrary to the Recruitment and Service Rules of IITA as also the past institutional practice. It was observed by the Board, that even as per the advertisements issued in previous years 2011 and 2012, the requirement was a minimum experience of 5 years at the post of Associate Professor. In fact, it was noted that the advertisement for 2011 had to be modified in the said year, in order to correctly provide for the requirement of a minimum experience of 5 years at the post of Associate Professor.
- B. In view of the above, it was resolved that for the selection process under consideration, a candidate for the post of Professor must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. In addition, the candidate must have 10 years teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, in keeping with the institutional practice of the previous years, out of the total minimum experience of 10 years, at least 5 years should be at the level of Associate Professor. It was also noted that even as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IITA, out of the total minimum experience of 10 years, at least 4 years should be at the level of Associate Professor.
- C. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, the total experience of Dr. Anurika Vaish at the level of Associate Professor as on the closing date of Advertisement i.e. on 25th Feb, 2013, was 03 Years 6 Months 19 days. Thus, Dr. Anurika Vaish was short of the minimum experience of 5 years at the post of Associate Professor by 01 year 05 months and 11 days. Further, even as per the minimum requirement under Schedule B to the Recruitment and Service Rules of IITA, Dr. Anurika Vaish was short of the minimum experience of 4 years at the post of Associate Professor by 05 months and 11 days.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Anurika Vaish, the Board was of the opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Anurika Vaish as a Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 was contrary to the Rule position in vogue on the said date. She did not have the requisite minimum experience on the post of Associate Professor as on 25.02.2013.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Anurika Vaish to the post of Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, being contrary to the rules in vogue, deserves to be cancelled as being null and void.

CASE NO. 2

Name of Candidate	Dr. Vrijendra Singh
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in Management
Presently working as	Working as Associate Professor in IT in IIITA w.e.f. 09.11.2015 after the candidate applied against a fresh advertisement in the year 2015.
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	10 years 9 months 30 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	7 years 8 months 16 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years



teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.

- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess the requisite minimum total experience of 8 years and minimum experience of 3 years at the post of Assistant Professor. However, it was further observed by the Board that as regards the minimum qualification of the candidate possessing First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch, Dr. Vrijendra Singh had completed his First Class Degree of M.Sc. in Physics with specialization in Computer Science.
- C. It was observed by the Board that the aforesaid Degree of M.Sc. in Physics with specialization in Computer Science was not in keeping with the requirement of the previous degree being in the appropriate branch or discipline. In this instance the candidate had been **shortlisted** for the post of **Associate Professor in IT**, however, later appointed as **Associate Professor in Management**, which was contrary to the requirement of the previous degree being in the appropriate branch or discipline.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Vrijendra Singh, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Vrijendra Singh as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 was contrary to the Rule position in vogue on the said date. As aforementioned, his previous degree was not in the appropriate branch required for being appointed on the post of Associate Professor in Management.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Vrijendra Singh to the post of Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, being contrary to the rules in vogue, deserved to be cancelled as being null and void.

CASE NO. 3

Name of Candidate	Dr. Pavan Chakraborty
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in IT
Presently working as	Working as Associate Professor in IIITA w.e.f.

	09.11.2015 after the candidate applied against a fresh advertisement in the year 2015.
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	11 years 28 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	8 years 9 months 29 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013, for being appointed as an Associate Professor in IT on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Pavan Chakraborty, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Pavan Chakraborty as an Associate Professor in IT on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Pavan Chakraborty to the post of Associate Professor in IT on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 4

Name of Candidate	Dr. Pravin Kumar
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in Management
Presently working at	Delhi College of Engineering
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	12 years 6 months 16 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	12 years 6 months 16 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013 for being appointed as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Pravin Kumar, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Pravin Kumar as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.



Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Pravin Kumar to the post of Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 5

Name of Candidate	Dr. Ranjit Singh
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in Management
Presently working at	Assam University
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	9 years 1 month 29 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	4 years 3 months 8 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013 for being appointed as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Ranjit Singh, the Board was of the

RS

314

opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Ranjit Singh as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Ranjit Singh to the post of Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 6

Name of Candidate	Dr. Abhishek Vaish
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in MSCLIS
Presently working as	Working as Assistant Professor in IIITA and now presently posted as Scientific Attaché in the Embassy of India, Moscow on long leave w.e.f 23.11.15 to 22.11.18
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	6 years 9 months 12 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	5 years 9 months 16 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the total experience of Dr. Abhishek Vaish as on the closing

date of Advertisement i.e. on 25th Feb, 2013, was 6 Years 9 Months 12 days. Thus, Dr. Abhishek Vaish was short of the minimum experience of 8 years by 01 year 02 months and 18 days.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Abhishek Vaish, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Abhishek Vaish as an Associate Professor in MSCLIS on 06.04.2013 was contrary to the Rule position in vogue on the said date. As aforementioned, his total experience was short of the minimum experience of 8 years by 01 year 02 months and 18 days for being appointed on the post of Associate Professor in MSCLIS.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Abhishek Vaish to the post of Associate Professor in MSCLIS on 06.04.2013, being contrary to the rules in vogue, deserved to be cancelled as being null and void.

CASE NO. 7

Name of Candidate	Dr. Vijaishri Tewari
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in Management
Presently working as	Assistant Professor In IIITA
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	9 years 6 month 4 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	7 years 9 months 1 day

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or

equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.

- B.** In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013 for being appointed as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Vijaishri Tewari, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Vijaishri Tewari as an Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Vijaishri Tewari to the post of Associate Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 8

Name of Candidate	Dr. Sanjai Singh
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in Electronics
Presently working as	Assistant Professor in IIITA
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	8 years 4 month 27 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	5 years 11 months 9 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013 for being appointed as an Associate Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Sanjai Singh, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Sanjai Singh as an Associate Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Sanjai Singh to the post of Associate Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 9

Name of Candidate	Dr. Manish Goswami
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor in Electronics
Presently working as	Assistant Professor in IIITA

Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	11 years 11 months 1 day
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	10 years 7 months 20 days

Discussion:

- A.** The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- B.** In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013 for being appointed as an Associate Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Manish Goswami, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Manish Goswami as an Associate Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Manish Goswami to the post of Associate Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 10

Name of Candidate	Dr. Sonali Agarwal
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Associate Professor (applied for)
Presently working as	Assistant Professor in IIITA
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013	9 years 7 months 15 days
Experience as Assistant Professor as on 25.02.2013	9 years 7 months 15 days

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Associate Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience, of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor
- B. In the present case, however, it was observed by the Board that the selection committee in its wisdom had not promoted/selected her for Associate Professor. Further, the Selection Committee had thought it fit to give her two increments over and above her basic pay as on 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Sonali Agarwal, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant two increments over and above her basic pay as on 06.04.2013 may be maintained and treated as accepted.

CASE NO. 11

Name of Candidate	Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor in IT
Presently working as	Working as Assistant Professor in IIITA w.e.f. 10.11.2015 (A/N) after the candidate applied against a fresh advertisement in the year 2015.
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	3 years 6 month 4 days
Period of Ph. D.	2004 to 10.08.2009
Date of defence of Ph.D.	10.08.2009

Discussion:

A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Assistant Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.

B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate appeared to possess each of the aforementioned qualifications as on 25.02.2013 for being appointed as an Assistant Professor in IT on 06.04.2013.



Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh as an Assistant Professor in IT on 06.04.2013 was according to the Rule position in vogue on the said date.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh to the post of Assistant Professor in IT on 06.04.2013, being in accordance with the rules in vogue, deserved to be maintained and the decision of the Selection Committee is accepted.

CASE NO. 12

Name of Candidate	Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor in Electronics
Presently working as	Deemed to be working as Assistant Professor in IIITA and has been permitted to rejoin service on 27.03.2017 in terms of the judgment dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	6 years 5 months 18 days
Period of Ph.D.	08.03.2011 to 07.03.2014
Date of defence of Ph.D.	07.03.2014

Discussion:

A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the



requirement for the post of Assistant Professor was that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.

- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate did not have the requisite qualification of having Ph.D. as on 25.02.2013 and was therefore not eligible to be appointed as an Assistant Professor in Electronics as on 06.04.2013. It was further observed by the Board that the candidate defended his Ph.D. thesis only on 07.03.2014, whereafter the candidate has been awarded a Ph.D.
- C. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, and in view of the discretion available with the Board to grant a post with lesser AGP than the one applied for in view of the General Condition No. 8 of the Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA read with the Note 5 of the Advertisement of 2013 which in effect provide that *Applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization*, it was observed by the Board that it would be just and proper to place the said candidate from 06.04.2013 to 06.03.2014 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-. Thereafter, from the date of defence of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 07.03.2014 the said candidate may be treated as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh as an Assistant Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013 required to be modified in the following manner:

- i. From 06.04.2013 to 06.03.2014 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-.



- ii. Thereafter, from the date of defence of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 07.03.2014 as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh to the post of Assistant Professor in Electronics on 06.04.2013, be modified by placing Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh from 06.04.2013 to 06.03.2014 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-, whereafter, from the date of award of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 07.03.2014 he may be treated as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

CASE NO. 13

Name of Candidate	Dr. Shashi Kant Rai
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor in Management
Presently working as	Deemed to be working as Assistant Professor in IIITA and reported on 27.03.2017 in terms of the judgment dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	8 months 4 days
Period of Ph.D.	08.03.2011 to 29.06.2013
Date of defence of Ph.D.	29.06.2013

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Assistant Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of

teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.

- B.** In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate did not have the requisite qualification of having Ph.D. as on 25.02.2013 and was therefore not eligible to be appointed as an Assistant Professor in Management as on 06.04.2013. It was further observed by the Board that the candidate defended his Ph.D. thesis only on 29.06.2013, whereafter the candidate has been awarded a Ph.D.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, and in view of the discretion available with the Board to grant a post with lesser AGP than the one applied for in view of the General Condition No. 8 of the Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA read with the Note 5 of the Advertisement of 2013, which in effect provide that *Applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization*, it was observed by the Board that it would be just and proper to place the said candidate from 06.04.2013 to 28.06.2013 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-. Thereafter, from the date of award of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 29.06.2013 the said candidate may be treated as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Shashi Kant Rai, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Shashi Kant Rai as an Assistant Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 required to be modified in the following manner:

- i. From 06.04.2013 to 28.06.2013 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-.
- ii. Thereafter, from the date of defence of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 29.06.2013 as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Shashi Kant Rai to the post of Assistant Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, be modified by placing Dr. Shashi Kant Rai from 06.04.2013 to 28.06.2013 at the



post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-, whereafter, from the date of defence of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 29.06.2013 he may be treated as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

CASE NO. 14

Name of Candidate	Dr. Saurabh Mishra
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor in Management
Presently working as	Deemed to be working as Assistant Professor in IIITA and reported on 27.03.2017 for rejoining in terms of the judgment dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	4 years 9 months 16 days
Period of Ph.D.	08.03.2011 to 24.06.2013
Date of defence of Ph.D.	24.06.2013

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Assistant Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D. with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate did not have the requisite qualification of having

R

Ph.D.

Ph.D. as on 25.02.2013 and was therefore not eligible to be appointed as an Assistant Professor in Management as on 06.04.2013. It was further observed by the Board that the candidate defended his Ph.D. thesis only on 24.06.2013, whereafter the candidate has been awarded a Ph.D.

- C. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, and in view of the discretion available with the Board to grant a post with lesser AGP than the one applied for in view of the General Condition No. 8 of the Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA read with the Note 5 of the Advertisement of 2013, which in effect provide that *Applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization*, it was observed by the Board that it would be just and proper to place the said candidate from 06.04.2013 to 23.06.2013 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-. Thereafter, from the date of defence of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 24.06.2013 the said candidate may be treated as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Saurabh Mishra, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Saurabh Mishra as an Assistant Professor in Management on 06.04.2013 required to be modified in the following manner:

- i. From 06.04.2013 to 23.06.2013 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-.
- ii. Thereafter, from the date of award of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 24.06.2013 as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Saurabh Mishra to the post of Assistant Professor in Management on 06.04.2013, be modified by placing Dr. Saurabh Mishra from 06.04.2013 to 23.06.2013 at the post of Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000/-, whereafter, from the date of defence of his Ph.D. thesis, i.e. from 24.06.2013 he may be treated as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/-.



CASE NO. 15

Name of Candidate	Dr. Triloki Pant
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor in IT
Presently working at	Doon University, Dehradun
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	2 years 3 months 24 days
Period of Ph.D.	25.07.2006 to 01.11.2011
Date of defence of Ph.D.	01.11.2011

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Assistant Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D., with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.
- B. In the present case, as mentioned hereinabove, it was observed by the Board that the candidate did not have the requisite qualification of having minimum total experience of 3 years, since he was short of the said 3 years mark by 08 months 6 days.
- C. However, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, and in view of the discretion available with the Board to grant a post with lesser AGP than the one applied for in view of the General Condition No. 8 of the Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA read with the Note 5 of the Advertisement of 2013, which in effect provide that *Applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but*



not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization, it was observed by the Board that it would be just and proper to place the said candidate at Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/- from 06.04.2013.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the presentation made by Dr. Triloki Pant, the Board was of the opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to grant appointment to Dr. Triloki Pant as an Assistant Professor in IT on 06.04.2013 required to be modified place him as Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/- w.e.f. 06.04.2013.

Therefore, it was resolved by the Board, that the appointment of Dr. Triloki Pant to the post of Assistant Professor in IT on 06.04.2013, be modified by placing Dr. Triloki Pant as an Assistant Professor on the pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 7000/- w.e.f. 06.04.2013.

CASE NO. 16

Name of Candidate	Dr. Ranjana Vyas
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor (applied for)
Presently working as	Assistant Professor on contract in IIITA on a consolidated honorarium of Rs. 60,000 p.m. w.e.f. 27.03.2014
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	5 years 7 months 9 days
Period of Ph.D.	2004 to 2009
Date of defence of Ph.D.	2009

Discussion:

A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the

Ra

File

requirement for the post of Assistant Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D., with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.

- B.** In the present case, however, it was observed by the Board that the selection committee in its wisdom had appointed Dr. Ranjana Vyas for Communication Skills on contract basis on Assistant Professor emoluments.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Ranjana Vyas, the Board was of the Opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to appoint Dr. Ranjana Vyas on contract basis on Assistant Professor emoluments may be maintained and treated as accepted.

CASE NO. 17

Name of Candidate	Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari
Post for which Appointment is in Issue	Assistant Professor (applied for)
Presently working at	ECC Allahabad
Total Experience as on 25.02.2013 (excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.)	9 Months 13 Days
Period of Ph.D.	2008 to 12.05.2012
Date of defence of Ph.D.	12.05.2012

Discussion:

- A. The Board observed that as per Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA, as well as the Advertisement of 2013, the requirement for the post of Assistant Professor is that the candidate must on the date of closing of applications have Ph.D., with First class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with a good academic record throughout. It was further observed by the Board that as per the advertisement, on the date of closing of applications, the candidate must have minimum 3 years of teaching/research/industrial experience. Further, the Board observed that in terms of Schedule B of the Recruitment and Service Rules of IIITA the said minimum 3 years of experience must be calculated excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D.
- B. In the present case, however, it was observed by the Board that the Selection Committee in its wisdom had appointed Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari on contract for one year with Rs. 40000/- fixed pm.

Decision

In light of the above, after fresh consideration of the entire material on record, along with the representation made by Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari, the Board was of the opinion that the decision of the Selection Committee to appoint Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari on contract for one year with Rs. 40000/- fixed pm may be maintained and treated as accepted.

The Board further resolved that in light of the decisions taken on each individual case, as detailed hereinabove, necessary orders communicating the decision of the board to each individual candidate may be issued and that letter to the said effect be issued by the Director or any officer authorized by him communicating the same. The Board also directed that the Director will take necessary administrative actions for implementation of the aforesaid decision of the Board.

The Board further resolved that consequential administrative steps, as may be necessary to be undertaken may also be directed to be carried out and that the Director or on his behalf any authorized officer on his direction may issue appropriate administrative orders regarding the same, in furtherance of the decisions taken by the Board hereinabove, for the case of each individual, in accordance with law.



5.04 Any other matter, with the permission of the Chair.

The Board had received the information that the Convocation of IIIT-A would be held on 09.09.2017, so it was resolved that the next meeting of the Board will be held on 08.09.2017 (A.N.). Board also authorized the Chairman of BoG to decide upon the choice of dignitaries to be invited for the Convocation.


O.P. Srivastava
Secretary, BOG, IIIT-A


P. Nagabhushan
Director, IIIT-A


Ravi Kant
Chairman, BOG, IIIT-A



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iitaa.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iitaa.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 962 /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,
Dr. Anurika Vaish,
506/A, Mumfordganj, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 9415235172
Email: anurika@iitaa.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Anurika Vaish,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *Inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. he paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211011 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/968 /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,
Dr. Vrijendra Singh,
E-02, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 7376581984
Email: vrij@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as **Annexure -A**. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

Handwritten signature

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 965 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Pavan Chakraborty,
E-09, IIIT-A,
Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 9415014636
Email: pavan@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Pavan Chakraborty,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to

deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:


"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 963 /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Pravin Kumar,
S/o Sri Shiva Nandan Singh
Vill./Post-Dina Chakla, Distrci-Khagaria
Bihar-851212
Mobile: 9818273764
Email: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Pravin Kumar,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:


"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. he paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iitaa.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iitaa.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 964 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Ranjit Singh,
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration
Assam University, Silchar
Assam - 788011
Mobile: 9435735868
Email: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Ranjit Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament

Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 972 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Abhishek Vaish,
506/A, Mumfordganj,
Allahabad-211002
Mobile: 9795108833
Email: abhishek@iita.ac.in, snt.moscow@mea.gov.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Abhishek Vaish,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to

Handwritten signature

deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iitaa.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iitaa.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 966 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Vijaishri Tiwari,
2, Elgin Road, Civil Lines,
Allahabad, U.P.
Email: vijayshri@iitaa.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Vijaishri Tiwari,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

Handwritten signature

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (F & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iitaa.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iitaa.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 969 /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,
Dr. Sanjai Singh,
RGIIT, Tikarmafi, Amethi
Mobile: 9450042186
Email: ssingh@iitaa.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Sanjai Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 967 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Manish Goswami,
D-15, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 9792947813
Email: manishgoswami@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Manish Goswami,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at **Annexure -B**

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/१७७ /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,
Dr. Sonali Agarwal,
D-26, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 9415647042
Email: sonali @iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Sonali Agarwal,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to

deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ १७१ /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,
Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh,
D-8, IIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211012
Mobile: 99189999926
Email: kpsingh@iita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/925/2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,
54-A, Lowther Road, Near Maharani Motors,
George Town, Allahabad-211002
Mobile: 9450611928
Email: ashutosh.munit1@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as **Annexure -A**. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to

deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post, be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 974 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,
B1/45, Sector-D1, LDA Colony, Kanpur Road
Lucknow
Mobile: 9454555535
Email: shashikant.raii@gmail.com, drshashikant.iiita@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to

deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:


"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post, be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iitaa.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iitaa.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 973 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Saurabh Mishra,
43 A, Tagore Town, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 8005199535
Email: saurabh135@rediffmail.com, saurabhmishraiitaa2012@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:


"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/976 /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Triloki Pant,

KK Pharmacy, Takana Line, Pithoragarh

Uttarakhand - 262501

Email: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, trylucky@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Triloki Pant,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament

Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ ९७० /2017

Date: 31st March, 2017

To,

Dr. Ranjana Vyas,
E-11, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 8577955160
Email: ranjana@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Ranjana Vyas,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to

deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIT-A ~ As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211015 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 978 /2017
Date: 31st March, 2017

To,
Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,
LIG No. 1, Kalindipuram, Allahabad- 211011
Mobile: 9450624256
Email: lokendra.kt@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In

this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

①

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946669641

Counter No:2, OP-Code:

To:ASHISHEK VAISH,

ALLAHABAD KUTCHERY, PIN:211002

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov

②

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946669551

Counter No:2, OP-Code:

To:VRIJENDRA SINGH,

SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:23

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.i

③

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU594666814

Counter No:2, OP-Code:

To:SK RAI,

L.D.A.COLONY, PIN:226012

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:46.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:

Taxes:Rs.6.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov

④

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU594666805

Counter No:2, OP-Code:

To:SANJAI SINGH,

AMETHI, PIN:227405

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams

Amt:40.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:24

Taxes:Rs.5.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>

⑤

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946668931N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:PAVAN CHAKRABORTY,

SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:25

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

⑥

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946668591N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:PRAVIN KUMAR,

KHAGARIA, PIN:851204

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:46.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:25

⑦

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946668621N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:SAURABH MISHRA,

ALLAHABAD KUTCHERY, PIN:211002

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:25

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

⑧

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946668761N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:RANJANA VYAS,

SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:26

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

⑨

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946668801N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:RANJIT SINGH,

ASSAM UNIVERSITY, PIN:788011

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:69.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:26

Taxes:Rs.9.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

⑩

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946669021N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:MANISH GOSWAMI,

SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:26

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

⑪

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>

EU5946669161N

Counter No:2, OP-Code:1523

To:LK TIWARI,

SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015

From:IIIT, ALD

Wt:200grams,

Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:27

Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

12

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>
EU5946669201
Counter No:2, OP-Code:
To:SONALI AGRAWAL,
SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015
From:IIIT, ALD
Wt:200grams,
Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:27
Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

13

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>
EU5946669331
Counter No:2, OP-Code:
To:ANURIKA VAISH,
ALLAHABAD KUTCHERY, PIN:211002
From:IIIT, ALD
Wt:200grams,
Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:27
Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

14

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>
EU5946669471
Counter No:2, OP-Code:
To:AK SINGH,
ALLAHABAD KUTCHERY, PIN:211002
From:IIIT, ALD
Wt:200grams,
Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:27
Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

15

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>
EU5946668281
Counter No:2, OP-Code:
To:KP SINGH,
SUBEDARGANJ, PIN:211015
From:IIIT, ALD
Wt:200grams,
Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:27
Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

16

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>
EU594666831
Counter No:2, OP-Code:
To:VIJAISHRI TIWARI,
ALLAHABAD, PIN:211001
From:IIIT, ALD
Wt:200grams,
Amt:29.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:28
Taxes:Rs.4.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>

17

SP ALLAHABAD RMS <211001>
EU5946669781
Counter No:2, OP-Code:15236
To:TRILOKI PANT,
PITHORAGARH, PIN:26250
From:IIIT, ALD
Wt:200grams,
Amt:46.00 (LFee:Rs.0.00), 01/04/2017 17:28
Taxes:Rs.6.00<<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>>



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:51 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Anurika Vaish <anurika@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Anurika Vaish,
506/A, Mumfordganj, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 9415235172
Email: anurika@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Anurika Vaish,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

75

Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **anurika_vaish.pdf**
186K

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:32 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Vrijendra Singh <vrij@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

**Dr. Vrijendra Singh,
E-02, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 7376581984
Email: vrij@iiita.ac.in**

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at **Annexure -B**

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **Vrijendra_singh.pdf**
164K

IIIT ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:38 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Pavan Chakraborty <pavan@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Pavan Chakraborty,
E-09, IIIT-A,
Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 9415014636
Email: pavan@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Pavan Chakraborty,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, HIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **pavan_chakraborty.pdf**
170K

ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 201

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:47 PM

To: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Pravin Kumar,
S/o Sri Shiva Nandan Singh
Vill./Post-Dina Chakla, Distrci-Khagaria
Bihar-851212
Mobile: 9818273764
Email: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Pravin Kumar,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).

3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **pravin_kumar.pdf**
197K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:36 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

**Dr. Ranjit Singh,
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration
Assam University, Silchar
Assam - 788011
Mobile: 9435735868**

Email: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Ranjit Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).

3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf
1956K

 ranjit_singh.pdf
166K

ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:29 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: abhishek@iita.ac.in, snt.moscow@mea.gov.in

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)"
<jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Abhishek Vaish,

506/A, Mumfordganj,

Allahabad-211002

Mobile: 9795108833

Email: abhishek@iita.ac.in, snt.moscow@mea.gov.in

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Abhishek Vaish,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A-- As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments



abhishek_vaish.pdf

166K



Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf

1956K

ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:40 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: Vijayshri Tiwari <vijayshri@iita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)"
<jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

**Dr. Vijaishri Tiwari,
2, Elgin Road, Civil Lines,
Allahabad, U.P.
Email: vijayshri@iita.ac.in**

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Vijaishri Tiwari,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **vijay_shri_tiwari.pdf**
170K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:31 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: Sanjai Singh <ssingh@iita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Sanjai Singh,
RGIIT, Tikarmafi, Amethi
Mobile: 9450042186
Email: ssingh@iita.ac.in

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Sanjai Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at **Annexure -B**

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

- 1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)**
- 2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).**
- 3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).**

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **sanjai_singh.pdf**
165K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:41 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: Manish Goswami <manishgoswami@iita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Manish Goswami,

D-15, IIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad

Mobile: 9792947813

Email: manishgoswami@iita.ac.in

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Manish Goswami,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as **Annexure -A**. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf
1956K

 manish_goswami.pdf
164K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:27 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Sonali Agarwal <sonali@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)"
<jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

**Dr. Sonali Agarwal,
D-26, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 9415647042
Email: sonali @iiita.ac.in**

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Sonali Agarwal,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **sonali_agarwal.pdf**
159K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 3:11 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: "K. P. Singh" <kpsingh@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

**Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh,
D-8, IIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211012
Mobile: 99189999926
Email: kpsingh@iiita.ac.in**

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at **Annexure -B**

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **kp_singh.pdf**
189K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:19 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,
54-A, Lowther Road, Near Maharani Motors,
George Town, Allahabd-211002
Mobile: 9450611928
Email: ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

107

Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

"C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees.

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant."

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **ashutosh_singh.pdf**
162K

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:24 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: shashikant.ra1@gmail.com, drshashikant.iita@gmail.com

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

B1/45, Sector-D1, LDA Colony, Kanpur Road

Lucknow

Mobile: 9454555535

Email: shashikant.ra1@gmail.com, drshashikant.iita@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **sk_rai.pdf**
181K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:21 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

To: saurabh135@rediffmail.com, saurabhmishraiita2012@gmail.com

Cc: Director IITA <director@iita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

43 A, Tagore Town, Allahabad- 211002

Mobile: 8005199535

Email: saurabh135@rediffmail.com, saurabhmishraiita2012@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at **Annexure -B**

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

- 1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)**
- 2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).**
- 3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).**

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **saurabh_mishra.pdf**
180K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:16 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, trylukky@gmail.com

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Triloki Pant,

KK Pharmacy, Takana Line, Pithoragarh

Uttarakhand - 262501

Email: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, trylukky@gmail.com

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Triloki Pant,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as **Annexure -A**. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. He paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **triloki_pant.pdf**
182K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:34 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Ranjana Vyas <ranjana@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Ranjana Vyas,
E-11, IIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 8577955160
Email: ranjana@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017

Dear Dr. Ranjana Vyas,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board

ivlecting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **Ranjana_Vyas.pdf**
193K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

**Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated:
24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C)
Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP
(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 2:49 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: lokendra.kt@gmail.com

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)"
<jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

**Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,
LIG No. 1, Kalindipuram, Allahabad- 211011
Mobile: 9450624256
Email: lokendra.kt@gmail.com**

**Sub: Compliance of the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated: 24.03.2017 in
CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos.
4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017**

Dear Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,

The present letter is being issued in furtherance of and in compliance with the captioned judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 24.03.2017 wherein *inter alia*, the following directions have been issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under para 8:-

"A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers."

In compliance to the above direction contained in sub-para A of the para 8 of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, a copy of the Status Report alongwith decision taken in 8th Board Meeting are being sent to you, which are enclosed herewith as Annexure -A. Accordingly, you are

requested to furnish your fresh representation within two weeks of the receipt of this letter.

2. Further vide sub-para C of para 8 of the aforesaid judgment the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. be paid their salary. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

Therefore, if you are entitled to the benefit of the declaration as made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above quoted paragraph, then, you are requested to furnish a duly notarized/attested affidavit on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/- as per enclosed proforma at Annexure -B

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure:

1. Judgement dated 24.03.2017 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. (Page 1-12)
2. Status Report and decision taken in 8th BOM Meeting (Annexure-A, Page No. 13-34).
3. Proforma Affidavit (Annexure-B, Page 35).

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A, -- As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Joint Registrar (Admin & CDN)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

2 attachments

 **Enclosures_Compliance_letters.pdf**
1956K

 **lokendra_tiwari.pdf**
196K

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

001

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4406-4418 OF 2017
(Arising out of S.L.P.(C) Nos. 13914-13926 of 2016)

THE INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY, DEOGHAT JHALWA
ALLAHABAD AND ANOTHER, ETC. ... APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

DR. ANURIKA VAISH AND OTHERS, ETC. ... RESPONDENT (S)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4419-4420 OF 2017
(Arising out of S.L.P.(C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017)

J U D G M E N T

KURIAN, J.:

Leave granted.

2. Certain appointments to the post of Professor, Associate Professor and Assistant Professor made in various divisions of the appellant-Institute, pursuant to advertisement dated 30.01.2013, were subsequently cancelled by the Board of Management ("Board"). The selection was held on 06.04.2013 and the incumbents were appointed accordingly. The Board, in

Validity of Decisions
Digitized by
DATE 10/01/2018
Time 20:11:03
10.11.2018
11:00:00

its Eighth Meeting, as Item No.16, took a decision to cancel the appointments. The relevant consideration reads as follows:

"ITEM NO.16

To consider the Status Report on Selection of Academic Staff done on April 6, 2013.

The Board considered the Status Report on the selection of the Academic Staff and deliberated upon it at length. In the context, in addition to the minutes as at Item No.1 of this meeting, it was apprised by some Board members that the advertisement brought out by IIITA for these selections was NOT as per norms. Also for some of the appointments, eligibility criteria were unduly relaxed, taking incorrect pretext of the earlier BOM resolutions.

The Board also noticed that through a GO issued vide F.No.3.11014/11/Q4-CDN dated 19th July, 2004, MHRD had advised heads of all autonomous Bodies prohibiting all the retiring Directors, for any action to make selections / promotions two - three months before the expiry of their term or retirement, as the case may be. Further, the erstwhile director's term expired on 26th December, 2012 and he was on six months extension in April 2013. Therefore, in April 2013, he was neither competent nor authorised to call for any selections.

When these facts became known to the Board in this meeting, it became clear that the entire process of selection / appointment and other recommendations mentioned by the selection committee therein, was wrong *ab initio*.

Accordingly, the BoM in this Meeting recommended, in supersession to the earlier decisions of the Board in this matter, that all selections / appointments done on April 6th, 2013 are CANCELLED. ..."

3. Based on the above-said decision, the teachers were terminated from service. They challenged the same before the High Court in Writ Petition No.22558 of 2014 and connected cases. Those cases were disposed of by judgment dated 11.12.2015. Though the High Court has gone into the various aspects, the Court finally found that the decision-making process adopted by the Board was vitiated. The High Court was of the view that the appellant should have considered as to whether it was bound by University Grants Commission Regulations or the qualifications prescribed by the Institute and as advertised in the Notification for Selection. It was also held that the relaxation of qualification had to be individually assessed, having regard to the requirement based on experience, etc. The High Court hence set aside the Resolution at Item No.16 taken in the Board and the consequential termination of the appointments. To quote the operative portion:

"For all the aforesaid reasons recorded hereinabove the entire decision making process is clearly vitiated and the unclarity on the issue of the authority of the respondents to undertake this exercise as also the correct application of rules and the law in this regard therefore persuade us to strike down the action taken against the petitioners.

Consequently, the impugned cancellation orders on the basis of the impugned resolution of the 8th Board Meeting cannot be sustained and the same are hereby quashed. The writ petitions are accordingly allowed and the impugned cancellation orders in these petitions as well as the 16th Resolution of the 8th Board Meeting are hereby quashed. The resolutions passed in the 7th Board Meeting and 8th Board Meeting only in so far as they are adverse to the petitioners shall be open to consideration in the light of the observations made hereinabove.

In view of the findings recorded by us hereinabove, we leave it open to the Board to take a fresh decision as may be permissible in the light of the observations made hereinabove within three months after opportunity to the petitioners."

4. The appellant-Institute, in purported implementation of the directions in the judgment dated 11.12.2015 again unilaterally took certain decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Meetings of the Board and subsequently issued

show-cause notices to the teachers as to why their appointment should not be cancelled.

5. Since some of the teachers were not reinstated despite the declaration by the High Court that the termination was illegal, they filed contempt petitions. Since, show-cause notices were issued, some teachers challenged those show-cause notices and the High Court has stayed those show-cause notices. It is at that stage, the appellant has chosen to challenge the common final judgment and order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Writ-A No. 22558 of 2014, 21309 of 2014, 21319 of 2014, 21595 of 2014, 37213 of 2014 and 36461 of 2014; and against the Interim Order dated 16.03.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Contempt Application (Civil) No. 645 of 2016 and 1033 of 2016; and against the Interim Order dated 04.04.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Writ-A No. 14486 of 2016, 14488 of 2016 and 14490 of 2016; and against the Interim Order dated 13.04.2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Writ-A No. 16715 of 2016.

6. Having extensively heard Shri Sunil Gupta, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant and Shri Rakesh Dwivedi and Shri V. Giri, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the affected teachers, other learned Counsel appearing for teachers and Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, respondent-in-person, we are of the view that the whole ill-advised exercise undertaken by the appellant-Institute only led to unnecessary litigation. In the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the High Court has set aside Resolution at Item No.16 of the Eighth Board Meeting. It is seen from the discussion that the decision to cancel the appointments was based on a Status Report which was not furnished to the affected teachers. The High Court hence found that the decision taken by the appellant-Institute is in violation of the principles of natural justice. That is the quintessence of the judgment. And thereafter, the High Court gave liberty to the appellant to take a fresh decision in accordance with law, that is to say, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the affected teachers.

7. All that the appellants should have done is to make available a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth

Board Meeting which led to cancellation of their appointments and afford an opportunity of making a representation and hearing. Short of that, the appellant-Institute has taken several other steps. Maybe they have intended well but worked out poorly. The teachers could not have been issued the show-cause notices based on any decision taken subsequent to the judgment.

8. Since we intend to remit the matters to the Institute with a direction to start the process from the stage of the judgment of the High Court dated 11.12.2015, we do not propose to make any further observations in this regard. Accordingly, these appeals are disposed of as follows:

A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall

take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers.

B. The decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Board Meetings, as far as the further course of action for implementation of the judgment dated 11.12.2015 is concerned, are wholly unwarranted and are set aside.

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other appointees, they are not allowed to work against their post. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

D. The appellant-Institute shall take a fresh decision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representations from the affected teachers.

E. Since we have set aside the decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Board Meetings, the contempt petitions and writ petitions do not survive. Accordingly, those contempt

petitions and writ petitions are struck off from the file of the
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.

9. There shall be no order as to costs.

.....J.
(KURIAN JOSEPH)

.....J.
(R. BANUMATHI)

New Delhi;
MARCH 24, 2017.

ITEM NO.1A
(For Judgment)

COURT NO.7

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

C.A. Nos.....@

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).13914-13926/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16/03/2016 in CONA No. 1033/2016 04/04/2016 in WA No. 14486/2016 04/04/2016 in WA No. 14488/2016 04/04/2016 in WA No. 14490/2016 13/04/2016 in WA No. 16715/2016 11/12/2015 in WA No. 21309/2014 11/12/2015 in WA No. 21319/2014 11/12/2015 in WA No. 21595/2014 11/12/2015 in WA No. 21920/2014 11/12/2015 in WA No. 22558/2014 11/12/2015 in WA No. 36461/2014 11/12/2015 in WA No. 37213/2014 16/03/2016 in CONA No. 645/2016 passed by the High Court Of Judicature at Allahabad)

THE INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
DEOGHAT JHALWA ALLAHABAD AND ANR. ETC. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. ANURIKA VAISH AND ORS. ETC. Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. Nos.....@ SLP(C) No. 3566-3567/2017

Date : 24/03/2017 These appeals were called on for
pronouncement of judgment.

For the parties

Mr. Sanyat Lodha, Adv.

Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR

Mr. Pulkit Tare, Adv.

Mr. Anup Jain, Adv.

Mr. Mohit Kumar Shah, AOR

Respondent-in-person

Mr. Devvrat, AOR

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Dr. Kailash Chand, AOR

Mr. R.P. Gupta, AOR

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph pronounced the judgment of the Bench comprising His Lordship and Hon'ble Mrs. Justice R. Banumathi.

Leave granted.

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed judgment.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed 'Reportable' Judgment is placed on the file)

**INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD.**

(A University Established under Sec. 3 of UGC Act, 1956
vide Notification No. F.9-4/99-U.3 dated 4/8/2000 of the Govt. of India)
Deoghat Jhalwa, Allahabad - 211 012 (U.P.), India
Ph: 0532-2922025, 2922057; Fax: 0532-2430006, 2431609, 2922144;
Web: www.iita.ac.in; E-mail: contact@iita.ac.in

013

8th Meeting of the Board of Management

Date February 1, 2014
Time 11:00 a.m.
Place Visitor's Hostel, IIT-A
New Delhi

AGENDA POINTS & NOTES THEREON**ITEM NO. 16**

To consider the Status Report on Selection of Academic Staff done on April 6, 2013

The selection for the regular academic posts through advertisement was conducted by the institute on April 6, 2013 and the same was put before BoM for approval dated July 20, 2013. The Board did not approve the proceeding and suggested some measures to be taken for formalizing it. Hon'ble Chancellor has also communicated his concern on this issue by email to all BoM members dated Aug 6, 2013.

The status report is attached herewith at Annexure - 16.01 to 16.03.

Annexure 46.01

As per the minutes of the BoM meeting held on March 23, 2013, Item No. 13 S-1: To consider the process of appointments of academic staff after recommendations of the selection committee,

"The matter was considered at length by the Board and it was resolved that appointment letters be issued to the selectees by the Director as per the recommendations of the selection committees at the earliest and the same may be reported in the next Meeting of the Board."

The institute conducted the interview for faculty positions on April 6, 2013 but serious objections were raised on the process followed for the aforesaid appointment including the advertisement, screening, interview, result announcement and the instant joining given to internal candidates. Writ - A / 18354 / 2013 and Writ - A / 29200 / 2013 were filed in Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad against the institute, by Shri Rahul Gupta on 03/04/2013 and Dr. Rajkishor Prasad on 20/05/2013 respectively.

In the BoM meeting dated July 20, 2013 the matter was discussed as Item No. 12, in which the members shown their concern in this regard and did not approve the selections done on April 6, 2013. The BoM suggested certain mechanism (the relevant part of the Minutes are attached in Annexure -46.02) for ratifying the selection but no progress has been made in this regard till date.

In response to a mail from Shri Rahul Gupta and Dr. Rajkishore Prasad, Hon'ble Chancellor, Prof. Govardhan Mehta has shown his concern on this matter through an email to all members of the Board dated Aug 6, 2013 which states as follows:

"Dear Colleagues:

This has reference to a mail marked to all of us. It is important for all connected with IIT/A governance to ensure that all the Rules and Regulations under force are followed in letter and spirit.

Best wishes".

Annexure 46.42

Abstract of Minutes of 7th Meeting of Board held on 27.7.2013**ITEM NO. 12**

To consider and approve selections on the posts of Academic Staff

The Board apprised itself with the issue of appointment letters and joining of the selectees of the academic positions as per details presented before it in compliance to the standing order passed by the erstwhile Board of Governors and ratified / recreated by itself in the 6th Meeting held on March 23, 2013 and approved the same.

Although, the Board of Management in its Meeting held on March 23, 2013 authorized the Director to make selections of the faculty members and issue appointment letters to the selected ones, however, during discussions the Board of Management showed the concern about nomination of Experts for the Selection Committee by the Board as was in practice since time of Hon'ble Prof. M.G.K. Menon, Former Chairman of Board of Governors.

After thorough discussions it was decided that the Institute should send the probable large list of experts in various disciplines. Out of it the BOM will approve the names of experts and this panel be maintained for future use for the Selection Committee. The statutory observers/experts as SC/ST/OBC and Minority (Muslim) may be experts or they may be just observer whether reservation policy is being followed as per Govt. of India notifications in this regard.

It was also decided that the vacant positions of the faculty members may be advertised at the earliest. The faculty members selected on April 6, 2013 and appointed be asked for their Performance Appraisal alongwith other faculty members on the date of meeting of the selection of faculty members against the advertisement. It was brought in the notice of the Board that the Meeting of the Performance Appraisal Committee of the faculty members including recruits on April 6, 2013 has been fixed for July 27-28, 2013. However, it was agreed that some more time may be given to them and the latter option may be opted for their Performance Appraisal. It was also decided that a copy of the approved list of experts by Board of Management alongwith list of selected faculty members may be sent to Hon'ble Chancellor of the Institute as well for his kind information.

18.03

016

Details of Appointed Candidates for Faculty Positions

Internal Candidates

Sl. No.	Name (with Father / Husband Name)	Post selected for	Date of Joining
1.	Dr. Anurika Vaish D/o. Mr. Vinod Vaish	Professor	6.4.2013
2.	Dr. Pavan Chakraborty	Associate Professor	6.4.2013
3.	Dr. Vrijendra Singh	Associate Professor	6.4.2013
4.	Dr. Vijayshri Tiwari	Associate Professor	6.4.2013
5.	Dr. Abhishek Vaish S/o. Mr. Vinod Vaish	Associate Professor	6.4.2013
6.	Dr. Sanjai Singh	Associate Professor	6.4.2013
7.	Dr. Manish Goswami	Associate Professor	6.4.2013
8.	Dr. Sonali Agarwal *		
9.	Dr. K.P. Singh	Assistant Professor	6.4.2013
10.	Dr. T. Pant	Assistant Professor	6.4.2013
11.	Mr. Ashutosh Singh	Assistant Professor	6.4.2013
12.	Mr. Saurabh Mishra	Assistant Professor	6.4.2013
13.	Mr. Shashikant Singh	Assistant Professor	6.4.2013

* Not selected but two increments were given.

External Candidates

Sl. No.	Name (with Father / Husband Name)	Post selected for	Date of Joining
1.	Dr. Pravin Kumar	Associate Professor	10.7.2013
2.	Dr. Ranjt Singh	Associate Professor	2.5.2013
3.	Dr. Ranjana Vyas (Contract Appointment) W/o. Prof. O.P. Vyas	Assistant Professor	1.7.2013
4.	Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari (Contract Appointment)	Assistant Professor	6.4.2013

Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

Devghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad – 211012, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email : contact@iitaa.ac.in Web: www.iitaa.ac.in Phone : 0532-2922027/65/67

017

Advertisement for Faculty Positions

Advt. No.: FS – 01/2013

Applications are invited on prescribed format alongwith self attested copies of the certificates for Faculty Positions in the following areas:

Information Technology – Algorithms & Theory of Computation, Operating Systems & Compiler Design, Networking, Software Engineering, Robotics including Humanoid Robots, Human Computer Interactions, Computer Architecture & Organization, Programming specialist (industrial experience a must), Distributed Systems, Cloud Computing
Electronics – Analog and Mixed Signal Design, RF Design, Low Power Design, Device and Circuit Modeling, VLSI Technology, VLSI Testing, RF and Microwave Engineering, Optical Communication & Photonics, Measurements and Instrumentation, Control Engineering, Instrumentation, Biomedical Engineering.
Management – General Management (IT), Operations Management & Quality Control, cross Cultural International Management and Finance, Business Communications and Skill, Marketing Management and Telecommunication.
MSCIS – Information Security Laws & Practices, Information and Network Security.
Bioinformatics – Systems Biology, Computer Aided Drug Designing.
BioMedical Engineering – BioMechanics, BioMedical Instrumentation

Details are available on our website : www.iitaa.ac.in

Last Date : February 25, 2013

Professor and Associate Professors (PB-IV) Assistant Professors (PB-III)

Deputy Registrar (Establishment)

Details

Minimum Qualification (for all faculty positions): Ph.D. with first class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch, with a good academic record throughout. In addition, for

- Professor** : 10 years teaching/research/industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor and above.
- Associate Professor** : 8 years teaching/research/industrial experience of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.
- Assistant Professor** : 3 years teaching/research/industrial experience.

Application forms for all the above positions can be downloaded from <http://www.iitaa.ac.in/> or can be obtained from IIT-A, on request, along with a Demand Draft of Rs.700/- (Rs.350/- for SC/ST/OBC candidates) drawn on any nationalized bank in favour of IIT-Allahabad & made payable at Allahabad. Applications (paper or electronic) should be sent to Deputy Registrar (Establishment), Indian Institute of Information Technology Allahabad, Devghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012, Uttar Pradesh. Last Date of Receipt of applications at the Institute is February 25, 2013.

Notes :

1. Mere eligibility will not entitle any candidate for being called for interview.
2. Stringent criteria may be applied for short listing the candidates to be called for interview.
3. The Institute reserves the right to withdraw any advertised post(s) at any time without assigning any reason.
4. Minimum requirements of qualifications and/or experience can be relaxed in respect of exceptionally outstanding candidates as assessed by Selection Committee.
5. Applicant not found suitable for higher applied position(s) may be considered for lower position(s) in the same area of specialization.
6. The pay carries all other allowances as admissible to a Central Government employees stationed at Allahabad/Amethi.
7. Suitable perks are also being offered to Faculty Members.
8. Reservation policy of Govt shall be applicable as per rules.
9. The Envelope/format should clearly mention post applied for & area of specialization.
10. Incomplete Applications will be summarily rejected.
11. Applications/formats received after the prescribed last date may not be entertained.
12. The Selection Committee can consider candidature for the posts of Professor & Associate Professor of those who have not applied or residing outside India.

Deputy Registrar (Establishment)

Mr. Triloki Pant appointed as Assistant Professor in Information Technology Division in PB-III with AGP 8000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Mr. Triloki Pant on 6 th April 2013				As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18 th August 2009
Qualifications				Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • He was having M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees on 6.4.2013 BUT M.Sc. is not the preceding degree in the appropriate branch. 2. And at least three years' industrial/research/teaching experience, • He was having 2 years of total experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D.	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under i. First Class Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engineering (Engg.) & Technology (Tech). • He was having M.Sc. Degree on 6.4.2013 BUT M.Sc. is not the appropriate branch of Engineering (Engg.) & Technology (Tech). ii. Assistant Professor with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after Ph.D. OR Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LL.M, MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000. • He was having Ph.D. BUT having 2 years of total experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D.
Employment					
1.	B.Sc.	- 1 st Division	- 1998-2001		
2.	M.Sc.	- 1 st Division	- 2001-2003		
3.	Ph.D.	-	-2006-2012		
Employment					
4.	Lecturer	1 st July 2010 to 6 th April, 2013	2 yrs. 9 months.		
5.	Teaching Personnel	July 2005- June 2006	1 yrs.		

Mr. Shashikant Rai appointed as Assistant Professor in Management / Business Administration Division in PB-III with AGP 8000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Mr. Shashikant Rai on 6th April 2013			As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18 th August 2009
Qualifications			Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • He was Master of Management Science on 6.4.2013.	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under First Class Masters Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two year full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU / accredited by the AICTE / UGC; • He was Master of Management Science on 6.4.2013 which is not MBA/ PGDBM
Employment			2. And at least three years' industrial/research/teaching experience, • He was having no experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D. • He was not Ph.D. on 6.4.2013 OR 2. Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LLM, MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000.	1. Assistant Professor with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after Ph.D. • He was having total 2 years and 9 months experience
1.	B.Tech.	- 1 st Division - 2000-2004		
2.	M.M.S.	- 1 st Division - 2001-2003		
3.	Ph.D.	29 June 2013 -2006-2012		
4.	Lecturer	4 th July 2010 to 6 th April, 2013	2 yrs. 9 months.	

Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh appointed as Assistant Professor in Information Technology Division in PB-III with AGP 8000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh on 6th April 2013			As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18 th August 2009
Qualifications			Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:
1.	B.Sc.	- 1 st Division - 1997-2000	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:-	As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under
2.	M.Sc.	- 1 st Division - 2002-2004	1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout	i. First Class Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engineering (Engg.) & Technology (Tech).
3.	Ph.D.	- 1 st Division - 2004-2009	• He was having M.Sc. and Ph.D. BUT M.Sc. is NOT the preceding degree in appropriate branch.	• He was having M.Sc. and Ph.D. BUT M.Sc. is NOT the preceding degree in appropriate branch.
Experience			• He was having M.Sc. and Ph.D. BUT M.Sc. is NOT the preceding degree in appropriate branch.	ii. Assistant Professor with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after Ph.D. OR
4.	Lecturer	21.8.2009 to 6.4.2013 3 yrs. 7 months.	2. And at least three years' industrial/research/teaching experience,-	Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LL.M, MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000.
			• He was having 3 years and 7 Months experience.	• He was having 3 years and 7 Months experience.

Dr. Vijaiashri Tiwari appointed as Associate Professor in Management/Business Administration Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Vijaiashri Tiwari on 6 th April 2013				As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications				<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>	<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>
1.	B. Com.	- 2 nd Division	- 1998-2001	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • She was having M. Psych. and Ph.D. on 6.4.2013	As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under i. Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master's Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU / recognized by the AICTE / UGC;
2.	M Psych HRDM	- 1 st Division	-2001-2003		
3.	Ph.D.	-	-2004-2010		
Employment				2. And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience, • She was having 2 years and 10 months teaching experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D. 3. Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor, • She was having 2 years and 10 months teaching experience at Asst. Prof. Level.	• She was having M. Psych. and Ph.D. on 6.4.2013. ii. Ph.D. or Fellow of Indian Institute of Management or of an Institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by the AIU. • She was having Ph.D. on 6.4.2013. iii. A minimum of eight years' experience of teaching / industry / research / professional at managerial level excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree. • She was having 2 years and 10 months teaching experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D.
4.	Assistant Professor	6.6.2010 to 6.4.2013	2 yrs. 10 months		
5.	Lecturer	24.5.2005 to 5.6.2010	5yrs.		
6.	Faculty Associate	21.7.2004 to 23.5.2005	10 months		
7.	Teaching Associate	June 2003 to May 2004	1 yrs.		

Dr. Sanjai Singh appointed as Associate Professor in Electronics Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Sanjai Singh on 6 th April 2013			As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications			<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>	<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>
1.	B.Sc.	- 1 st Division - 1990-1995	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:-	As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under
2.	M.Sc.	- 1 st Division - 1995-1997	1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout	i. A Ph.D. Degree with First Class at Bachelor's or Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engg., & Tech.,
3.	D.Phil. in Science	-1999-2005	• He was having M.Sc. and Ph.D. BUT NOT having preceding degree in the appropriate branch	• He was having M.Sc. and Ph.D. BUT NOT having preceding degree in the appropriate branch
Employment			2. And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience,	ii. And experience of eight years in teaching, research and / or industry at the level of Lecturer or equivalent grade, excluding period spent on obtaining the research degree.
4.	Assistant Professor	6.6.2010 to 2 yrs. 10 months	• He was having a total of 7 years and 8 months experience.	• He was having a total of 7 years and 8 months experience.
5.	Lecturer	16.3. 2007 to 3 yrs. 2 months	3. Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor,	
6.	Faculty Associate	1.8.2005 to 15 March 2007	• He was having a total of 2 years and 10 months experience at the level of Assistant Professor.	
7.	Part Time Lecturer	17.8.2000 to 20 June 2001		

Dr. Ranjana Vyas appointed as Assistant Professor in Information Technology (Communication Skill) Division on contract basis on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Ranjana Vyas on 6 th April 2013		As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18 th August 2009																				
Qualifications <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1.</td><td>MA (Eng. Lit.)</td><td>- 2nd Division</td><td>- 1991-1993</td></tr> <tr> <td>2.</td><td>DCA</td><td>- 1st Division</td><td>- 1999-2000</td></tr> <tr> <td>3.</td><td>PGDCA</td><td>- 1st Division</td><td>- 2000-2001</td></tr> <tr> <td>4.</td><td>MCA</td><td>- 1st Division</td><td>- 2001-2003</td></tr> <tr> <td>5.</td><td>Ph.D. in Computer Science</td><td>-</td><td>- 2004-2009</td></tr> </table>		1.	MA (Eng. Lit.)	- 2 nd Division	- 1991-1993	2.	DCA	- 1 st Division	- 1999-2000	3.	PGDCA	- 1 st Division	- 2000-2001	4.	MCA	- 1 st Division	- 2001-2003	5.	Ph.D. in Computer Science	-	- 2004-2009	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • She was having Ph.D. and MCA & PGDCA which are not equivalent to M.Tech./M.E.	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under i. First Class Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engineering (Engg.) & Technology (Tech). • She was having Ph.D. and MCA & PGDCA which are not equivalent to M.Tech./M.E.
1.	MA (Eng. Lit.)	- 2 nd Division	- 1991-1993																				
2.	DCA	- 1 st Division	- 1999-2000																				
3.	PGDCA	- 1 st Division	- 2000-2001																				
4.	MCA	- 1 st Division	- 2001-2003																				
5.	Ph.D. in Computer Science	-	- 2004-2009																				
Employment <table border="1"> <tr> <td>6.</td><td>Assistant Professor</td><td>1.2.2004 to 6.4.2013</td><td>9 yrs. 2 months</td></tr> <tr> <td>7.</td><td>Research Project</td><td>2009 to 2009</td><td></td></tr> </table>		6.	Assistant Professor	1.2.2004 to 6.4.2013	9 yrs. 2 months	7.	Research Project	2009 to 2009		2. And at least three years' industrial/research/teaching experience. • She was having a total of 4 years of total experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D.	i. Assistant Professor with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after Ph.D. • She was having no experience at AGP 7000 experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D. OR Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LLM, MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000.												
6.	Assistant Professor	1.2.2004 to 6.4.2013	9 yrs. 2 months																				
7.	Research Project	2009 to 2009																					

Note: She was recommended for contractual appointment for one subject i.e. communication skills with emoluments of Assistant Professor by the Selection Committee.

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari appointed as Assistant Professor in MSCLIS Division on contract basis on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari on 6 th April 2013					As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS-II dated 18 th August 2009
Qualifications					<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>	<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>
1.	B.Sc. (Maths.)	2 nd Division	- 2000-2003		As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:-	As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under
2.	MCA (Distance)	-1 st Division	-2003-2006		1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout	1. First Class Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engineering (Engg.) & Technology (Tech).
3.	LLB	-1 st Division	-2003-2006			• He was having Ph.D. and MS (CLIS) degrees.
4.	MS	-1 st Division	-2006-2008		• He was having Ph.D. and MS (CLIS) degrees.	i. Assistant Professor with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after Ph.D.
5.	Ph.D. in Science	12/5/2012	2008 May 2012		2. And at least three years' industrial/research/teaching experience,.	• He was having 11 Months experience at AGP 7000 after Ph.D. OR Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LL.M, MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000.
Employment						
6.	Visiting Faculty	10.10.2012 to 6.4.2013	6 months experience			
7.	Lecturer	21.7.2008 to 6.4.2013	4 yrs. 9 months			

Note: He was recommended for contractual appointment with emoluments Rs. 40000/- per month by the selection committee

Dr. Vrijendra Singh appointed as Associate Professor in Management/Business Administration Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Vrijendra Singh on 6 th April 2013			As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications			Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:- - One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout - He was having Ph.D. Degree BUT NOT having preceding degree in the appropriate branch. - And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience, - He was having a total of 4 years and 8 months of experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D. - Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor, - He was having 3 years and 8 Months experience at the level of Assistant Professor.	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under - Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master's Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU / recognized by the AICTE / UGC; - He was NOT having Master's Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline. - Ph.D. or Fellow of Indian Institute of Management or of an Institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by the AIU. - He was having Ph.D. Degree. - A minimum of eight years' experience of teaching / industry / research / professional at managerial level excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree. - He was having a total of 4 years and 8 months of experience excluding however, the experience gained while Ph.D.
1.	B Sc	- 1 st Division (Hons.) - 1997-2000		
2.	M Sc	- 1 st Division - 2000-2002		
3.	Ph.D.	- 2003-2009		
Employment				
4.	Assistant Professor	6 Aug. 2009 to 6 th April, 2013	3 yrs. 8 months	
5.	Lecturer	9 th June 2005 to 5 Aug. 2009	4 yrs. 2 months	
6.	Senior Project Associate	22 April 2002 to 5 June 2005	3 yrs. 3 months	

Mr. Saurabh Mishra appointed as Assistant Professor in Management /Business Administration Division in PB-III with AGP 8000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Mr. Saurabh Mishra on 6 th April 2013				As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18 th August 2009
Qualifications				<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>	<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>
1.	B.Tech.	- 1 st Division	- 1999 - 2003	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:- - One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout - He was not having Ph.D. Degree on 6.4.2013. - And at least three years' industrial/research/teaching experience, - He was having no experience excluding the experience gained while Ph.D.	As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under - Essential: - First Class Masters Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two year full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU / accredited by the AICTE / UGC; - He was having MBA on 6.4.2013 - Assistant Professor with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after Ph.D. - He was not having Ph.D. on 6.4.2013. - Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LLM , MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000. - He was having total 2 years and 9 Months experience.
2.	MBA	- 1 st Division	- 2004 -2006		
3.	Ph.D. in IT (Supervisor: Dr. Anurka Vaish)	Completed on 24 th June, 2013	-2010- 24 June 2013.		
Employment					
4.	Lecturer	3 rd July 2010 to 6 th April, 2013	2 yrs. 9 months.		

Dr. Ranjit Singh appointed as Associate Professor in Management /Business Administration Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Ranjit Singh on 6 th April 2013				As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications				Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:-	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under
1.	B.Com.	- 1 st Division (Hon.)	- 1997-2000	1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout	i. Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master's Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU / recognized by the AICTE / UGC;
2.	M.Com.	- 1 st Division	- 2001-2002	• He was having Ph.D. degree BUT NOT having preceding degree in appropriate branch	• He was having M.Com. which is NOT MBA/PGDBM.
3.	Company Secretary (Inter)	-	-1999-2001	2. And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience.	First Class graduate and professionally qualified Chartered Accountant / Cost and works Accountant / Company Secretary of the concerned statutory body.
4.	Ph.D. in Business Administration	-	-2005-2008	• He was having total experience excluding the period spend in obtaining research degree: 6 Years and 4 Months.	• NOT professionally qualified Company Secretary of the concerned statutory body
Employment				3. Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor	ii. Ph.D. or Fellow of Indian Institute of Management or of an Institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by the AIU.
5.	Assistant Professor AGP 6000	17 th Nov. 2008 to 6 th April, 2013	4 yrs. 4 months.	• He was having No experience at the level of Assistant Professor with AGP 8000.	• Having Ph.D.
6.	Lecturer	26 th Dec. 2003 to 15 th Nov. 2008	4 yrs. 11 months.		ii. A minimum of eight years' experience of teaching / industry / research / professional at managerial level excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree.
					• Total experience excluding the period spend in obtaining research degree: 6 Years and 4 Months

Note: Dr. Ranjit Singh has misrepresented facts in his application by motioning that he has completed his Ph.D. degree in 2 years (2005-2007) but his records says that he has completed Ph.D. Degree in September 2008. This is a fraud committed by Dr. Singh to get through the screening process.

Dr. Pravin Kumar appointed as Associate Professor in Management/Business Administration Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Pravin Kumar on 6 th April 2013				As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications				<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>	<u>Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:</u>
1.	B. Sc.	- 1 st Division	- 1993-1997	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • He was having Ph.D. degree but NOT having preceding Degree in appropriate branch of Management. 2. And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience • He was having total 5 Years and 7 Months Total experiences excluding the period spend in obtaining Research 3. Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor, • He was having No experience at the level of Assistant Professor with AGP 8000.	As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under 1. Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master's Degree in Business Management / Administration / in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU / recognized by the AICTE / UGC; • He was having M.Tech. which is not MBA/PGDBM. 2. Ph.D. or Fellow of Indian Institute of Management or of an Institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by the AIU. • He was having Ph.D. Degree 3. A minimum of eight years' experience of teaching / industry / research / professional at managerial level excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree. • He was having total 5 Years and 7 Months Total experiences excluding the period spend in obtaining Research.
2.	M Tech.	- 1 st Division	-1998-2000		
3.	Ph.D.	28.10.2012	-2005-2012		
Employment					
4.	Assistant Professor	1 Sept. 2008 to 6 th April, 2013	4 yrs. 7 months		
5.	Assistant Professor	7.6.2007 to 29.8.2008	1 yrs. 2 months		
6.	Assistant Professor	1.8.2005 to 29.5.2007	1 yrs. 10 months		
7.	Lecturer	1.8.2003 to 31.7.2005	2 yrs.		
8.	Lecturer	27.7.2000 to 31.7.2003	3 yrs.		

Dr. Pavan Chakraborty appointed as Associate Professor in Information Technology Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Pavan Chakraborty on 6 th April 2013				As per IIT advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications				Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification
1.	B Sc		- 1987-1989	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • He was having Ph.D. and first class in the preceding degree which is NOT in the appropriate branch of Engg. Or Tech.. 2. And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience • He was having 9 years of total experience. 3. Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor. • He was having 3 years and 6 Months of total experience at the level of Assistant Professor.	As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under 1. A Ph.D. Degree with First Class at Bachelor's or Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engg., & Tech., • He was having Ph.D. and M.Sc. which is NOT equivalent to Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engg., & Tech 2. And experience of eight years in teaching, research and / or industry at the level of Lecturer or equivalent grade, excluding period spent on obtaining the research degree. • He was having 9 years of total experience.
2.	M Sc	1 st Division	- 1990-1992		
3.	Ph.D.	1 st Division	-1993-2001		
Employment					
4.	Assistant Professor At AGP 8000	Oct 2006 to 6 th April, 2013	3 yrs. Months 6		
5.	Assistant Professor At AGP 7000	24 April 2007 to Oct 2009	2 yrs. Months 6		
6.	Lecturer, Prof. Asstt.	26 April 2004 to 23 April, 2007	3 yrs.		

Dr. Manish Goswami appointed as Associate Professor in Electronics Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Dr. Manish Goswami on 6 th April 2013				As per IIT advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications				Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under:-	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under
1.	BE	- 1 st Division	1994-1998	1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent in the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout.	i. A Ph.D. Degree with First Class at Bachelor's or Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engg., & Tech.
2.	ME	- 1 st Division	2000-2002		
3.	Ph.D.	-	2005-2012		
Employment					
4.	Assistant Professor	7 th Oct. 2011 to 6 th April, 2013	1 yrs. 6 months	2. And a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience,	• He was having Ph.D. Degree on 6.4.2013.
5.	Lecturer	1 st June 2008 to 6 th Oct. 2011	2 yrs. 9 months	• He was having only 4 years 10 Months of total experience Engineer excluding the experience gained while obtaining Ph.D. Degree	ii. And experience of eight years in teaching, research and / or industry at the level of Lecturer or equivalent grade, excluding period spent on obtaining the research degree.
6.	Lecturer	4 th July, 2005 to 31 st May 2008	2 yrs. 10 months	3. Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor	• He was having 4 years 10 Months of total experience Engineer excluding the experience gained while obtaining Ph.D. Degree
7.	Lecture	1.7.2002 to 30.6.2005	3 yrs.	• He was having only 1 years and 6 months experience at the level of Assistant Professor.	
8.	Asstt. Engg.	1.2.1999 to 12.5.2000	1 yrs. 2 months		

Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh appointed as Assistant Professor in Electronics Division in PB-III with AGP 8000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications of Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh on 6 th April 2013			As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010 and G.O. issued by MHRD vide File No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18 th August 2009
Qualifications			Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification:
1. B.E.	- 1 st Division	- 1995-1999	As per advertisement the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout • He was NOT having Ph.D. on 6.4.2013. 2. And at least three years' industrial/ research/ teaching experience • He was having 5 Years and 10 Months experience excluding the experience gained while Ph.D.	As per UGC norms and MHRD orders the qualification for the post of assistant professor is as under i. First Class Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engineering (Engg.) & Technology (Tech). • He was having M.Tech Degree on 6.4.2013. ii. Assistant Professor with Ph.D. with completed service of three years at AGP 7000 after • He was NOT having Ph.D. Degree in 2013. Or iii. Without Ph.D but with M.Phil / PG degree in professional courses such as LLM, MTech, M.V.Sc, M.D., a total 10 years of service out of which 5 years completed services at the Assistant Professor at AGP 7000. • He was having total 5 years and 2 months experience at the level of lecturer.
2. M.Tech	- 1 st Division (Hons.)	- 2002-2004		
3. Ph.D.	- 4 March 2014	- 2010-2014		
Employment				
4. Lecturer	3.7.2010 to 6.4.2013	2 yrs. 9 months		
5. Lecturer	25.5.2006 to 2.7.2010	4 yrs. 2 months		
6. Lecture	18.9.2004 to 25.5.2006	1 yrs. 8 months		

Dr. Anurika Vaish, appointed as Professor in Management /Business Administration Division in PB-IV with AGP 10000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications & Experience of Dr. Anurika Vaish on 6 th April 2013			As per advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications			Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per advertisement the qualification for the post of professor is as under:- 1. One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification: As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of professor is as under (i) Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master's Degree in Business Management/Administration/in a relevant discipline or consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in two year full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU/recognized by the AICTE/UGC
Experience			• Dr. Anurika Vaish has Ph.D. in IT. 2. And a minimum of ten years' experience out of which 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor and above(post Ph.D. Experience) • Dr. Anurika Vaish has only 7 years excluding the period spend in obtaining Ph.D. degree.	• Dr. Anurika Vaish has PGDBM. (ii) Ph.D. or fellow of Indian institute of Management or of an institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by AIU. • Dr. Anurika Vaish has Ph.D. in IT (iii) A minimum of ten years of teaching /industry /research/ professional excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree • Dr. Anurika Vaish has only 7 Years experience excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree. (iv) Out of which five years must be at the level of reader or equivalent.” • Dr. Anurika Vaish has only 3 years and 8 months at the post of Associate Professor.

Dr. Abhishek Vaish appointed as Associate Professor in MSLIS Division in PB-IV with AGP 9000 on 6th April, 2013

Qualifications & Experience of Dr. Abhishek Vaish as on 6 th April 2013				As per Advertisement	As per UGC Regulation 2010
Qualifications				Shortcomings in the minimum qualification As per advertisement the qualification for the post of Associate Professor is as under:- - One should have a Ph.D. with First Class or equivalent at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch with very good academic record throughout - He is MS(CLIS) and Ph.D. in IT. - and a minimum of eight years' Teaching/Industry/research experience, - He is having 3 years and 10 Months experience excluding the period spends in obtaining research degree. - Out of which at least three years' should be at the level of Assistant Professor. - He is having 2 years and 10 Months experience at the level of Assistant Professor.	Shortcomings in the minimum qualification As per UGC norms the qualification for the post of associate professor is as under - A Ph.D. Degree with First Class at Bachelor's or Master's Degree in the appropriate branch of Engg., & Tech., - He is MS(CLIS) and Ph.D. in IT. - And experience of eight years in teaching, research and / or industry at the level of Lecturer or equivalent grade, excluding period spent on obtaining the research degree. - He is having total 3 years and 10 Months experience excluding the period spent on obtaining the research degree
Experience					
5.	Assistant Professor	6.6.2010 to 6.4.2013	2 years & 10 months		
6.	Lecturer	2007 to 2010	3 yrs.		
7.	Associate Consultant	2006 to 2007	1 yrs.		

ITEM NO. 16

To consider the Status Report on Selection of Academic Staff done on April 6, 2013.

The Board considered the Status Report on the selection of the Academic Staff and deliberated upon it at length. In the context, in addition to the minutes as at Item No. 1 of this meeting, it was apprised by some Board members that the advertisement brought out by IITA for these selections was NOT as per norms. Also for some of the appointments, eligibility criteria were unduly relaxed, taking incorrect pretext of the earlier BOM resolutions.

The Board also noticed that through a GO issued vide F.No. 3.11014/11/04-CDN dated 19th July, 2004, MHRD had advised heads of all autonomous Bodies prohibiting all the retiring Directors, for any action to make selections / promotions two - three months before the expiry of their term or retirement, as the case may be. Further, the erstwhile director's term expired on 26th December 2012 and he was on six months extension in April 2013. Therefore, in April 2013, he was neither competent nor authorized to call for any selections.

When these facts became known to the Board in this meeting, it became clear that the entire process of selection / appointment and other recommendations mentioned by the selection committee therein, was wrong *ab initio*.

Accordingly, the BoM in this Meeting recommended, in supersession to the earlier decisions of the Board in this matter, that all selections / appointments done on April 6th, 2013 are CANCELLED. Similarly, the recommendations of awarding increments to a few Faculty members on April 6th 2013, are also CANCELLED.

Annexure -BTo be made on Non Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100/-AFFIDAVIT

Certified that, I..... S/o/D/oresident
of....., hereby declare under oath as
follows:-

- i) That this declaration is being made by me to meet the compliances under the Hon'ble Supreme Court judgement dated 24.03.2017 in CA Nos.4406-4418 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos.13914-13926 of 2016 with CA Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 3566-3567 of 2017
- ii) That during the period starting from 27.03.2014 till 24.03.2017, I have not been working at the Institute or elsewhere.
- iii) That I further declare that in support of my above declaration, I am submitting self certified copies of my I.T. return for the F.Y. 2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16 along with the present affidavit.
- iv) That the above is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed/mis-represented herein. In case the declaration is found otherwise, at any stage, I shall be personally responsible for the fallouts.

DEPONENT**(Signature of the Deponent)**

Solemnly affirmed by the Deponent above named at.....on this
..... day of 2017 and he has signed his/her name in my
presence.

Deponent signed before me
(Seal of the Notary)



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iilita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iilita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 0024 /2017
Date: 13th April, 2017

To,
Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,
54-A, Lowther Road, Near Maharani Motors,
George Town, Allahabad-211002
Mobile: 9450611928
Email: ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Ashutosh Kumar Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/975/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666947IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by 17.04.2017, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

4/10/2017

Dr. Ashutosh Kr. Singh

Track Consignment

Forms RTI Contact Us Skip to Main Content Screen Reader Access A+ A- English हिंदी

Search

भारतीय डाक



INDIA POST

Ministry of Communications



About Us

Mail Services

Business Solutions

Financial Services

Philately

Shop

Customer Support

You are here Home > Track Consignment

Tools

Track Your Consignment

Locate Your Post Office

Find Your Pincode

Calculate Your Postage

Track Consignment

* Indicates a required field.

Quick help ?

* Consignment Number

EU594666947IN

Track More

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	211002	29.00	SPA	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	03/04/2017

Event Details For : EU594666947IN Current Status : Item delivered [To: 0]

Date	Time	Office	Event
03/04/2017	00:00:00	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Item delivered [To: 0]
03/04/2017	08:47:36	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	08:46:38	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Item Received
03/04/2017	08:46:38	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	08:37:50	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Bag Received
02/04/2017	03:35:39	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O
02/04/2017	02:28:09	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:27:39	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

Home

About Us

Contact Us

Sitemap

RTI

Employee Corner

CEPT

Forms

Help & FAQs

Tenders

Opportunities

Holidays

Download Fonts

Related Links

e-Mail

Disclaimer

Privacy Policy

Copyright Policy

Terms & Conditions

Web Information Manager

STQC Report



Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email...



This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India

Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.

Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution

Last updated on 09-Apr-2017



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/0015/2017

Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari
LIG No. 1, Kalindipuram, Allahabad- 211011
Mobile: 9450624256
Email: lokendra.kt@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/978/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666916IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure '1' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by 17.04.2017, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

4/10/2017

D, Lokendra Kumar Tironi Track Consignment

Forms RTI Contact Us Skip to Main Content Screen Reader Access A- A+ English हिंदी

Search

भारतीय डाक


INDIA POST
 Ministry of Communications


About Us

Mail Services

Business Solutions

Financial Services

Philately

Shop

Customer Support

You are here Home >> Track Consignment

Tools

Track Your Consignment

Locate Your Post Office

Find Your Pincode

Calculate Your Postage

Track Consignment

Quick help ?

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EU594666916IN

Track More

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	211015	29.00	SPA	Subedarganj S.O	03/04/2017

Event Details For : EU594666916IN Current Status : Item delivered at Subedarganj S.O

Date	Time	Office	Event
03/04/2017	13:51:00	Subedarganj S.O	Item delivered
03/04/2017	09:37:58	Subedarganj S.O	Item Received
03/04/2017	09:37:58	Subedarganj S.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	09:30:52	Subedarganj S.O	Bag Received
02/04/2017	06:02:15	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to Subedarganj S.O
02/04/2017	02:34:18	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for Subedarganj S.O
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:27:01	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

Home

About Us

Contact Us

Sitemap

RTI

Employee Corner

CEPT

Forms

Help & FAQs

Tenders

Opportunities

Holidays

Download Fonts

Related Links

e-Mail

Disclaimer

Privacy Policy

Copyright Policy

Terms & Conditions

Web Information Manager

STQC Report



Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email...

This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
 Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.

Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution

Last updated on 09-Apr-2017





भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/0226/2017
Date: 13th April, 2017

To,
Dr. Pravin Kumar,
S/o Sri Shiva Nandan Singh
Vill./Post-Dina Chakla, Distrci-Khagaria
Bihar-851212
Mobile: 9818273764
Email: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Pravin Kumar,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/963/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666859IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 04.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure '1' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by 18.04.2017, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

4/10/2017


Dr. Pravin Kumar

Track Consignment

Forms RTI Contact Us Skip to Main Content Screen Reader Access A- A+ English हिंदी

Search

भारतीय डाक



INDIA POST

Ministry of Communications



About Us

Mail Services

Business Solutions

Financial Services

Philately

Shop

Customer Support

You are here Home > Track Consignment

Tools

Track Your Consignment

Locate Your Post Office

Find Your Pincode

Calculate Your Postage

Track Consignment

Quick help ?

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EU594666859IN

Track More

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	851204	46.00	SPA	Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)	04/04/2017

Event Details For : EU594666859IN Current Status : Item delivered at Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)

Date	Time	Office	Event
04/04/2017	13:39:00	Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)	Item delivered
04/04/2017	13:02:39	Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)	Item Received
04/04/2017	13:02:39	Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)	Bag Opened
04/04/2017	13:00:08	Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)	Bag Received
04/04/2017	04:15:25	NSH BARAUNI	Bag Despatched to Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)
04/04/2017	04:15:22	NSH BARAUNI	Item Bagged for Mohaddipur S.O (Khagaria)
03/04/2017	20:45:22	NSH BARAUNI	Item Received
03/04/2017	20:45:22	NSH BARAUNI	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	20:44:47	NSH BARAUNI	Bag Received
02/04/2017	02:55:01	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH BARAUNI
02/04/2017	02:54:34	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH BARAUNI
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:25:38	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

Home

About Us

Contact Us

Sitemap

RTI

Employee Corner

CEPT

Forms

Help & FAQs

Tenders

Opportunities

Holidays

Download Fonts

Related Links

e-Mail

Disclaimer

Privacy Policy

Copyright Policy

Terms & Conditions

Web Information Manager

STQC Report



Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email...



This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India

Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.

Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution

Last updated on 09-Apr-2017



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/0023/2017

Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Ranjana Vyas,
E-11, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 8577955160
Email: ranjana@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Ranjana Vyas,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/970/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666876IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure 'I' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by 17.04.2017, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)


INDIA POST
 Ministry of Communications
[About Us](#)[Mail Services](#)[Business Solutions](#)[Financial Services](#)[Philately](#)[Shop](#)[Customer Support](#)You are here [Home](#) > [Track Consignment](#)

Tools

[Track Your Consignment](#)[Locate Your Post Office](#)[Find Your Pincode](#)[Calculate Your Postage](#)

Track Consignment

Quick help

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EU594666876IN

[Track More](#)

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	211015	29.00	SPA	Subedarganj S.O	03/04/2017

Event Details For : EU594666876IN Current Status : Item delivered at Subedarganj S.O

Date	Time	Office	Event
03/04/2017	17:15:00	Subedarganj S.O	Item delivered
03/04/2017	09:37:58	Subedarganj S.O	Item Received
03/04/2017	09:37:58	Subedarganj S.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	09:30:52	Subedarganj S.O	Bag Received
02/04/2017	06:02:15	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to Subedarganj S.O
02/04/2017	02:34:18	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for Subedarganj S.O
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:26:11	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

[Home](#)
[About Us](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[Sitemap](#)
[RTI](#)
[Employee Corner](#)
[CEPT](#)
[Forms](#)
[Help & FAQs](#)
[Tenders](#)
[Opportunities](#)
[Holidays](#)
[Download Fonts](#)
[Related Links](#)
[e-Mail](#)
[Disclaimer](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)
[Copyright Policy](#)
[Terms & Conditions](#)
[Web Information Manager](#)
[STQC Report](#)


Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email..

This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
 Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.
 Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution
 Last updated on 09-Apr-2017





भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 0021/2017

Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Ranjit Singh,
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration
Assam University, Silchar
Assam - 788011
Mobile: 9435735868
Email: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Ranjit Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/964/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666880IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to Assam University (S.O.) on 06.04.2017 Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure '1' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **20.04.2017** otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

4/10/2017

Dr. Ranjit Singh


Track Consignment

Home RTI Contact Us Skip to Main Content Screen Reader Access A+ A- English हिंदी

Search



918111 310


INDIA POST
 Ministry of Communications

①

About Us

Mail Services

Business Solutions

Financial Services

Philately

Shop

Customer Support

You are here Home >> Track Consignment

Tools

Track Your Consignment

Locate Your Post Office

Find Your Pincode

Calculate Your Postage

Track Consignment

Quick help ?

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EU594666880IN

Track More

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	788011	69.00	SPA		

Event Details For : EU594666880IN Current Status : Bag Despatched to ASSAM UNIVERSITY S.O

Date	Time	Office	Event
06/04/2017	19:54:36	ICH SILCHAR	Bag Despatched to ASSAM UNIVERSITY S.O
06/04/2017	15:18:19	ICH SILCHAR	Item Bagged for ASSAM UNIVERSITY S.O
06/04/2017	10:47:02	ICH SILCHAR	Item Received
06/04/2017	10:47:02	ICH SILCHAR	Bag Opened
06/04/2017	10:46:27	ICH SILCHAR	Bag Received
05/04/2017	05:44:43	TMO LGBI	Bag Despatched to ICH SILCHAR
05/04/2017	05:43:12	TMO LGBI	Bag Received
05/04/2017	04:27:26	NSH GUWAHATI	Bag Despatched to TMO LGBI
04/04/2017	23:25:40	NSH GUWAHATI	Item Bagged for ICH SILCHAR
04/04/2017	09:36:02	NSH GUWAHATI	Item Received
04/04/2017	09:36:01	NSH GUWAHATI	Bag Opened
04/04/2017	07:45:59	NSH GUWAHATI	Bag Received
02/04/2017	06:28:09	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH GUWAHATI
02/04/2017	02:35:58	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH GUWAHATI
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:26:29	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

Home

About Us

Contact Us

Sitemap

RTI

Employee Corner

CEPT

Forms

Help & FAQs

Tenders

Opportunities

Holidays

Download Fonts

Related Links

e-Mail

Disclaimer

Privacy Policy

Copyright Policy

Terms & Conditions

Web Information Manager

STQC Report



Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email..



This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
 Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.
 Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution
 Last updated on 09-Apr-2017

<https://www.indiapost.gov.in/VAS/Pages/trackconsignment.aspx>

169



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/0022/2017

Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Sanjai Singh,
RGIIT, Tikarmafi, Amethi
Mobile: 9450042186
Email: ssingh@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Sanjai Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/969/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666805IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 05.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure 'I'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **19.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

4/10/2017

Dr. Sanjai Singh

Track Consignment

Forms RTI Contact Us Skip to Main Content Screen Reader Access A A A English हिंदी

Search

भारतीय डाक



INDIA POST

Ministry of Communications



About Us

Mail Services

Business Solutions

Financial Services

Philately

Shop

Customer Support

You are here Home >> Track Consignment

Quick help ?

Tools

Track Your Consignment

Locate Your Post Office

Find Your Pincode

Calculate Your Postage

Track Consignment

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EUS94666805IN

Track More

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	227405	40.00	SPA	Tikarmani S.O	05/04/2017

Event Details For : EUS94666805IN Current Status : Item delivered at Tikarmani S.O

Date	Time	Office	Event
05/04/2017	15:35:00	Tikarmani S.O	Item delivered
05/04/2017	11:28:31	Tikarmani S.O	Item Received
05/04/2017	11:28:31	Tikarmani S.O	Bag Opened
05/04/2017	11:26:11	Tikarmani S.O	Bag Received
03/04/2017	17:55:23	NSH LUCKNOW	Transit Bag Despatched To SULTANPUR RMS
03/04/2017	16:51:00	NSH LUCKNOW	Transit Bag closed for SULTANPUR RMS
03/04/2017	16:09:43	NSH LUCKNOW	Item Bagged for Tikarmani S.O
02/04/2017	19:19:05	NSH LUCKNOW	Item Received
02/04/2017	19:19:05	NSH LUCKNOW	Bag Opened
02/04/2017	18:37:28	NSH LUCKNOW	Bag Received
02/04/2017	01:11:24	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH LUCKNOW
01/04/2017	21:21:41	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH LUCKNOW
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:24:29	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

Home
About Us
Contact Us
Sitemap
RTI
Employee Corner
CEPT

Forms
Help & FAQs
Tenders
Opportunities
Holidays
Download Fonts
Related Links

e-Mail
Disclaimer
Privacy Policy
Copyright Policy
Terms & Conditions
Web Information Manager
STQC Report



Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email...



This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.
Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution
Last updated on 09-Apr-2017

https://www.indiapost.gov.in/VAS/Pages/trackconsignment.aspx



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 001 1 /2017
Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Saurabh Mishra,
43 A, Tagore Town, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 8005199535
Email: saurabh135@rediffmail.com, saurabhmishraiiita2012@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/973/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666862IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by 17.04.2017, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

4/10/2017

Dr. Saurabh Mishra

Track Consignment

[Fcms](#) [RTI](#) [Contact Us](#) [Skip to Main Content](#) [Screen Reader Access](#) [A - A + English](#) | [Help](#)

Search


INDIA POST
 Ministry of Communications
[About Us](#)[Mail Services](#)[Business Solutions](#)[Financial Services](#)[Philately](#)[Shop](#)[Customer Support](#)You are here [Home](#) > [Track Consignment](#)

Tools

[Track Your Consignment](#)[Locate Your Post Office](#)[Find Your Pincode](#)[Calculate Your Postage](#)

Track Consignment

Quick help ?

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EUS94666862IN

[Track More](#)

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	211002	29.00	SPA	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	03/04/2017

Event Details For : EUS94666862IN Current Status : Item delivered (To: 0)

Date	Time	Office	Event
03/04/2017	00:00:00	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Item delivered (To: 0)
03/04/2017	08:47:36	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	08:46:38	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Item Received
03/04/2017	08:46:38	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	08:37:50	ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O	Bag Received
02/04/2017	03:35:39	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O
02/04/2017	02:28:09	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for ALLAHABAD KTY. H.O
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:25:54	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

[Home](#)
[About Us](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[Sitemap](#)
[RTI](#)
[Employee Corner](#)
[CEPT](#)
[Forms](#)
[Help & FAQs](#)
[Tenders](#)
[Opportunities](#)
[Holidays](#)
[Download Fonts](#)
[Related Links](#)
[e-Mail](#)
[Disclaimer](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)
[Copyright Policy](#)
[Terms & Conditions](#)
[Web Information Manager](#)
[STQC Report](#)


Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email...

This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
 Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.
 Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution
 Last updated on 09-Apr-2017



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ ००१४ /2017

Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,
B1/45, Sector-D1, LDA Colony, Kanpur Road
Lucknow
Mobile: 9454555535
Email: shashikant.raii@gmail.com, drshashikant.iiita@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/974/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666814IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 06.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **20.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

आपका डाक


INDIA POST
 Ministry of Communications


About Us

Mail Services

Business Solutions

Financial Services

Philately

Shop

Customer Support

You are here Home > Track Consignment

Tools

Track Your Consignment

Locate Your Post Office

Find Your Pincode

Calculate Your Postage

Track Consignment

* Indicates a required field.

* Consignment Number

EU594666814IN

Track More

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	226012	46.00	SPA	L D A Colony S.O	06/04/2017

Event Details For : EU594666814IN Current Status : Item delivered at L D A Colony S.O

Date	Time	Office	Event
06/04/2017	16:43:00	L D A Colony S.O	Item delivered
05/04/2017	18:24:00	L D A Colony S.O	Item delivery attempted Door Locked-Intimation Served
03/04/2017	19:03:00	L D A Colony S.O	Item delivery attempted Door Locked-Intimation Served
03/04/2017	10:32:29	L D A Colony S.O	Item Received
03/04/2017	10:32:29	L D A Colony S.O	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	10:28:14	L D A Colony S.O	Bag Received
03/04/2017	04:21:12	NSH LUCKNOW	Bag Despatched to L D A Colony S.O
02/04/2017	21:05:01	NSH LUCKNOW	Item Bagged for L D A Colony S.O
02/04/2017	19:19:05	NSH LUCKNOW	Item Received
02/04/2017	19:19:05	NSH LUCKNOW	Bag Opened
02/04/2017	18:37:28	NSH LUCKNOW	Bag Received
02/04/2017	01:11:24	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH LUCKNOW
01/04/2017	21:21:41	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH LUCKNOW
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:23:56	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

 Home
 About Us
 Contact Us
 Sitemap
 RTI
 Employee Corner
 CEPT

 Forms
 Help & FAQs
 Tenders
 Opportunities
 Holidays
 Download Fonts
 Related Links

 e-Mail
 Disclaimer
 Privacy Policy
 Copyright Policy
 Terms & Conditions
 Web Information Manager
 STQC Report


Subscribe Newsletter

Enter your email...



This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
 Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.
 Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution
 Last updated on 09-Apr-2017



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 0017/2017

Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Triloki Pant,
KK Pharmacy, Takana Line, Pithoragarh
Uttarakhand - 262501
Email: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, trylucky@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Triloki Pant,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/976/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666978IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 07.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure 'I' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **21.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

भारत सरकार


INDIA POST
 Ministry of Communications

[About Us](#)
[Mail Services](#)
[Business Solutions](#)
[Financial Services](#)
[Philately](#)
[Shop](#)
[Post Office](#)
[You are here Home >> Track Consignment](#)
[Quick help](#)

Track Consignment

* Indicates a required field

[Track Your Consignment](#)
[Locate Your Post Office](#)
[Find Your Pincode](#)
[Calculate Your Postage](#)

* Consignment Number

EUS94666978IN

[Track More](#)

Booked At	Booked On	Destination Pincode	Tariff	Article Type	Delivered At	Delivered On
MBC ALLAHABAD	01/04/2017	262501	46.00	SPA	Pithoragarh H.O	07/04/2017

Event Details For : EUS94666978IN Current Status : Item delivered (To: TRILOKI PANT)

Date	Time	Office	Event
07/04/2017	15:07:00	Pithoragarh H.O	Item delivered (To: TRILOKI PANT)
07/04/2017	10:23:12	Pithoragarh H.O	Item Received
07/04/2017	10:23:12	Pithoragarh H.O	Bag Opened
07/04/2017	09:37:37	Pithoragarh H.O	Bag Received
06/04/2017	20:28:58	ICH PITHORAGARH	Bag Despatched to Pithoragarh H.O
06/04/2017	19:33:26	ICH PITHORAGARH	Item Bagged for Pithoragarh H.O
06/04/2017	15:04:32	ICH PITHORAGARH	Item Received
03/04/2017	15:39:45	NSH BAREILLY	Bag Despatched to NSH MORADABAD
03/04/2017	13:17:09	NSH BAREILLY	Item Bagged for NSH MORADABAD
03/04/2017	08:21:01	NSH BAREILLY	Item Received
03/04/2017	08:20:59	NSH BAREILLY	Bag Opened
03/04/2017	08:17:46	NSH BAREILLY	Bag Received
02/04/2017	20:19:36	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH BAREILLY
02/04/2017	03:00:22	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH BAREILLY
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Item Received
01/04/2017	19:44:59	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Opened
01/04/2017	19:42:06	NSH ALLAHABAD	Bag Received
01/04/2017	18:54:44	MBC ALLAHABAD	Bag Despatched to NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	18:54:05	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Bagged for NSH ALLAHABAD
01/04/2017	17:28:40	MBC ALLAHABAD	Item Booked

[Home](#)
[About Us](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[Sitemap](#)
[RTI](#)
[Employee Corner](#)
[CEPT](#)
[Forms](#)
[Help & FAQs](#)
[Tenders](#)
[Opportunities](#)
[Holidays](#)
[Download Fonts](#)
[Related Links](#)
[e-Mail](#)
[Disclaimer](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)
[Copyright Policy](#)
[Terms & Conditions](#)
[Web Information Manager](#)
[STQC Report](#)

[Subscribe Newsletter](#)

Enter your email...


 This website belongs to Department of Posts, Ministry of Communications, Government of India
 Site Created and Maintained by Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.

 Site is best viewed in Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 9.0 and above at 1024 x 768 resolution
 Last updated on 09-Apr-2017



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/0827/2017
Date: 13th April, 2017

To,

Dr. Vrijendra Singh,
E-02, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 7376581984
Email: vrij@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/968/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666955IN. The said letter was returned from your residence twice and then sent to your department on 12.04.2017 for handing over to you. However, it was acknowledged by you through your email on 01.04.2017.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **15.04.2017** otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

- Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:27 PM

To: Ashutosh Singh <ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,
54-A, Lowther Road, Near Maharani Motors,
George Town, Allahabad-211002
Mobile: 9450611928
Email: ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Ashutosh Kumar Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR' (E&A)/975/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666947IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure '1' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **17.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

 ashutosh_singh.pdf
155K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:29 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Lokendra Tiwari <lokendra.kt@gmail.com>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean_fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr_fa@iiita.ac.in>,
"Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari

LIG No. 1, Kalindipuram, Allahabad- 211011

Mobile: 9450624256

Email: lokendra.kt@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/978/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666916IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure '1' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **17.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/e)

 lokendra_tiwari.pdf
153K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:30 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Pravin Kumar <pravin.papers@gmail.com>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

To,

Dr. Pravin Kumar,

S/o Sri Shiva Nandan Singh

Vill./Post-Dina Chakla, Distrei-Khagaria

Bihar-851212

Mobile: 9818273764

Email: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Pravin Kumar,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/963/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666859IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 04.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **18.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

① Deputy Registrar (Admin)

① Deputy Registrar (R & A/c)

 pravin_kumar.pdf
161K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:32 PM

To: Ranjana Vyas <ranjana@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr_fa@iiita.ac.in>,
"Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Ranjana Vyas,
E-11, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 8577955160
Email: ranjana@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Ranjana Vyas,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/970/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666876IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **17.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)

9 Deputy Registrar (T & A/c)

 ranjana_vyas.pdf
162K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:33 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Ranjit Singh <ranjitsingh13@gmail.com>, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Ranjit Singh,
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration
Assam University, Silchar
Assam - 788011
Mobile: 9435735868
Email: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Ranjit Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/964/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666880IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to Assam University (S.O.) on 06.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **20.04.2017** otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)

③ Deputy Registrar (P & A/c)

 **ranjit_singh.pdf**
167K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:34 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Sanjai Singh <:ssingh@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>,

"Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Sanjai Singh,
RGIT, Tikarmafi, Amethi
Mobile: 9450042186
Email: ssingh@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Sanjai Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/969/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666805IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 05.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **19.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

 sanjai_singh.pdf
163K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:36 PM

To: Saurabh Mishra <saurabh135@rediffmail.com>, Saurabh Mishra <saurabhmishraiita2012@gmail.com>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>,"Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Saurabh Mishra,
43 A, Tagore Town, Allahabad- 211002

Mobile: 8005199535

Email: saurabh135@rediffmail.com,saurabhmishraiita2012@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/973/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666862IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 03.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure '1'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **17.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)

Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

 saurabh_mishra.pdf

153K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:37 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: shashikant rai <shashikant.raii@gmail.com>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean_fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr_fa@iiita.ac.in>,
"Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <dr_a@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

B1/45, Sector-D1, LDA Colony, Kanpur Road

Lucknow

Mobile: 9454555535

Email: shashikant.raii@gmail.com, drshashikant.iiita@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/974/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666814IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 06.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at Annexure '1' for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **20.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: As above

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.

Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)

Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)

TO Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

 **sk_rai.pdf**
168K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:39 PM

To: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, T Pant <trylucky@gmail.com>

Cc: Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Triloki Pant,
KK Pharmacy, Takana Line, Pithoragarh
Uttarakhand - 262501
Email: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, trylucky@gmail.com

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Triloki Pant,

This has reference to the letter no. IIIT-A/DR (E&A)/976/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666978IN. As per the records available on the website of the Indian Postal Department (www.indiapost.gov.in), the said letter was delivered to you on 07.04.2017. Consignment tracking report is enclosed herewith as at **Annexure 'I'** for your ready reference.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **21.04.2017**, otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Enclosure: *As above*

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

 **triloki_pant.pdf**
166K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:40 PM

To: Vrijendra Singh <vrij@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>, Dean Faculty Affairs <dean.fa@iiita.ac.in>, DR FA <dr.fa@iiita.ac.in>, "Deputy Registrar (Admin)" <jr.a@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Vrijendra Singh,
E-02, IIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 7376581984
Email: vrij@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Reminder about the letter dated: 31.03.2017

Dear Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

This has reference to the letter no. IIT-A/DR (E&A)/968/2017, dated: 31.03.2017 which was sent to you vide email dated: 01.04.2017 and also through Speed Post Consignment No. EU594666955IN. The said letter was returned from your residence twice and then sent to your department on 12.04.2017 for handing over to you. However, it was acknowledged by you through your email on 01.04.2017.

You have to reply to the said letter within two weeks from the date of the receipt as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, you are requested to send your reply by **15.04.2017** otherwise it will be presumed that you have nothing to say in this matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

- Ø Hon'ble Director, IIT-A – As per orders please.
- Ø Dean (Faculty Affairs)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (Admin)
- Ø Deputy Registrar (F & A/c)

 **vrijendra_singh.pdf**
70K



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

Ann. C-1

Deoghal, Jhalwa, Allahabad - 211012 (U.P.), INDIA
Ph. : +91-532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : +91-532-2922125, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.IIIT-A/Sec. BOG /2017

Dated: April 17, 2017

Office Order

Sub: Constitution of Sub-committee of BOG-reg.

While considering the Agenda Item No. 3.03 in compliance of the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016 and all connected matters, the Board of Governors of the Institute in its third meeting held on 10.4.2017, constituted a Sub-committee consisting of the following members:

1. Sh. Sanjay Sharma -Chairman
2. Prof. Uma Shankar Tiwary, Dean (IRP)- Member
3. Prof. Sudip Sanyal, Dean (FA)- Member

The Sub-Committee of the BoG would examine the representations received from 17 faculty members in response to the notices served to them, provide opportunity of hearing to each affected faculty and have a fresh look. Apart from this, the Sub-Committee is also authorized to take any other steps deemed fit to ensure observance of principles of natural justice.

The designated Sub Committee will submit its report for each affected faculty member separately to the BoG in its next meeting.


Secretary,

BOG, IIIT-Allahabad

Copy to:

- Chairman, BOG
- Hon'ble Director
- All Concerned



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

(A University Established under sec. 3 of ugc Act. 1956 vide Notification No. F. 9-4/99-U. 3 Dated 4/08/2000 of Govt. of India)

(A Centre of Excellence in IT, Established by Govt. of India)

Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922000 Fax 0532-2430006, 2922144. Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.IIIT-A/Sec. BOG (R) /2017

Dated: May 2, 2017

Office Order

Sub: Constitution of Sub-committee of BOG-reg.

This is in continuation to the Office Order F.No.IIIT-A/Sec.BOG/2017 dated April 17, 2017 regarding constitution of a Sub-committee to look into the matter of affected faculty members in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. As Shri Sanjay Sharma, Chairman of the Sub-committee unable to spare time due to his prior engagements, hon'ble Chairman, BOG has directed rest of the Sub-committee members to go ahead without Shri Sanjay Sharma and submit its report for each affected faculty member separately to the BOG in its next meeting so that the issue of affected faculty members may be settled within the stipulated time as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The other terms and conditions of the Sub-committee shall remain unchanged as notified vide the Office Order dated 17.4.2017.

The Institute will provide secretarial assistance to the Sub-committee.


Secretary

BOG, IIIT-Allahabad

Copy to:

- The Hon'ble Chairman, BOG
- Hon'ble Director
- All the members of the BOG
- All Concerned



Deputy Registrar (Admin) <dr.a@iitla.ac.in>

Fwd: Re-constitution of Sub-Committee-reg.

1 message

DIRECTOR <director@iitla.ac.in>

Tue, May 2, 2017 at 12:08 AM

To: DR FA <dr.fa@iitla.ac.in>, "Dr. Asheesh Kumar Kumar" <dr.a@iitla.ac.in>

PI take n/A

G C Nandi

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ravi Kant <rkant644@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, May 2, 2017 at 11:23 AM

Subject: Re: Re-constitution of Sub-Committee-reg.

To: DIRECTOR <director@iitla.ac.in>

I agree with your recommendation to go ahead without Mr Sharma. Please take necessary action . Thank you.
Ravi Kant

Sent from my iPad

On 01-May-2017, at 1:05 PM, DIRECTOR <director@iitla.ac.in> wrote:

Respected Sir,

The attachment is enclosed for your kind approval.

Regards

G C Nandi

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mukesh Rawat <mukesh@iitla.ac.in>

Date: Mon, May 1, 2017 at 12:19 PM

Subject: Re-constitution of Sub-Committee-reg.

To: Director IIITA <director@iitla.ac.in>, "Prof. G.C Nandi" <gcnandi@iitla.ac.in>

Respected Sir,

May kindly find attached herewith the scanned copy of the Note.

Best regards,

Mukesh

Prof G C Nandi

Director (Offg), Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad.

<Reconstitution of Sub-committee.pdf>

Prof G C Nandi

Director (Offg), Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD

F. No.: IIIT-A/Sec. BOG/SLP /2017

Date: May 01, 2017

NOTE

Sub: Re-constitution of Sub-committee of BOG.

While considering the Agenda Item No. 3.03 the BOG in its third meeting held on 10.4.2017, has decided to constitute a Sub-committee to look into the matter of affected faculty members in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. Accordingly, a Sub-committee was formed consisting of the following and notified vide Office Order F.No.IIIT-A/Sec. BOG/2017 dated April 17, 2017A:

1. Sh. Sanjay Sharma -Chairman
2. Prof. Uma Shankar Tiwary, Dean (IRP)- Member
3. Prof. Sudip Sanyal, Dean (FA)- Member

In this context, Principal Secretary, U.P. Govt. vide its letter F.No. 6005-78-2-2017-57 EL/98TC dated 21st April 2017 has informed the Institute that due to other important assignments during this period it would not be possible to spare Sh. Sanjay Sharma for the said meetings. Subsequently, vide letter F.No. IIIT-A/111/2017 dated 21st April, 2017 he was requested by the Institute to spare Mr. Sharma for some time. A number of telephonic requests have also been made by the Director to the Principal Secretary in this regard but till date no written confirmation has been received. The undersigned has also contacted Sh. Sanjay Sharma several times and requested him to spare some time for the meeting but he expressed his inability as no instructions were given by the Principal Secretary to him.

In view of the above, it is requested that Hon'ble Chairman, BOG may be requested to reconstitute a Sub-committee of the BOG, IIIT-A so that the issue of affected faculty may be settled within the stipulated time as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Submitted for kind consideration and approval pl.


(O.P. Srivastava)
Secretary, BOG
IIIT-Allahabad

Hon'ble Director pl.

*Respected Sir,
We may go ahead without Mr Sharma.
Placed for your kind decision*

(Off. Dir.)
11/5/17

महत्वपूर्ण

संख्या-60578-2-2017-57इले/98टीसी।।

प्रेषक,

संजीव सरन,
अपर मुख्य सचिव,
उ०प्र० शासन।

सेवा में,

सचिव,
बोर्ड ऑफ गवर्नर्स,
आई.आई.आई.टी., इलाहाबाद,
उत्तर प्रदेश।

आई.टी. एवं इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स अनुभाग-2

लखनऊ : 2 अप्रैल, 2017

विषय: बोर्ड ऑफ गवर्नर्स की माननीय सर्वोच्च न्यायालय के एस.एल.पी. नम्बर-13914 से 13926/2016 में किये गये निर्णय के अनुपालन में उप-समिति के गठन के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

कृपया उपर्युक्त विषयक अपने पत्र संख्या-आईआईआईटी-ए/सेक.बीओजी/2017 दिनांक 17.04.2017 का सन्दर्भ ग्रहण करने का कष्ट करें जिसके माध्यम से उप-समिति के गठन में श्री संजय शर्मा, वरिष्ठ सलाहकार, आई.टी. एवं इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स विभाग को इस उप-समिति का अध्यक्ष नामित किया गया है।

2-- इस सम्बन्ध में मुझे यह कहने का निदेश हुआ है, कि श्री संजय शर्मा की अन्य अधिकारिक/महत्वपूर्ण कार्यों में व्यस्तता को देखते हुए उनके द्वारा उक्त उप-समिति का अध्यक्ष बनना सम्भव नहीं है। कृपया अवगत होना चाहें।

भवदीय,

(संजीव सरन)
अपर मुख्य सचिव

संख्या-60578-2-2017-57इले/98टीसी।।

उपर्युक्त की प्रतिलिपि निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:-

1. अध्यक्ष, बोर्ड ऑफ गवर्नर्स, आई.आई.आई.टी., इलाहाबाद।
2. निदेशक, आई.आई.आई.टी., इलाहाबाद।
3. निदेशक, एफ.ए।
4. डीन, आई.आर.पी।
5. डीन, एफ.ए।
6. गार्ड फाइल।

आज्ञा से,

(महेन्द्र प्रसाद भारती)
अनु सचिव।



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
 Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/962/2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr Anurika Vaish
 506/A, Mamfordganj
 Allahabad 211 002
 Mob 9415235172
 Email: anurika@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/962/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated: 10.4.2017 received through email/post on 12.4.2017

Dear Dr. Anurika Vaish,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 10:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ **Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee**



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430056, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 77 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Vijaishri Tiwari,
2, Elgin Road, Civil Lines,
Allahabad, U.P.
Email: vijayshri@iita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/966/2017 dated 31st March, 2017
(ii) Your reply dated: 11.4.2017 received in person on 11.4.2017

Dear Dr Vijaishri Tiwari,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 10:20 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 92 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Manish Goswami,
D-15, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 9792947813
Email: manishgoswami@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No. IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/967/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.
(ii) Your reply dated: 11.4.2017 received on 12.4.2017 in person

Dear Dr Manish Goswami,


This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on 12th May, 2017 at 10:40 AM in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 93 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Pavan Chakraborty,
E-09, IIT-A,
Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 9415014636
Email: pavan@iita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/965/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated: nil received on 18.4.2017 in person.

Dear Dr Pavan Chakraborty,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 11:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 511 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Vrijendra Singh,
E-02, IIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 7376581984
Email: vrij@iita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/968/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated: April 14, 2017 received through email

Dear Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on 12th May, 2017 at 11:20 AM in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 75 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh,
B-8, IIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211012
Mobile: 99189999926
Email: kpsingh@iita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No. IIT-A/DR(E&A)/971/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 11.4.2017 received by hand

Dear Dr Krishna Pratap Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on 12th May, 2017 at 11:40 AM in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 96 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Ranjana Vyas,
E-11, IIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 8577955160
Email: ranjana@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/970/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 13.4.2017 received on 14.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr Ranjana Vyas,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 12:00 Noon** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067 Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 97 /2017
Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Sonali Agarwal,
D-26, IIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 9415647042
Email: sonali@iita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/977/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.
(ii) Your reply dated 12.4.2017 received on 12.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr. Sonali Agarwal,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on 12th May, 2017 at 12:20 PM in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iitaa.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iitaa.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 78 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,
54-A, Lowther Road, Near Maharani Motors,
George Town, Allahabad-211002
Mobile: 9450611928
Email: ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/975/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 16.4.2016 received on 18.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 4:00 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 77 /2017
Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Sanjai Singh,
D-7, IIT Allahabad Campus
Allahabad, 211 015
Mobile: 9450042186
Email: ssingh@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/969/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.
(ii) Your reply dated 17th April, 2017 received through email on 17.4.2017

Dear Dr Sanjay Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 4:30 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph : 0532-2922025, 2922067. Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 100 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Ranjit Singh,
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration
Assam University, Silchar
Assam - 788011
Mobile: 9435735868, Email: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/964/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 13.4.2017 received on 21.4.2017 by Post

Dear Dr. Ranjit Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 10:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 101 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Pravin Kumar,
S/o Sri Shiva Nandan Singh
Vill./Post-Dina Chakla, Distrei-Khagaria
Bihar-851212
Mobile: 9818273764, Email: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/963/2017 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 13.4.2017 received through email on 13.4.2017

Dear Dr. Pravin Kumar,


This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on 13th May, 2017 at 10:30 AM in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph. : 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 102 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Triloki Pant,
KK Pharmacy, Takana Line, Pithoragarh
Uttarakhand - 262501
Email: tpant.es@doonuniversity.ac.in, trvlukky@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/976/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 18.4.2017 received on 24.4.2017 by post

Dear Dr Triloki Pant,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 11:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 103 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Saurabh Mishra
43 A, Tagore Town, Allahabad- 211002
Mobile: 8005199535
Email: saurabh135@rediffmail.com, saurabhmishraiiita2012

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/973/2017 dated 31st March, 2017
(ii) Your reply dated 17.4.2017 received on 21.4.2017 by post

Dear Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 11:30 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iiita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 104 /2017
Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,
B1/45, Sector-D1, LDA Colony, Kanpur Road
Lucknow
Mobile: 9454555535
Email: shashikantrai@gmail.com, drshashikant.iiita@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

**Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/974/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.
(ii) Your reply dated 20.4.2017 received on 20.4.2017 by hand**

Dear Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,


This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 12:00 Noon** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in. E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 105 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari
LIG No. 1, Kalindipuram, Allahabad- 211011
Mobile: 9450624256
Email: lokendra.kt@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

**Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/978/2017 dated 31st March, 2017
(ii) Your reply dated 17.4.2017**

Dear Dr Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 12:30 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

An Institute of National Importance by Act of Parliament
Deoghat, Jhalwa, Allahabad-211012 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph.: 0532-2922025, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, Web : www.iita.ac.in, E-mail : contact@iita.ac.in

F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/ 66 /2017

Date: 4th May, 2017

Dr. Abhishek Vaish,
Counsellor (S&T)
Embassy of India
Russia
Email: abhishek@iita.ac.in, snt.moscow@mea.gov.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No. IIT-A/DR(E&A)/972/2017 dated 31st March, 2017
(ii) Your reply dated 13th April, 2017 received through email


Dear Dr. Abhishek Vaish,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee in person or through SKYPE on '12th May, 2017 at 5:00 PM' or '13th May, 2017 at 4:00 PM'. In case, it is convenient for you to appear in person then please bring all supporting documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG. Kindly confirm your schedule if appearing through SKYPE with Skype Address.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,


Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

➤ Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee

SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409658
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: DR. AMRISHA WISHI,
RUSKAN FEZEWATUNG, PIN:00
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:10
<Track on www.indiapost.gov.in>



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409658
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: KRISHNA P SINGH,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:10
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>.



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409658
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: LOKENDRA K TILAK,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:09
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:0



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409644
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: VIRENDRA SINGH,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:11
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:




SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409689
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: ASHUTOSH K SINGH,
ALLAHABAD KUTCHERY, PIN:211002
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:09
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:0



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409635
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: PAVAN CHAKRABORTY,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:11
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:0




SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409675
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: SWAMI ANAND,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:10
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:0



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409627
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: RAJESH GOSWAMI,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:12
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:0



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409661
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: RAJANI WAS,
DHOWAHANI, PIN:211011
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:10
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:0



SP ALLAHABAD HO <2110> भारतीय डाक
EU788409613
Counter No:2, CP-Code:004
To: ANRIKA VAISH,
ALLAHABAD KUTCHERY, PIN:211002
From: D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:12
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday)>:



Post
Amour
ing Bal
g Bala

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU7884092600
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:VIJAYSHREE TIWARI,
ALLAHABAD, PIN:211001
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:13
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday):0

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU7884092595
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:SARAFI NISHA,
ALLAHABAD KITCHERY, PIN:211002
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:13
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday):0

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU7884092692
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:SANJAY SINGH,
DHOWAHANT, PIN:211011
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:17.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:14
Taxes:Rs.2.00<EDD(If not a holiday):0

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU7884092542
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:RANJIT SINGH,
ASSAM UNIVERSITY, PIN:788011
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:40.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:14
Taxes:Rs.5.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU78840925561
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:PAVIN KUMAR, DINA CHARLA
MAGORIA, PIN:851204
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:40.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:15
Taxes:Rs.5.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU78840925601
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:TRILOKI PANT,
PITHORAGARH, PIN:242501
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:40.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:16
Taxes:Rs.5.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00:0

SP ALLAHABAD HD <21100501300
EU7884092573
Counter No:2, CP-Code
To:SHASHI KANT RAI, L D C DUMRAH
LUCKNOW, PIN:226001
From:D R A IIIT, ALLD
Wt:20grams,
Amt:40.00 ,05/05/2017 ,16:17
Taxes:Rs.5.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00

ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the sub-committee of BoG

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:24 PM

To: Anurika Vaish <anurika@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr Anurika Vaish
506/A, Mamfordganj
Allahabad 211 002
Mob 9415235172

Email: anurika@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/962/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated: 10.4.2017 received through email/post on 12.4.2017

Dear Dr. Anurika Vaish,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 10:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee

Dr Anurika Vaish.pdf



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iitaa.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:26 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iitaa.ac.in>

To: Vijayshri Tiwari <vijayshri@iitaa.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iitaa.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iitaa.ac.in>

Dr. Vijaishri Tiwari,
2, Elgin Road, Civil Lines,
Allahabad, U.P.

Email: vijayshri@iitaa.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No F.No.: IIT-A/DR(E&A)/966/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated: 11.4.2017 received in person on 11.4.2017

Dear Dr Vijaishri Tiwari,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 10:20 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee

 **Dr. Vijayshri Tiwari.pdf**
404K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:28 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Manish Goswami <manishgoswami@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Manish Goswami,
D-15, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad
Mobile: 9792947813
Email: manishgoswami@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No. IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/967/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated: 11.4.2017 received on 12.4.2017 in person

Dear Dr Manish Goswami,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 10:40 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Manish Goswami.pdf

392K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:30 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>
To: Pavan Chakraborty <pavan@iiita.ac.in>
Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Pavan Chakraborty,

E-09, IIIT-A,

Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211002

Mobile: 9415014636

Email: pavan@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/965/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated: nil received on 18.4.2017 in person.

Dear Dr Pavan Chakraborty,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 11:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee

 Dr. Pavan Chakraborty.pdf
419K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:32 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Vrijendra Singh <vrij@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

E-02, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad

Mobile: 7376581984

Email: vrij@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/968/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated: April 14, 2017 received through email

Dear Dr. Vrijendra Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 11:20 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

230

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee

 Dr. Vrijendra Singh.pdf
388K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:34 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: "K. P. Singh" <kpsingh@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh,
D-8, IIIT-A, Jhalwa, Allahabad- 211012
Mobile: 99189999926
Email: kpsingh@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No. IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/971/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 11.4.2017 received by hand

Dear Dr Krishna Pratap Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 11:40 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh.pdf

400K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:43 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>
To: Ranjana Vyas <ranjana@iiita.ac.in>
Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Ranjana Vyas,
E-11, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 8577955160
Email: ranjana@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/970/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 13.4.2017 received on 14.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr Ranjana Vyas,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 12:00 Noon** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee

 Dr. Ranjana Vyas.pdf
400K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:44 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Sonali Agarwal <sonali@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Sonali Agarwal,
D-26, IIIT-A, Jhalwa,
Allahabad-211012
Mobile: 9415647042
Email: sonali@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/977/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 12.4.2017 received on 12.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr. Sonali Agarwal,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 12:20 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

236

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Sonali Agarwal.pdf

387K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iit.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:51 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iit.ac.in>
To: Ashutosh Singh <ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com>
Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iit.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iit.ac.in>

Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,
54-A, Lowther Road, Near Maharani Motors,
George Town, Allahabad-211002
Mobile: 9450611928
Email: ashutosh.mnnit1@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/975/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 16.4.2016 received on 18.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 4:00 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Ashutosh Kr Singh.pdf

382K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:53 PM

To: Sanjai Singh <:ssingh@iiita.ac.in>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Sanjai Singh,

D-7, IIIT Allahabad Campus

Allahabad, 211 015

Mobile: 9450042186

Email: ssingh@iiita.ac.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/969/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 17th April, 2017 received through email on 17.4.2017

Dear Dr Sanjay Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **12th May, 2017 at 4:30 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Sanjay Singh.pdf

391K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 12:54 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Ranjit Singh <ranjitsingh13@gmail.com>, look_for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Ranjit Singh,

Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration

Assam University, Silchar

Assam - 788011

Mobile: 9435735868,

Email: ranjitsingh13@gmail.com, look_

for_ranjit@yahoo.co.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/964/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 13.4.2017 received on 21.4.2017 by Post

Dear Dr. Ranjit Singh,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 10:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Ranjit Singh.pdf

413K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 1:04 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: Pravin Kumar <pravin.papers@gmail.com>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Pravin Kumar,

S/o Sri Shiva Nandan Singh

Vill./Post-Dina Chakla, Distrci-Khagaria

Bihar-851212

Mobile: 9818273764, Email: pravin.papers@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/963/2017 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 13.4.2017 received through email on 13.4.2017

Dear Dr. Pravin Kumar,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 10:30 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Pravin Kumar.pdf

405K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 1:05 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, T Pant <trylucky@gmail.com>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Triloki Pant,
KK Pharmacy, Takana Line, Pithoragarh
Uttarakhand – 262501
Email: tpant.cs@doonuniversity.ac.in, trylucky@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/976/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 18.4.2017 received on 24.4.2017 by post

Dear Dr Triloki Pant,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 11:00 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

246

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Triloki Pant.pdf
404K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 1:07 PM

To: Saurabh Mishra <saurabh135@rediffmail.com>, Saurabh Mishra <saurabhmishraiita2012@gmail.com>

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Saurabh Mishra

43 A, Tagore Town, Allahabad- 211002

Mobile: 8005199535

Email: saurabh135@rediffmail.com, saurabhmishraiita2012

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/973/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 17.4.2017 received on 21.4.2017 by post

Dear Dr. Saurabh Mishra,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 11:30 AM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Saurabh Mishra.pdf

427K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 1:08 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

To: shashikant rai <shashikant.ra1@gmail.com>, drshashikant.iiita@gmail.com

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

B1/45, Sector-D1, LDA Colony, Kanpur Road

Lucknow

Mobile: 9454555535

Email: shashikant.ra1@gmail.com, drshashikant.iiita@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/974/2017 dated 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Your reply dated 20.4.2017 received on 20.4.2017 by hand

Dear Dr. Shashi Kant Rai,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 12:00 Noon** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

250

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. shashi Kant Rai.pdf

429K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iita.ac.in>
To: Lokendra Tiwari <lokendra.kt@gmail.com>

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 1:09 PM

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari

LIG No. 1, Kalindipuram, Allahabad- 211011

Mobile: 9450624256

Email: lokendra.kt@gmail.com

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No IIT-A/DR(E&A)/978/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 17.4.2017

Dear Dr Lokendra Kumar Tiwari,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee on **13th May, 2017 at 12:30 PM** in the Board Room of Admin Building, IIT-A. You are also requested to bring all supported documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG.

No TA/DA will be borne by the Institute for this purpose.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

252

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari.pdf

391K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 1:10 PM

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iita.ac.in>

To: Abhishek Vaish <abhishek@iita.ac.in>, snt.moscow@mea.gov.in

Cc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iita.ac.in>

Dr. Abhishek Vaish,

Counsellor (S&T)

Embassy of India

Russia

Email: abhishek@iita.ac.in, snt.moscow@mea.gov.in

Sub: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Ref: (i) This Institute Letter No. IIIT-A/DR(E&A)/972/2017 dated 31st March, 2017

(ii) Your reply dated 13th April, 2017 received through email

Dear Dr. Abhishek Vaish,

This is in compliance to the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgement in SLP No. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. In this connection a subcommittee has been formed by Board of Governors, IIIT-Allahabad. The Sub Committee has gone through your representation. It has taken into account the concern of some of the affected faculty members regarding Comparative Chart enclosed with Ann.-A as page no. 18 to 33 of the notice served. The Sub-committee after deliberating the reply and notices served to each affected faculty members resolved that all individual facts will be verified from applications submitted, certificate attached/original documents produced or any other facts brought to the notice of the Committee, not with the Comparative Chart served as page nos. 18 to 33 to all affected faculty members. It has further been decided by the designated Sub-committee to call each affected faculty member to appear before it on a scheduled date and time for affording an opportunity of hearing.

In this context, you are, therefore, requested to appear before the designated sub-committee in person or through SKYPE on '12th May, 2017 at 5:00 PM' or '13th May, 2017 at 4:00 PM'. In case, it is convenient for you to appear in person then please bring all supporting documents in original, deemed fit to produce them before the designated sub-committee of BoG. Kindly confirm your schedule if appearing through SKYPE with Skype Address.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Deputy Registrar (E & A)

Copy to:

Ø Hon'ble Members of the Sub-committee



Dr. Ahishek Vaish.pdf

422K

ALLAHABAD

Ann. F-1

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Abhishek Vaish <counsellorsnt@gmail.com>

To: pkasaini@iiita.ac.in

Mon, May 8, 2017 at 1:55 PM

Dear Mr. Saini,

Thanks a lot for your email. As you might be aware that Prime Minister visit is schedule for Russia during the end of this month, the entire Mission is very busy with the preparation. I understand the urgency of this event as well, However, i may not be able to present in person and can only be available through Skype call .

I prefer to meet the committee through Skype on 12th May, However, in case of any urgent call on by Ambassador or by Ministry, i may postpone it for 13th.

Thank you in anticipation.

Regards

Dr. Abhishek Vaish

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dr. Abhishek Vaish DS&T/MS&T** <snt.moscow@mea.gov.in>

Date: Mon, May 8, 2017 at 10:10 AM

Subject: Fwd: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

To: counsellorsnt@gmail.com

----- Original Message -----

From: **"Dr. Abhishek Vaish DS&T/MS&T"** <snt.moscow@mea.gov.in>

Date: May 8, 2017 10:07:48 AM

Subject: Fwd: Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

To: Moscow Counsellor(S&T) Office Embassy of India <counsellorsntoffice@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

[Quoted text hidden]

Dr. Abhishek Vaish
Counsellor (S&T)
Embassy of India
Moscow (Russia)
Phone/Fax +7-495-9160297

Dr. Abhishek Vaish
Counsellor (S&T)
Embassy of India
Moscow (Russia)
Phone/Fax +7-495-9160297



Dr. Ahishek Vaish.pdf
422K



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Tue, May 9, 2017 at 5:24 PM

To: Abhishek Vaish <counsellorsnt@gmail.com>

Bcc: "U. S. UST" <ust@iiita.ac.in>, Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iiita.ac.in>

Dear Dr Abhishek:

Please provide me your SKYPE address and be available whether on '12th May, 2017 at 5:00 PM' or '13th May, 2017 at 4:00 PM' (IST) and the same may be intimated to me accordingly.

You may confirm your online trial at 1:30 PM (IST) at +91-9935510884 (Pankaj Srivastava)/ +91-9935142160 (Ajay Tiwari) on the day of your hearing.

Regards,

Yours sincerely

Pavan Kumar Saini
DR (E&A)

[Quoted text hidden]



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Abhishek Vaish <counsellorsnt@gmail.com>

Wed, May 10, 2017 at 1:43 PM

To: Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

My skype id is abhishekvaish7 . I can confirm my participation only an hour before.

Regards

[Quoted text hidden]



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Abhishek Vaish <counsellorsnt@gmail.com>
To: Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>
Cc: Director IIITA <director@iiita.ac.in>

Fri, May 12, 2017 at 1:07 PM

Dear Mr. Saini,

Kindly refer to our correspondence regarding Skype call on 12th May or 13th May, 17 with the members of the committee constituted by the institute.

Unfortunately, I may not be able to attend the meeting due to fixation of India-Russian joint working group meeting between both the Government. In the light of this prior engagements and the meeting by the institute as the time bound activity set by Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I would request the institute to consider my written response as the final response Dt: April-13,17 as the final response from my side.

Regards

Dr. Abhishek Vaish

[Quoted text hidden]

IIITALLAHABAD

Ann. G-1

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Dr. Pravin Kumar <pravin.papers@gmail.com>

Fri, May 5, 2017 at 7:09 PM

To: Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iiita.ac.in>

Dear Dr. Saini Jee

It is very difficult to come with in very short notice period. Train ticket is not available. Please provide me the train ticket or give some more time at least 1 week more.

Regards.

Dr. Pravin Kumar.

[Quoted text hidden]



Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Pavan Kumar Saini <pkasaini@iita.ac.in>

Sat, May 6, 2017 at 2:07 PM

To: "Dr. Pravin Kumar" <pravin.papers@gmail.com>

Cc: Sudip Sanyal <ssanyal@iita.ac.in>, "U. S. UST" <ust@iita.ac.in>

Dear Dr Pravin:

I have discussed your request to provide one week more time to attend hearing.

The concern authority is pleased to provide you one week more time. Now, you can attend hearing on 19th May, 2017 at 10:00 AM, instead of earlier allotted scheduled date and time, at the same venue.

Please note that no further request will be entertained in this regard.

Yours sincerely,

Pavan Kumar Saini

Dy Reg (E&A)

[Quoted text hidden]

IIT ALLAHABAD

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing before the Sub-committee of BoG

Dr. Pravin Kumar <pravin.papers@gmail.com>
To: Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iiita.ac.in>

Sat, May 6, 2017 at 2:45 PM

Thank you sir, I got the confirm tickets for hearing on 19th May 2017.

Regards.

Dr. Pravin Kumar.
[Quoted text hidden]



Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iitaa.ac.in>

Opportunity of Hearing

Pavan Kumar Saini <pksaini@iitaa.ac.in>
To: Pravin Kumar <pravin.papers@gmail.com>

Thu, May 11, 2017 at 5:10 PM

Dear Dr Pravin:

As per directive of the Hon'ble Supreme court, you have been given opportunity of hearing which may kindly be availed to honour the direction of the apex court. The BoG has constituted a sub-committee as follows: Prof. U.S. Tiwary & Prof. S. Sanyal. This committee will only verify the facts and will hear you. The final decision will be taken by the Board of Governors.

You are requested to bring all original documents in support of your educational qualifications and experience which you mentioned in your application form against the advertisement.

It is assured that the processing of hearing will be transparent and videographed.

This is being sent with the instruction of the Competent authority.

Yours sincerely

Pavan Kumar Saini
DR (E&A)

**Report of the Sub-Committee of BOG constituted vide Agenda Item
no. 3.03 of 3rd BOG Meeting Dated 10th April 2017 in the matter of
the appointments made by the institute on 6th April 2013**

Indian Institute of Information Technology Allahabad (IIITA) was established in 1999 by the Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, as a centre of excellence in Information Technology and allied areas. It is currently governed by parliamentary act before which it was a deemed university under the IIITA Society (registered in the Year 1999) and governed by its Society Memorandum of Association (MOA) which was framed by MHRD and its Board. In the year 2011, after adoption of UGC Rules and Regulation 2010, new MOA was registered by IIITA which triggered several controversies and some of these were settled by Hon'ble High Court Allahabad in the year 2014.

IIITA made appointments on various teaching positions on 6th April 2013. Subsequently, on 1st Feb 2014 the Board of Management (BOM) in its 8th meeting cancelled these appointments. Some of the affected persons went to Hon'ble High Court Allahabad. Hon'ble High Court Allahabad passed a detailed judgement in the matter on 11.12.2015. In accordance with the judgement of Hon'ble High Court the BoM took some actions but again some of the petitioners moved fresh Writs and Contempt applications in Hon'ble High Court Allahabad. In response, IIITA approached Hon'ble Supreme court vide SLP no. 13914 to 13926 of 2016. Recently, Hon'ble Supreme Court passed following instructions in these SLPs:

"7. All that the appellants should have done is to make available a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting which led to cancellation of their appointments and afford an opportunity of making a representation and hearing. Short of that, the appellant-Institute has taken several other steps. Maybe they have intended well but worked out poorly. The teachers could not have been issued the show-cause notices based on any decision taken subsequent to the judgment.

8. Since we intend to remit the matters to the Institute with a direction to start the process from the stage of the judgment of the High Court dated 11.12.2015, we do not propose to make any further observations in this regard. Accordingly, these appeals are disposed of as follows:

A. The appellant-Institute shall serve a copy of the Status Report discussed in the Eighth Board Meeting to the affected teachers forthwith and also provide a further period of two weeks for making a fresh representation. On receipt of the representations, the affected teachers shall be given an opportunity of hearing on all the aspects referred to in the Status Report and on the reasons for termination as referred to in the Eighth Board Meeting. Thereafter, the Board shall take a fresh decision in the case of each individual in accordance with law. We make it clear that the only notice which the teachers could have been issued is on the basis of the consideration in the Eighth Board Meeting and not thereafter. The Status Report considered by the Eighth Board Meeting and the decision taken by the Eighth Board Meeting shall be treated as show-cause notice by the affected teachers.

B. The decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Board Meetings, as far as the further course of action for implementation of the judgment dated 11.12.2015 is concerned, are wholly unwarranted and are set aside.

[Signature]
22/05

C. Since the cancellation of appointment and consequential termination have been set aside by the High Court in the judgment dated 11.12.2015, the teachers concerned are to be deemed to be in service under law until a fresh decision is taken as per the judgment. In this context, we may also extract a submission made by the Counsel for the appellant-Institute in the High Court in Contempt Application No. 645 of 2016, which reads as follows:

"Shri Navin Sinha, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Rohan Gupta, for the opposite party, however, submits that in view of the inquiry being undertaken by the opposite party regarding irregularities in the appointment of the applicants and other affected teachers, they are not allowed to work against their post. However, he submits that as per his instructions, the applicants/appointees would be paid their salary even for the period of non working i.e. from the date of order dated 11.12.2015 passed by the Writ Court till a decision is taken by the Board. This period would not be treated as the period of non working of the applicants/appointees."

However, we make it clear that in case any of the teachers has been working elsewhere or has been working in a different capacity in the Institute, such teachers shall not be entitled to the benefit of the above declaration. Their further fate will depend on the fresh decision to be taken by the appellant.

D. The appellant-Institute shall take a fresh decision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representations from the affected teachers.

E. Since we have set aside the decisions in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Board Meetings, the contempt petitions and writ petitions do not survive. Accordingly, those contempt petitions and writ petitions are struck off from the file of the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.

9. There shall be no order as to costs."


In compliance to the aforesaid orders, the Director IIITA issued letters dated 31.03.2017 to all the seventeen concerned affected teachers, a sample copy of the letter is attached as Annexure No. 1. Subsequently, in this matter, the Board of Governors (BOG) of IIITA resolved to constitute a sub-committee of the Board consisting of following members:

1. Prof. Uma Shanker Tiwary, Dean (IRP) IIITA, and
2. Prof. Sudip Sanyal, Dean (FA) IIITA, as Member,

with following mandate,

"The Sub-Committee of BOG would examine the representations received from seventeen faculty members in response to the notices served to them, provide opportunity of hearing to each affected faculty and have a fresh look. Apart from this, the Sub-Committee is also authorized to take any other steps deemed fit to ensure observance of principles of natural justice. The Designated sub-committee will submit its report for each affected faculty member separately to the BOG in its next meeting."

After going through the responses received from the affected teachers, the judgments of Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad and Hon'ble Supreme Court and in accordance with above mandate the committee decided to examine the following issues to assist the BoG to take the appropriate decision in this regard:



2/17

1) Reasons for Advertisement being wrong

- 1.1. Applicability of UGC Regulation 2010 on IITA.
- 1.2. The records of creation of various teaching posts at IITA, the applicability of 'Service and Recruitment rules' of IITA.
- 1.3. Examining the advertisements issued by the institute after the issuance of UGC regulations 2010 by UGC till the issuance of 4-tier flexi structure by MHRD i.e. year 2011, 2012 and 2013.

2) Requirement of Teaching/Research/Industrial Experience and counting of the period of experience

- 2.1. Finding the standard way for counting the "experience" of the candidates.
- 2.2. Examining the screening committee recommendations, selection committee recommendations etc.

3) The Power of relaxation in Experience and Academic Qualification

- 3.1. The status report, the relevant issues communicated by the seventeen affected candidates in this matter and Board's view
- 3.2. The issue of the power delegated by the Board and the relaxation that may be granted as discussed in 6th meeting of the Board.
- 3.3. The (inadvertent) mistakes committed by the then Director and/or the Board and the relevant communications of Board members.

To discuss on the above said three major issues, it is crucial to place the replies received from seventeen affected teachers in response to the notices served to them and providing opportunity of hearing to each affected person by inviting them for personal interaction. The representations received from the 17 affected persons are placed at Annexure No. 10-26.


The committee has thoroughly studied each letter. The candidates were invited for personal interaction on 12th-13th May 2017. The video recording of complete proceedings was done. All relevant documents were checked from the originals. Separate reports are prepared containing the further details in this regard. The observations of the committee on the above issues are reported hereunder:

1) Reasons for Advertisement being wrong

- 1.1. Applicability of UGC Regulation 2010 on IITA.
- 1.2. The records of creation of various teaching posts at IITA, the applicability of 'Service and Recruitment rules' of IITA.
- 1.3. Examining the advertisements issued by the institute after the issuance of UGC regulations 2010 by UGC till the issuance of 4-tier flexi structure by MHRD i.e. year 2011, 2012 and 2013.

1.1. Applicability of UGC Regulation 2010 on IITA.

As long as Dr. M D Tiwari was holding the position of the Director, IITA and the Chairman, BOM, the institute took the stand that UGC (Institutions Deemed to be Universities) Regulations,


22/05

267

3/17

2010 were adopted by the society in 2011, but UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other academic staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2010 [UGC MQ regulation 2010] were not adopted hence it is not applicable to IIITA. However, Hon'ble High Court Allahabad did not accept this stand of the institute as reflected in the judgment passed in Writ 56744 of 2012, Pritish Kumar Varadwaj Vs UoI and others. Perhaps, due to the aforesaid stand, the institute did not change the requirements given in the advertisement issued by it for recruitment of faculty members 2011, 2012 and 2013.

Therefore, under the orders of Hon'ble High Court Allahabad passed in the Writ 56744 of 2012, the board undertook the matter again by taking the stand that UGC MQ Regulation 2010 should be applied. But most of the affected teachers of 2013, in their writ petition in Hon'ble High Court Allahabad and their counter affidavits in Hon'ble Supreme Court insisted that Recruitment and Service Rules of the Institute were applicable during their appointment and have taken the stand which is contrary to the stand of the Institute that UGC MQ Regulation 2010 were applicable in the matter of appointments/selections in 2013. This was also one of the issues that IIITA requested Hon'ble Supreme Court to decide the matter. However, no explicit instructions have been passed by either Hon'ble High Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court while deciding the SLP. However, the progress of BOM in this direction as reflected in the minutes of fourteenth and fifteenth of Board were set aside and institute has been directed to take a fresh decision in this regard.


1.2. The records of creation of various teaching posts at IIITA, the applicability of 'Service and Recruitment rules' of IIITA.

The academic posts in the Institute are created and sanctioned by MHRD, GoI and communicated to the Institute through various G.O.s as placed at Annexure No. 2. In this G.O.s following posts were created in the Institute:

Si. No.	Name of the Post	Pay Scale as per 5 th Pay Commission
1.	Professor	18400-500-22400
2.	Associate Professor	16400-450-20000
3.	Assistant Professor	12000-420-18300
4.	Lecturer on Contract*	10000-325-15200

* According to recruitment and service rules (1999) of the Institute.

G.O.s also mentioned that the posts will be in IIT-pay scales, subject to the condition that the recruitment rules of these posts are as in IITs. Accordingly, in 3rd BOG meeting of IIIT Allahabad


22/05


4/17

dated 18th November 1999 vide agenda item no. 3, Recruitment and Service Rules as per IIT's rules, as placed at Annexure No. 3 were approved.

On examining the available records, it is observed that in these documents at some places corrections are done by hand without any signatures. Recruitment and Service Rules available in official records is annexed by many petitioners in their Writ Petitions at Hon'ble High Court Allahabad, as placed at Annexure No. 4. The same was referred by the Institute in its counter in the Writ 56744 of 2012, Pritish Kumar Varadwaj Vs UoI and others. Bare perusal of these rules it can be inferred that they were amended as per the resolution under Item 1 (b) of the 33rd meeting (Urgent) of BoG dated 15.10.2011.

If the Board decides to set aside the UGC Regulations 2010 then, in order to avoid any conflict on this issue, it is recommended that above version of 'Recruitment and Service Rules' may be applied in this case. In the Recruitment and Service Rules, following pay scales and qualifications for various academic posts available in the Institute as given in Schedule B may be considered to be referred in the advertisement of 2013 (where the advertisements refers to for details please see the website www.iiita.ac.in):

S.N.	Post / Pay Scale *	Essential Qualifications	Experience and other conditions of Service
01.	Director Rs.25000/- (fixed)	An eminent educationist / scientist / technologist	
02.	Professor (Rs.18400-500-22400)	Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch /discipline with a very good academic record throughout.	Minimum 10 years Teaching/Research/Industrial experience of which at least 4 years should be at the level of Associate Professor in IITs, IISc. Bangalore, IIMs and NITIE Mumbai at an equivalent level in any such other Indian or foreign institutions / institutions of comparable standards.
03.	Associate Professor (Rs.16400-450-20000)	Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch /discipline with a very good academic record throughout.	Minimum 6 years Teaching/Research/Industrial experience of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent.


22/05

5/17

04.	Assistant Professor (Rs.12000-420-18300)	Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch /discipline with a very good academic record throughout.	A minimum of three years teaching/research/professional experience, excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D. Candidate should have demonstrated research capabilities in terms of publications in reputed journals and conferences.
-----	---	--	---

General Conditions

- [1] Government of India policy on reservation of faculty positions as applicable to IITs, including that for persons with disability, will apply.
- [2] A mere fulfillment of required minimum qualifications and experience does not entitle a candidate to be called for an interview / discussion.
- [3] The Institute reserves the right to fill or not to fill the posts advertised.
- [4] No correspondence whatsoever will be entertained from the candidates regarding postal delays, conduct and result of interview, and reasons for not being called for interview or selection.
- [5] Depending upon the qualification and experience, a higher start / salary may be offered in deserving cases.
- [6] Separate online application must be filled, if a candidate is applying for a faculty position in more than two Departments / Centres / or Schools.
- [7] The candidates called for interview will be paid IInd AC Train fare from their place of residence / work and back by the shortest route.
- [8] Applicants interviewed for a particular post in any area of specialization but not found suitable may be considered for the lower post in the same area of specialization.

1.3. Examining the advertisements issued by the institute in (after the issuance of UGC regulations 2010 by UGC till the issuance of 4-tier flexi structure by MHRD i.e.) year 2011, 2012 and 2013.

IITA issued an advertisement in January 2011 and then the modified the said advertisement thereafter. 2011. The modifications done are as follows:

"Professor: 9 years teaching / research / industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor / Associate Professor" modified as "Professor: 10 years teaching / research / industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Associate Professor."

Following clause has also been added in the modified advertisement:

"MHRD is actively considering the pay sclase of faculty of IITs as equivalent to IITs as available under Six Pay Commission Report. In case it is approved faculty will get grade pay equivalent to faculty of IITs otherwise that of existing ones available to the faculty of IITs."

[Signature]
22/05

6/17

Although no document could be traced for finding the reason behind these modification in the proceedings of board or otherwise.

The advertisement published in 2012 is exactly same as that of modified advertisement of 2011. But, the advertisement dated 30th January 2013 was different in terms of the qualifications asked for the Professor,

"Professor: 10 years teaching / research / industrial experience of which atleast 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor and Above"

Yet again, the committee could not trace the reason behind these modifications. The copy of the advertisements of 2011, 2012 and 2013 as placed at Annexure No. 5.

It seems that the advertisements were inadvertently manipulated (tailor made) to benefit some individuals on the instructions of the then Director Dr. M D Tiwari. They were not in compliance with any norms what so ever we assume to be applicable on the Institute in 2013.

2) Requirement of Teaching/Research/Industrial Experience and counting of the period of experience

2.1. Finding the standard way for counting the "experience" of the candidates.

2.2. Examining the screening committee recommendations, selection committee recommendations etc.


2.1. Finding the standard way for counting the "experience" of the candidates.

If the board resolved to validate the applicable service and recruitment rules and the provision of relaxations as discussed in (2) and (5) above then the larger issue in applicability of the minimum qualification and experience required for the appointment, relaxation possible and counting the experiences can be addressed. These clarifications shall form the basis on which the Board shall take final decision in present case.

In this background, we intend to leave it to the board to decide the applicability of the minimum qualification, relaxation possible as per the resolution of 6th BoM and experience required for the appointment in the present cases.

The guidelines related to the counting of past services for the direct recruitment is however not available in the Recruitment and Service Rules of the Institute. It is an established position in law that for appointment to a regular post, the required experience also has to be in a regular post of comparable nature irrespective of the Institution from which such experience is gained. Experience gained as a Guest Faculty cannot be considered equal to experience gained under a regular post. Anything which is not defined in the rules may be used for finding the experience of the candidates which states as follows:

" The previous appointment was not as guest lecturer for any duration, or an ad hoc or in a leave vacancy of less than one year duration. Ad hoc or temporary service of more than one year duration can be counted provided that:


22/05

271

7/17

- (i) the period of service was of more than one year duration;
(ii) the incumbent was appointed on the recommendation of duly constituted Selection Committee; and (iii) the incumbent was selected to the permanent post in continuation to the ad hoc or temporary service, without any break."

2.2. Examining the screening committee recommendations and the selection committee recommendations.

It is observed that the screening committee has allowed many candidates as special cases but it did not record any reason for such recommendations. The then Director approved this recommendation without recording the reasons. This clearly raises a doubt.

Since the Director being the Chairman of BoM and Chairman of the selection committee therefore it was the responsibility of the then director Dr. M D Tiwari to exercise the power of relaxation as per the mandate by the BoM and as per the provisions of recruitment and service rules of the Institute. Dr. Tiwari should have informed the members of the Selection Committee about the extent of relaxation which can be granted and the manner in which such powers could be exercised with respect to the appointment of the academic staff. Composition of selection committee require some mandatory nominations from the Board and the Chancellor which was not present as per the official records but we have discovered one office note by DR (E) in which Dr. Tiwari, the then director, by his own wish, written the names of all the nominees without any endorsement either by the Board or the Chancellor. The office note is placed at Annexure No. 6. The committee found that no resolution is present in the minutes of the Board supporting such nominations. Further, the chancellor through an email denied any such nomination in the selection committee. The email from the Chancellor is placed at Annexure No. 7. However it is not reflected in the recommendations of the Selection Committee because of following reasons:

- a. Excessive relaxation in terms of experience was granted by the selection committee while recommending some of the candidates.
- b. Relaxation in the minimum qualification was also granted by the selection committee while recommending some of the candidates.
- c. No justification of exceptionally outstanding merit as defined necessary by the advertisement is provided while recommending the candidates with relaxation
- d. Unusual recommendations were recorded by the selection committee in respect of many candidates like Dr. Shashikant Rai, Dr. Saurabh Mishra, Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, Dr. Pavan Chakraborty, Dr. Vijayshri Tiwari, Dr. Ranjana Vyas, Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari, Dr. Sonali Agarwal.
- e. Same selection committee with change of one / two internal members interviewed and recommended candidates for all the streams like IT, ECE, Management, Bioinformatics and Cyber Law and Information Security.
- f. It may be noted that the selection committee did not recommended any change in the terms and conditions of appointment to any selectee but the appointment letter issued to some selectees state that the appointment is on contract for 3 years followed by a probation period of 2 years. No such format of appointment letter was ever discussed in BoM.

8/17

- g. Dr. M D Tiwari, the Director knew the extent of relaxation which may be granted to the exceptional candidates while recommending them for appointment, as per the resolution of 6th BoM meeting (as he was the Chairman of BoM also). But unfortunately, it was found from the recommendations of Selection Committee (of which the chairman was again Dr. M. D. Tiwari) that this was not mentioned in the recommendations of the selection committee which is normally the practice. Usually the recommendation committee mentions the extraordinary qualifications of the candidate due to which any relaxation is given and the quantity of relaxation given.

3) The Power of relaxation in Experience and Academic Qualification

- 3.1. The status report, the relevant issues communicated by the seventeen affected candidates in this matter and Board's view
- 3.2. The issue of the power delegated by the Board and the relaxation that may be granted as discussed in 6th meeting of the Board.
- 3.3. The (inadvertent) mistakes committed by the then Director and/or the Board and the relevant communications of Board members.

3.1. The status report, the relevant issues communicated by the seventeen faculty members in this matter and the Board's view

The status report as presented in the 8th BOM meeting has 3 parts;

(a) **Annexure no. 16.1:** the updated information including the Writ Petition of Rahul Gupta V. Union of India & others with WP NO. 18534 of 2013 and Dr. Raj Kishore Prasad V. Union of India & others with WP NO. 29200 of 2013. Relevant excerpts are as follows:

"The institute conducted the interview for faculty positions on April 6, 2013 but series of objections were raised on the process followed for the aforesaid appointment including the advertisement, screening, interview, result announcement and the Instant joining given to the internal candidates."

"In response to a mail from Shri Rahul Gupta and Dr. Rajkishore Prasad, Hon'ble Chancellor, Prof. Govardhan Mehta has shown his concern on this matter through an email to all members of the Board dated Aug 6, 2013 which states as follows:

"Dear Colleagues:

This has reference to a mail marked to all of us, It is important for all connected with IIT/A governance to ensure that all the Rules and Regulations under force are followed in letter and spirit.

Best wishes".

No valid reason could be found for not reporting these writ petitions and their interim orders to the Board in the 7th meeting. It seems that the erstwhile Director Dr. M D Tiwari deliberately kept the board uniformed about the proceeding in the above matters for misguiding the Board. The Board came to know the complete facts about these appointments in 8th meeting held on 1st

A. Prasad
22/02

273

9/17

Feb 2014 only. That may be the reason for reconsidering the appointment issue again in the eight board meeting.

(b) **Annexure no. 16.2:** The unapproved minute of Agenda Item No. 12 of 7th Board Meeting as signed and circulated by Dr. M D Tiwari was presented. It appears that the Board identified the flaws in the constitution of the selection committees. Further, it is observed that when the minutes of 7th BoM were presented in Agenda no. 1 of 8th meeting for approval, the board disapproved it and the actual minutes were rerecorded under the Agenda Item No. 1 of 8th BoM. Therefore the minutes under Agenda Item No. 12 of 7th Board meeting is the minutes as recorded and approved in Agenda Item No 1 of 8th Board Meeting and the earlier circulated minutes of 7th BoM under the signature of Dr. M D Tiwari was rejected as the minutes of 7th BoM meeting.

(The recorded statement of the then secretary of the Board, Prof. Vyas under Agenda Item No. 1 of 8th BoM is reproduced hereunder for reference:

“At this point, Prof O P Vyas, Member Secretary of the 7th BOM, who was also present in the 8th meeting of the BOM as a member of the BOM, apprised the Board as follows:

He was asked by the erstwhile director to sign the minutes of the 7th meeting, as were communicated to the BOM members earlier. Prof Vyas was in disagreement with the way the minutes on above agenda items were written as that was not what had transpired in the 7th BoM meeting. Prof Vyas further apprised that he was then pressurized to sign the same, and since he was unable to withstand the pressure, he was compelled to resign from the post of the Member Secretary of the BOM. Consequently, the minutes as circulated to the members, were signed by erstwhile director singly in his capacity as the Chairman of the BOM.

This revelation by the former BOM Member Secretary took all the BOM Members by surprise. It then resolved to put in place such systems and processes which would deter any Future incumbent to resort to such means.”

In this regard, the committee also found the emails of Prof. Manindra Agrawal dated 15.7.2013 and 16.8.2013 which are reproduced hereunder:

Email from Prof. Manindra Agarwal dated 15.7.2013 to Dr. M D Tiwari and other members of the board.

“Dear Professor Tiwari,


With this mail, I am confirming my participation in the BoM meeting on 20th July. I will arrive by AI flight AI 412 reaching Delhi at 9:50 hrs on 20th and return by AI flight AI 811 same day at 16:15 hrs. I also request the following issue to be listed as an agenda item in the meeting:

1) Provisions in the MOA of the Institute for appointments of faculty and director

*In addition, I request you to please ensure that *only* the board members are present during the meeting.*

Best regards,

10/17



-Manindra"

Email from Prof. Manindra Agarwal dated 16.8.2013 to Dr. M D Tiwari and other members of the board.

"Dear Professor Tiwari,

I do not think the minutes as recorded at present reflect the decisions of the BoM correctly. I request you to send me the word file of the minutes and I would like to make certain changes to it. I am copying to other external board members and will seek their views also to finalize the minutes

Best,

-Manindra"

Email reply of Dr. M D Tiwari dated 16.8.2013 on the above email to Prof. Manindra Agarwal

"Dear Prof. Agarwal,

This has reference to your mail dated August 16, 2013 in respect of Minutes of the 7th Meeting of the Board of Management of IIT – Allahabad.

You are requested to kindly send your comments so that the same may be placed before the board in the next meeting while confirming the Minutes.

With regards,

Yours Sincerely,

M. D. Tiwari"


The above thread of email exchange between Dr. M D Tiwari and Prof. Manindra Agarwal itself indicate that the minutes of 7th board meeting were incorrectly recorded and will be rectified during the confirmation of minutes in the next meeting of the Board. However, from the said minutes itself the committee found it strange as to:

- Why the selection committee was not constituted properly? and
- What were the intentions of then Director IIT, Dr. M. D. Tiwari for preparing, signing and circulating misconceived draft of minutes of 7th BoM meeting.

(c) **Annexure no. 16.3:** Contain list of candidates appointed / given increments on 6.4.2013. Many candidates raised questions for overwriting in the document, mismatch of facts and some affected faculty have even called it forged.

(d) **Page 17 – 33 of the letter:** Some affected candidates asked that whether these documents were part of the status report? It was clarified to them that these papers were not the part of status report but presented on table during the 8th BoM meeting.

It is observed that almost all candidates raised questions in this part and hence the status report. Some errors in the charts of (d page 17-33) were also identified and communicated by some of


22/8

275

11/17

the affected candidates. Most of them have insisted that this status report should not be taken into account by the Board while taking the fresh decision. The committee has cross checked the issues from the available official documents and it is discovered that status report has few typographical mistakes, grammatical errors, and unsigned hand written stuff. In fact, the committee has noticed several such mistakes in all previously held board meeting agenda items, minutes and many other official documents.

Since these charts are representing the facts submitted by the affected teachers through their application forms and considering the view/questions raised through their representations, the committee decided not to consider these charts placed from page 18 – 33 in deciding the fate of their appointments. It is also observed by the committee that the BoM in 8th Meeting had cancelled their appointments abinitio (not only based on individual qualifications).

Therefore the committee recommends not to consider the documents from page 18 – 33 of the letter dated 31.3.2017. Further, to avoid the errors in subsequent decision by the Board, the committee decided to prepare fresh charts for each of the affected teachers containing their qualifications and experiences, get it verified by them during the personal interaction scheduled on 12 and 13 May 2013. The committee further recommends that these verified documents shall form the basis of fresh decision by BoG.

From the simple reading of the resolutions under Agenda Item No. 1 and 16 of 8th BoM meeting, it is clear that they are NOT contradictory rather complementary to each other. Because facts recorded under Agenda No. 1 is the true reflection of the resolution passed under agenda No.12 of 7th BOM meeting and cannot be considered as the resolution of 8th BoM. The stand of the Institute as appeared from the resolution in Agenda Item No. 1 and 16 of 8th BOM meeting are as follows: Advertisement was not as per the Norms, Eligibly criteria were unduly relaxed, taking incorrect pretext of the earlier BOM resolutions, Director was neither competent nor authorized to call for any selections, All the appointments should have been made as per the UGC Regulations, There were some problems with the experts of the Selection Committee, BoM has not considered the individual cases of the affected teachers and finally resolved that the process of selection / appointment and other recommendations mentioned by the selection committee therein were wrong an initio.

3.2. The issue of the power delegated by the Board and the relaxation that may be granted as discussed in 6th meeting of the Board.

After issuing the advertisement 30th January 2013, 6th Board meeting was held on 23rd march 2013. Agenda Item No. S-1 and its minutes states as follows:

"To consider the process of appointments of Academic Staff after recommendations of the Selection Committee

The Board was apprised by the Chairman that appointments of teachers on the recommendations of Selection Committees are delayed on account of Meetings of the Board being held after appreciable gap of holding of the Selection Committee

P. S. Rao
22/05

12/17

and some of the selectees get restive and start searching other alternatives elsewhere on account of which the academic staff positions remain vacant.

The matter was considered at length by the Board and it was resolved that appointment letters be issued to the selectees by the Director as per recommendations of the Selection Committees at the earliest and the same may be reported in the next Meeting of the Board."

Therefore in the 6th meeting of BOM dated 23rd march 2013, the then director was given powers to issue appointment letters to the selected candidates and present the same in the next meeting of BOM without mentioning under which rules such delegation was made.

Relevant sections of MOA and Rules related to the present case with respect to the delegation of powers and authority of Board in case of delegated powers:

"5.07 Delegation of Powers of Board of Management

The board of Management may, by a resolution, delegate to the Director or any other officer of the Institution deemed to be university of the standing committee or the Ad-hoc committee such of its power as it may deem fit subject to the condition that the action taken by the Director or the officer concerned or the Standing Committee or the Ad-hoc Committee concerned in the exercise of the powers so delegated shall be reported at the next meeting of the Board of Management."

"24. Delegation of Powers

subject to the provisions of these Rules and Regulations, any authority or officer of the institution deemed to be university, with the approval of Board of Management, may delegate its power to any other authority or officer or person under their respective control and subject to the conditions that the overall responsibility for exercising the powers so delegated shall continue to rest in the authority or officer delegating such powers."

Therefore the ultimate authority responsible in case of exercising delegated powers rest in the authority which delegated such power which in present case is Board of Management of the Institute.

Further, the agenda Item No. S-3 and resolution thereon of the same meeting states as follows:

"To consider and waive conditions of appointment in outstanding cases in the interest academics in the Institute

The Report of Scrutiny Committee of the applications received against recent advertisement was placed before the Members stating that certain candidates having outstanding merits in their academic performances however lack a few days / months required in teaching / research / industrial experience to qualify for the interview.

A. P. Singh
22/08

277

13/17

The Committee recommended that such candidates should also be called for Interview.

The matter was discussed at length by the Hon'ble Members of the Board. The Board agreed to the proposal and it was finally resolved that such conditions as may be waived by the Selection committee in the interest of academic advancement of the Institute may be allowed to be waived.

Therefore in the 6th meeting of BOM dated 23rd march 2013, the selection committee was given powers to waive conditions of appointment in outstanding cases in the interest academics in the Institute to the extent of few days / months required in teaching / research / industrial experience for the appointment without mentioning under which rules such relaxations were made.

In this connection Section 41 of Recruitment and Service Rules states as follows:

***"41. Relaxation of Rules** Where the Director finds that the operation of any of these rules is likely to cause difficulty in the functioning of the Institute, he may place such cases before the Board of Governors for relaxation of any rule or rules. The Board of Governors on merit of such case or cases may relax the requirement (s) of any such rule or rules in a just and equitable manner."*

Therefore any relaxation which the board approves in its 6th meeting at agenda item no S-3, shall be exercised in a **just and equitable manner**.


Section 46 of Recruitment and Service Rules states the following:

***"46. Interpretations** The decision of the Board on all questions relating to the interpretation of the provisions contained in these Rules shall be final."*

Therefore the decision of the Board on all questions relating to the interpretation of the provisions contained in these Rules shall be final.

3.3. The (inadvertent) mistakes committed by the then Director and/or the Board and the relevant communications of Board members (if any).

BOM is the appointing authority for appointment of Academic staff but the then Director never took any approval from the BoM to issue the advertisement (issued on 30th January 2013) and initiated appointment process for the academic staff posts. The Board **NEVER** authorized the then Director IITA to advertise the existing academic positions or to initiate any action leading to appointment process in the institute during its 5th Meeting. Minutes of 5th BOM Meeting attached as Annexure No 8. Also the then Ditector Dr. M D Tiwari kept the board in dark by concealing the fact that his term of 5 years as Director of the Institute has expired on 26.12.2012 and his 6 months extension will expire on 26.6.2013. He also concealed that MHRD had issued a GO vide F.No. 3.11014/11/04-CDN dated 19th July, 2004 which restrict the outgoing director to initiate any appointment/selection 2-3 months before expiry of their term.


22/05

14/17

In the 7th Meeting of BOM dated 20th July 2013, the then director proposed the approval of selected candidates but the BOM did NOT approve the same. Rather BOM directed the then Director, IIIT A to: (a) Issue a fresh advertisement. (b) Call the candidates including the appointees of 6th April 2013, therein before the expiry of their probation period. (c) Create a fresh selection committee as per the rules, out of panel of the experts so approved by BOM. (d) And appoint the persons/candidate as per recommendation of fresh selection committee duly approved by BOM. Here it is important to mention that the minutes of 7th meeting, had fraudulently been recorded by the then Director, which was NOT approved by the BOM when it brought for approval in 8th Meeting of BoM.

In 8th BOM meeting, the approval of selection, were reconsidered under agenda No. 16 separately seeking the approval of selections of Academic Staff, but in the view of additional discrepancies observed by BOM members in the appointment process, the BOM resolved that the all the selections made on 6th April 2013 shall be **CANCELLED**. However, from the candidates point of view and with the assumption that no underhand gloving between the affected teachers and the then Director, the Board should have also considered the advertised qualifications and experiences. Also, in 8th meeting the board should have explicitly considered the case of each appointee and took the decision but unfortunately it appears that in view of gross procedural flaws they decided not to consider the individual cases rather went ahead to cancel the whole process as void ab initio.

In 8th meeting, the board condemned various acts of former Director Dr. M. D. Tiwari using very strong words, but took no step for finding ill motive behind these acts including the 2013 appointments.

The BOG of the Institute adopted the UGC (Institutions Deemed to be Universities) Regulations, 2010 in Item 1 (a) of the 33rd meeting (Urgent) of BoG dated 15.10.2011. Revised Memorandum of Association and Rules which were framed and the same were approved by BOG on 15.11.2011 and registered as per Society Registration Act 1860 on 26.11.2011. The copy of Revised Memorandum of Association and Rules as placed at Annexure No. 9. As per UGC (Institutions Deemed to be Universities) Regulations, 2010, the Board of Governors is replaced by the Board of Management and Dr. M.D. Tiwari, being the Director of the Institute that time, also became the Chairman of the Board of Management. Now as per the revised MoA and Rules Dr. M D Tiwari, Ex-Director, IIIT Allahabad became the Director, the chief executive officer, Chairman of BoM and Chairman of the Selection Committee.

Separate report on each affected faculty member appointed on 6th April 2013

1) Separate report on each affected faculty member appointed in 2013.

Here it is important to inform the board that out of 17 affected teachers of 2013, 14 were already working in the Institute in some capacity and were very well aware about the Rules which were applicable in the Institute. The summary for each candidate is placed hereunder as the separate reports at the **Annexure No (s). 10-26** which include their qualification summery as verified by them, transcript of their personal interaction, representation of the appointees, the letter issued to them by the Institute on 31.03.2017, recommendation of selection committee and the appointment letter issued to them by the Institute as mentioned in the table, the decision of the board may be noted separately in each case after examining the


22/07

279

15/17

contents of their representation, deliberations of personal Interactions and decision of the Board with respect to the applicable norms. The committee has decided to put the cases in following categories:

1. Affected teacher to whom incitements were awarded by the Selection Committee:

S. No.	Name of the Candidate and Post of Appointment in 2013	Detail of their case is placed at (Annexure No.)
1.	Dr. Sonali Agarwal, Awarded 2 increments by the Selection Committee	10

2. Affected teachers to whom contractual appointment were offered:

S. No.	Name of the Candidate and Post of Appointment in 2013	Detail of their case is placed at (Annexure No.)
2.	Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari, Assistant Professor on Contract Basis for 1 year on the fixed pay of Rs. 40,000/-	11
3.	Dr. Ranjana Vyas, For communication skill on contract basis as Assistant Professor emoluments at PB – 3 with AGP Rs. 8000.	12

3. Affected teachers who claimed that they are not currently employed elsewhere:

S. No.	Name of the Candidate and Post of Appointment in 2013	Detail of their case is placed at (Annexure No.)
4.	Mr. Shashikant Rai, Assistant Professor, Management in PB-3 with AGP of Rs. 8000/-	13
5.	Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, Assistant Professor, Electronics in PB-3 with AGP of Rs. 8000/-	14

4. Affected teachers who are employed elsewhere:

S. No.	Name of the Candidate and Post of Appointment in 2013	Detail of their case is placed at (Annexure No.)
6.	Dr. Praveen Kumar, Associate Professor, Management in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	15
7.	Dr. Ranjit Singh, Associate Professor, Management in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	16
8.	Dr. Triloki Pant, Assistant Professor, Information Technology in PB-3 with AGP of Rs. 8000/-	17
9.	Mr. Saurabh Misra, Assistant Professor, Electronics in PB-3 with AGP of Rs. 8000/-	18

5. Affected teachers employed in the Institute in some other capacity:

S. No.	Name of the Candidate and Post of Appointment in 2013	Detail of their case is placed at (Annexure No.)
10.	Dr. Abhishek Vaish, Associate Professor, Management in PB-	19

[Handwritten signature]
22/05

16/7


	4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	
11.	Dr. Vijayshri Tiwari, Associate Professor, Management in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	20
12.	Dr. Vrijendra Singh, Associate Professor, Management in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	21
13.	Dr. Pavan Chakraborty, Associate Professor, Information Technology in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	22
14.	Dr. K P Singh, Assistant Professor, Information Technology in PB-3 with AGP of Rs. 8000/-	23
15.	Dr. Manish Goswami, Associate Professor, Electronics in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9,000/-	24
16.	Dr. Sanjai Singh, Associate Professor, Electronics in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 9000/-	25
17.	Dr. Anurika Vaish, Professor, Management in PB-4 with AGP of Rs. 10,000/-	26


Therefore, with the above stated facts, we put forward our report to the board to decide the following:

1. Eligibility criteria for various posts advertised in 2013 in accordance with the rules applicable.
2. Counting of past service as experience for direct recruitment.
3. Decide the matter of each of the affected teachers based on the decision taken in 1 and 2.
4. Decide the process of regularization, seniority and benefits who survive after the scrutiny.
5. Decide the process and benefits to those who do not qualify for appointment after the scrutiny process.
6. Fate of contractual appointments.
7. Appropriate action against the erstwhile Director of the Institute Dr. M D Tiwari for dragging the Institute in unnecessary litigations, non observing the rules and resolutions of the board, dereliction of duties as chairman of the Selection Committee, Dereliction of duties in framing spurious terms and conditions given in the appointment letters, maligning the reputation of the Institute and ruining the carrier of some of the deserving candidates.

The committee submits its report to the BoG for consideration.

Date:


(Prof. Sudip Sanyal)
Dean (FA) IITA


(Prof. Uma Shaker Tiwary)
Dean (IRP), IITA

Minutes of the 8th Meeting of Board of Management of IIIT-Allahabad

The 8th Meeting of the Board of Management was held on February 1, 2014 at Visitor's Hostel, IIITA, Asiad Village, New Delhi at 11:00 A.M.

The following were present in the Meeting:

- | | | |
|--|---|------------------|
| 1. Prof. G.C. Nandi
Director-In-Charge, IIIT-Allahabad | - | Chairman |
| 2. Prof. Ganesh Pandey
Director
Centre for Biomedical Magnetic Resonance (CBMR)
SGPGIMS, Lucknow | - | Member |
| 3. Prof. C.M. Pandey
Sub Dean (Exams), Professor and Head
(Representative of Prof. R.K. Sharma, Director, SGPGIMS) | - | Member |
| 4. Prof. Manindra Agarwal
Dean, Resource Planning & Generation and N Rama Rao Chair Professor
Dept. of CSE, IIT Kanpur | - | Member |
| 5. Prof. O.P. Vyas
Officiating Dean (R&D), IIIT-A | - | Member |
| 6. Prof. S. Sanyal
Professor, IIIT-A | - | Member |
| 7. Prof. U.S. Tiwary
Professor, IIIT-A | - | Member |
| 8. Prof. B.R. Singh
Professor, IIIT-A | - | Member Secretary |
| 9. Sri Alok Mishra
Director, (T), MHRD, New Delhi
(Representative of Additional Secretary, MHRD) | - | Special Invitee |

Prof. R.K. Shyamasundar & Prof Anupam, could not attend the meeting owing to their prior commitments. After initial remarks, the Agenda items were taken up.

ITEM NO. 1

To confirm the Minutes of 7th Meeting of the Board of Management held on July 20, 2013

The confirmation of the Minutes of 7th Meeting of Board was discussed.

It was resolved that Minutes as recorded in Item No. 12 and Item No. 13 (b) be corrected in the light of comments made by members of the BoM. Accordingly, the ACTUAL minutes that are a true representation of the discussions that took place in the 7th meeting were as follows:

With Reference to Item No. 12, existing matter in the earlier circulated minutes to be replaced as follows:

"The Board apprised itself with the issue of appointment letters and joining of selectees of the academic positions as per details presented before it and expressed serious reservations about the experts chosen for the Selection Committee held on April 6, 2013. In view of the same, the Board decided that:

- 1) A Panel of Experts from various disciplines henceforth to be presented before it for approval and the experts for selection Committees should be chosen from this list. The statutory observers / experts / OBC and Minority (Muslims) may be experts / observers wherever reservation policy is to be followed, as per Govt. of India notifications in this regard.
- 2) A copy of the approved list of experts by the Board of Management along with the list of selected faculty members to be sent to Hon'ble Chancellor of the Institute as well for his kind information.
- 3) All the academic staff thus selected by the Committee on April 6, 2013 must appear before another selection Committee before the end of their probation period. The experts for this Committee must be chosen from the Board approved panel. This Committee would decide the future continuation or otherwise in respect of all these selectees / appointees.

4) The vacant positions of the faculty members may be advertised at the earliest."

In respect of Item No. 13 (b), the Board of Management perused the MoA and Rules adopted by IIIT-A. Serious concerns were expressed about the modification made in the UGC Regulations, 2010 by the IIIT-A Society.

The provision 13.1 (ii) which currently states that:

"Provided that notwithstanding the expiry of the period of 5 years, he / she may continue in office for not more than six months or till his/her successor is appointed and the latter assumes office, whichever is later".

should be reverted back as per UGC Regulations, 2010 to as follows:

"Provided that notwithstanding the expiry of the period of 5 years, he / she may continue in office for not more than six months or till his/her successor is appointed and the latter assumes office, whichever is earlier".

Further the Board suggested that the meeting of the IIIT-A Society be convened at the earliest convenience of its members to resolve the issues related to MOA.

At this point, Prof O P Vyas, Member Secretary of the 7th BOM, who was also present in the 8th meeting of the BOM as a member of the BOM, apprised the Board as follows:

He was asked by the erstwhile director to sign the minutes of the 7th meeting, as were communicated to the BOM members earlier. Prof Vyas was in disagreement with the way the minutes on above agenda items were written as that was not what had transpired in the 7th BoM meeting. Prof Vyas further apprised that he was then pressurized to sign the same, and since he was unable to withstand the

pressure, he was compelled to resign from the post of the Member Secretary of the BOM. Consequently, the minutes as circulated to the members, were signed by erstwhile director singly in his capacity as the Chairman of the BOM.

This revelation by the former BOM Member Secretary took all the BOM Members by surprise. It then resolved to put in place such systems and processes which would deter any future incumbent to resort to such means.

ITEM NO. 2


To receive the Action Taken Report on the Minutes of 7th Meeting of the Board of Management held on July 20, 2013

The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of 7th Meeting of the Board of Management were received except Item No. 12 and 13(b) which should be recorded in the light of modifications suggested by the BoM in respect of Minutes of the 7th Meeting of the Board.

ITEM NO. 3

To confirm the Minutes of the 5th Meeting of Academic Council held on January 30, 2013


The Minutes of the Academic Council (AC) were placed before the Hon'ble Members of the Board. It was decided that the Minutes of the Academic Council should first be confirmed, through circulation or otherwise by the AC Members. The confirmed minutes can then be circulated to BOM for approval over email.



Page 4 of 13



Minutes of the 8th Meeting of BOM, IIIT-Allahabad



ITEM NO. 4

To confirm the Minutes of the 29th Meeting of the Finance Committee held on July 20, 2013

The Minutes of the 29th Meeting of the Finance Committee held on July 20, 2013 were confirmed except Item No. 10. It was decided that in view of financial crunch, financial provision for construction of Alumni Bhawan cannot be made. However, the Institute may go ahead with this if internal finance could be managed.

ITEM NO. 5

To apprise the Board regarding the changeover of Director, IIIT-A

The Board apprised itself with the changeover of the post of Director, IIIT-A but showed its great displeasure in respect of events / incidents preceded by it. During the course, it was also desired by the Board that missing papers, if any, should be recovered and confidence building measures be adopted.

The Board appreciated Prof. G C Nandi's taking over charge of Director under the above circumstances and handling the situation appropriately and efficiently. The board also expressed its gratitude to the outgoing Director, Dr. M. D. Tiwari, for his contributions towards the development of the institute.

ITEM NO. 6

To consider implementation of GPF and Pension Scheme for the eligible employees of IIIT-A as per IIT norms

The Board approved in principle the proposal for implementation of GPF and Pension Scheme for eligible employees and desired that immediate actions be taken as per well set norms followed by such institutions under MHRD.

ITEM NO. 7

To consider implementation of Professor HAG Scale (67000 – 79000) without any GP for eligible Faculty Members as per G.O. No. 34-9/2012-TS.III dated 22.3.2013

The Board approved implementation of HAG Scale in principle. However, it was desired that guidelines on the subject may be obtained from other IITs and then IIITA should evolve its own guidelines / criterion. The same should then be put up to the Board for its consideration.

ITEM NO. 8

To apprise the Board regarding the representations of some Faculty Members and action taken thereon

The Board apprised itself with the decision taken by the Director-In-Charge regarding representation of some Faculty Members and ratified the actions taken thereon.

ITEM NO. 9

To consider Dr. T. Lahiri's suspension together with DAC's recommendation

In respect of the case of Dr. T. Lahiri, the Board recommended that the suspension be revoked and a warning be issued to Dr T. Lahiri.

ITEM NO. 10

To consider reverting back of administrative structure of IIIT-A to IIT Governing Structure from UGC Structure

The Board considered the matter at length and agreed, in principle, to revert back to the administrative structure of the Institute in line with other IITs & IIITs. It was also decided that further action in this regard can be taken by MHRD by issuing necessary directive.

ITEM NO. 11

To receive Govt. letter F.No. 24-25/2011-TS.I dated 20th January, 2014 regarding Revision of MoA & Rules of the Institute as per UGC (Institutions Deemed to be University) Regulations, 2010

The Board received Govt. letter F.No. 24-25/2011-TS.I dated 20th January, 2014 and decided that the directions received from Govt. be implemented. The modified MoA and Rules should be submitted to UGC for approval, whereafter it should be re-registered by the IIIT-A Society as per relevant Societies Registration Act, for subsequent information to all including MHRD.

ITEM NO. 12

To consider the appointment letter issued to Dr. M. D. Tiwari and action thereon.

The Board considered with deep concern the contents and the manner in which the said letter offering Dr. M D Tiwari the position of "Distinguished Professor / Fellow" at IIITA was issued. The issued letter by the Deputy Registrar (E) purported that the offer of the "Distinguished Professor / Fellow" to Dr. Tiwari was based upon the resolution of the BOM during its 6th Meeting (dated 23rd March, 2013), while in that meeting BOM had only agreed to a framework on guidelines for awarding the position of "Distinguished Professor / Fellow" by IIIT-A. Viewing this a case of serious misconduct & breach of faith of BOM, on the part of the Deputy Registrar (E), Board resolved that the offer letter under consideration be declared null & void in light of the fact that no resolution for selection/ appointment of Dr. M.D. Tiwari as a "Distinguished Professor / Fellow of IIIT-A" was passed by the BoM in any of its earlier Meetings.

It was also resolved that in the context, a detailed explanation of the Deputy Registrar (E) who issued the letter, should be called.

Further, it was decided that proper detailed guidelines be framed for selection and appointment of Distinguished Professor/ Fellow which after approval by the Finance Committee, be put up to the Board for its consideration and approval.

ITEM NO. 13

To consider constitution of Committees to review the manpower status of the Institute

The matter was considered at length by the Board and it was decided that:

- 1) In respect of academic positions, besides the standard Advertisement based procedure, search & selection based recruitment as followed in IIT-Kanpur should be adopted. For this, the assistance of BOM Member Prof. Manindra Agarwal should be taken, for which he very generously agreed.
- 2) In respect of Non-Teaching positions, regular selections should be made as per rules.
- 3) In respect of contractual appointment of Non-Teaching positions, the procedure followed in IIT-Kanpur should be adopted.

ITEM NO. 14

To consider implementation of Professional Development Fund for Faculty Members as per Sixth Pay Commission recommendations

It has already been approved and it should be operationalised following the practices exercised by IITs.

ITEM NO. 15

To consider and approve provision of Gymkhana both for students and staff at IIIT-Allahabad

The proposal for Gymkhana for students and staff at IIIT-Allahabad was considered and approved in principle.

It was also approved that a forum for the welfare of faculty, officers and staff members of the Institute should be constituted.

ITEM NO. 16

To consider the Status Report on Selection of Academic Staff done on April 6, 2013.

The Board considered the Status Report on the selection of the Academic Staff and deliberated upon it at length. In the context, in addition to the minutes as at Item No. 1 of this meeting, it was apprised by some Board members that the advertisement brought out by IITA for these selections was NOT as per norms. Also for some of the appointments, eligibility criteria were unduly relaxed, taking incorrect pretext of the earlier BOM resolutions.

The Board also noticed that through a GO issued vide F.No. 3.11014/11/04-CDN dated 19th July, 2004, MHRD had advised heads of all autonomous Bodies prohibiting all the retiring Directors, for any action to make selections / promotions two – three months before the expiry of their term or retirement, as the case may be. Further, the erstwhile director's term expired on 26th December 2012 and he was on six months extension in April 2013. Therefore, in April 2013, he was neither competent nor authorized to call for any selections.

When these facts became known to the Board in this meeting, it became clear that the entire process of selection / appointment and other recommendations mentioned by the selection committee therein, was wrong *ab initio*.

Accordingly, the BoM in this Meeting recommended, in supersession to the earlier decisions of the Board in this matter, that all selections / appointments done on April 6th, 2013 are CANCELLED. Similarly, the recommendations of awarding increments to a few Faculty members on April 6th 2013, are also CANCELLED.

ITEM NO. 17

To apprise the Board regarding decisions taken by The Director-in-Charge.

The Board apprised itself with the decisions taken by Prof G C Nandi , the Director-in-Charge after his taking over of the Charge of the Director, IITA. The Board Members while ratifying the decisions taken by Prof. Nandi which had enabled the smooth functioning of the Institute, in the difficult time of change over, lauded the painstaking and committed efforts of Prof G C Nandi and other related academic and administrative staff.

Members of the Board took serious cognizance of the matters that Dr M D Tiwari, even after a clear order of the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad, the advice of the Hon'ble Chancellor advising him to step down forthwith, along with the order from the governing administrative Ministry of Govt. of India, MHRD, continued to resist all the decisions, as was evident by his delay in handing over of the charge, writing undesired letters to the Ministry, brining political pressure through various organizations etc. The Board deplored these actions as they were unbecoming of a director of a prestigious institution like IIT Allahabad.

It was decided to place on record the deep appreciation for Prof G C Nandi, in the trying times. The decision of the Director In Charge to request the Additional Secretary, MHRD looking after IIT's or her representative, to accept the invitation to attend the BOM Meetings, as a Special Invitee, with a view to facilitate the fast tracking of IITA goals and achievements, was also lauded. It was decided to continue with this arrangement until appropriate systems and checks are in place to avoid a repeat of recent events.

ITEM NO. 18

To approve the list of external experts for Faculty recruitment

The Board has approved the list with the observation that:

- 1) The list of experts should be enlarged with at least 5 Experts in each specializations and be circulated to all BOM Members.
- 2) The list will be valid for one year. After the completion of one year a fresh list may be brought before the board for any renewal/ addition etc.

ITEM NO. 19

OTHER ITEMS

AGENDA: S-1

To receive the appointment of new Legal counsel and panel of other representatives of the Institute

The Board perused the action taken by Director-In-Charge and received it.

AGENDA: S-2

To consider the faculty appraisal held on June 26-27, 2012 and its recommendations

The facilities that were withdrawn as a consequence of the appraisal held on June 26-27, 2012 should be restored with retrospective effect to the affected permanent faculty members.

AGENDA: S-3

To consider the representation of Prof. U. S. Tiwary regarding the confirmation for the post of Professor.

The Board resolved that the representation of Prof. U.S. Tiwary should be forwarded to Hon'ble Chancellor, IIIT-A who happens to be The Hon'ble Chancellor of Allahabad University also, for speedy redressal of his grievances. Prof. U. S. Tiwary rescued himself while this agenda was discussed in the board.

AGENDA: S-4

To apprise the Board of successful holding of the Sixth Science Conclave and INSPIRE Internship Program 2013 during December 08-14, 2013

The Board apprised itself and appreciated the successful holding of the Sixth Science Conclave and INSPIRE Internship Program 2013 during December 08-14, 2013.

AGENDA: S-5

To consider and approve the name of the Chief Guest and date for the 9th Convocation of the Institute

The Board suggested that the Director-In-Charge should obtain 4-5 tentative dates from Hon'ble Chancellor and then contact the eminent persons as mentioned in Agenda for invitation as the Chief Guest for the Convocation.

AGENDA: S-6

To apprise the Board about legal cases in which the Institute is a party

P-1, P-3, P-4, P-5, P-6: The Board apprised itself regarding all the legal cases mentioned in these Agenda Items.

P-2: Dr. Sonali Agarwal, Assistant Professor and Sri R.B. Singh, Deputy Registrar(F) had submitted their false affidavits in the Court of law, in their official capacities. The Board viewed this misuse of authority as an act of serious indiscipline. With this background, the Board resolved to call a detailed explanation from both of them.

AGENDA: S-7

To receive the Enquiry Report regarding the application of Dr. Anurika Vaish to the District Administration.

The Board resolved that the matter be also referred to the Committee for prevention of Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace, in addition to the ongoing process.

AGENDA: S-8

Adoption of the Government Order F.No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18th August, 2009

The Board resolved that all benefits mentioned in G.O. F.No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 18th August, 2009 should be allowed to all eligible faculty members.

AGENDA: S-9

Implementation of the already adopted RCPS for eligible staff members


The Members unanimously agreed to implement the already adopted RCPS for the eligible staff members.

Item No. 20

Any other item with the permission of the Chair

Prof. S. Sanyal, Member BOM, presented the matter submitted by email to all BoM Members by Sri Anil Bhadauria. The Board resolved that the matter is since subjudice, no decision should be taken by the Board.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.



Prof G C Nandi 6/2/2014
(Chairman)


(Prof Ganesh Pandey)



(Prof C M Pandey)


(Prof Manindra Agarwal)


(Prof O P Vyas)


(Prof U S Tiwary)


(Prof S Sanyal)


(Prof B R Singh)



INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD

(A University Established under Sec.3 of UGC Act, 1956
vide Notification No. F.9-4/99-U.3 dated 4/8/2000 of the Govt. of India)
Deoghat Jhalwa, Allahabad - 211 012 (U.P.), India
Ph: 0532-2922025, 2922067; Fax: 0532-2430006, 2431689, 2922144,
Web: www.iitaa.ac.in; E-mail: contact@iitaa.ac.in

Ann. J-1

Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the Board of Management

The 6th Meeting of the Board of Management of the Institute was held at 11:30 a.m. on March 23, 2013 at Visitor's Hostel, IIIT-A, New Delhi.

The following were present in the Meeting:

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1. | Dr. M.D. Tiwari
Director, IIIT-A | Chairperson |
| 2. | Prof. Ganesh Pandey
FNA, FNASc, FASc
Director, Centre for Biomedical Magnetic Resonance (CBMR)
Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences
(SGPGIMS), Raebareli Road, Lucknow | Member |
| 3. | Prof. C.M. Pandey
<u>Representing</u>
Prof. R.K. Sharma
Director
Senior Professor & Head
Department of Nephrology
Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences
(SGPGIMS), Raebareli Road, Lucknow | Member |
| 4. | Professor R.K. Shyamasundar
FIEEE, FACM
Senior Professor & JC Bose National Fellow
Faculty of Technology & Computer Science
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai | Member |
| 5. | Prof. Manindra Agarwal
Dean, Resource Planning & Generation & N Rama Rao Chair
Professor, Dept. of CSE, IIT Kanpur | Member |
| 6. | Prof. G.C. Nandi
Dean (Academic), IIIT-A | Member |
| 7. | Prof. B.R. Singh
Professor, IIIT-A | Member |
| 8. | Dr. Anupam Agarwal
Professor, IIIT-A | Member |
| 9. | Prof. O.P. Vyas
Professor & Dean (R&D), IIIT-A | Member
Secretary |

Minutes: 6th BOM - 23.03.2013

Sri Navin Soi – Director, IFD, MHRD, Sri S.N. Jha, Sri Chandra Lal and Sri Ramesh Chandra Hon'ble Members of Finance Committee also participated in the joint Meeting and helped in the deliberations.

Sri H.D. Tiwari – Advisor (Finance), Dr. Asheesh Kumaar – Deputy Registrar (M) and Sri K.K. Tiwari, Assistant Registrar (Finance) of the Institute also attended the Meeting and assisted in the deliberations.

ITEM NO. 1

- To felicitate
- (a) Prof. Manindra Agrawal, Hon'ble Member of BOM of IIT-A on the conferment of Padma Shri to him in 2013
 - (b) Prof. Ganesh Pandey on his appointment as Director

At the outset, the Hon'ble Chairman welcomed two Hon'ble Members of the Board Prof. Manindra Agarwal and Prof. Ganesh Pandey for their outstanding achievements and were felicitated with wide applause by all Members of the Board and Finance Committee. Prof. Manindra Agarwal was felicitated on his having been awarded with the national decoration of Padma Shri by H.E. the President of India and Prof. Ganesh Pandey was felicitated on his having been appointed on the exalted post of Director, Centre for Biomedical Magnetic Resonance (CBMR), SGPGI, Lucknow.

Thereafter other Agenda Points were taken up.

ITEM NO. 2

To confirm the Minutes of the 5th Meeting of Board of Management held on November 24, 2012

The Minutes of the 5th Meeting of Board of Management held on November 24, 2012 were perused.

As there was no observation from the Members, the Minutes were confirmed by the Board.

ITEM NO. 3

To receive the Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the 5th Meeting of the Board of Management held on November 24, 2012

The Action Taken on various items of Minutes of the 5th Meeting of the Board of Management held on November 24, 2012 were perused item by item by the Hon'ble Members of the Board and after that, the ATR was received.

ITEM NO. 4

To receive the Minutes of the 3rd Meeting of the Academic Council held on March 18, 2013

The Minutes of the 3rd Meeting of the Academic Council were perused by the Board in depth.

In respect of Item No. 4 regarding creation of two seats under supernumerary quota for students coming from J&K State, it was resolved by the Board that students coming from J&K State should be taken through AIEEE but after verification of their credentials by the Home Ministry, Govt. of India.

The Item No. 9 regarding the proposal of Prof. G.C. Nandi for starting 5-years Dual-Degree Program was discussed with the opinion of Academic Council. Prof. Nandi however submitted before the Board that i

proposed intake can be reduced upto 60 seats for two streams, then it can be accommodated in the existing infrastructure of the Institute. The Board Members were agreed with the program objectives but looking at the Academic Council opinion, the Board had empowered Chairman - Academic Council to take appropriate decision for its commencement from 2013-2014.

In respect of Item No. 10, the Board decided that on the result of IIT Bill pending in the Parliament, the matter could be considered for admission through JEE.

In respect of Item No. 12 (Program in Stem Cell Engineering), the Board while being appreciative of the proposal, decided that it would be appropriate to start specialization / electives for Stem Cell Engineering in the existing Programs of Bio-Medical Engineering / Bioinformatics and later it could be started if teachers having requisite qualification for the discipline are available in the Institute.

In respect of Item No. 14, the Board decided that as there was no provision for admission of wards of employees in IITs, accordingly no such provision deemed necessary at present.

With these remarks, the Board received the Minutes of the Academic Council.

ITEM NO. 5

To receive the Govt. letter D.O. No. 1-6/2013-TS.1 (Sectt.) dated February 18, 2013 on creation of a Wellness Centre in the Institute on the recommendation of the IIT Council

The Board received the Govt. letter D.O. No. 1-6/2013-TS.I dated (Sectt.) dated February 18, 2013 for creation of a Wellness Centre in the Institute on the recommendations of the IIT Council and appreciated the various steps taken in this regard. However, it was suggested by the Board that steps for promoting value education should also be taken care of. The Board Members also suggested the creation of volunteers for psychological counseling for community creation for such purposes.

With this, the Board received the G.O. referred to above.

ITEM NO. 6

To consider the recommendations of the 26th Meeting of the BWC held on March 19, 2013

The Board perused the Minutes of the Building Works Committee item by item and approved it.

ITEM NO. 7 (I)

To consider the recommendations of the 27th Meeting of the Finance Committee held jointly with the 5th Meeting of the Board of Management held on November 24, 2012

The Board considered the recommendations of the 27th Meeting of the Finance Committee held jointly with the 5th Meeting of the Board of Management on November 24, 2012 and approved it.

ITEM NO.7 (II)

To confirm the decision taken in the 28th Meeting of the Finance Committee held jointly with the 6th Meeting of the Board of Management in respect of Agenda items No. 4,6,8,10 and S-4 considering their urgent nature of implementation:

The 28th Meeting of the Finance Committee and the 6th Meeting of the Board were held jointly on March 23, 2013. As such, it would not be possible to confirm the formal minutes of this meeting the Finance Committee in this meeting of the Board.

But considering the nature of decisions taken on Agenda Point No. 4, 6,8,10 and S-4 of the 28th Finance Committee meeting for their immediate implementation, the Board approved and confirmed the decisions taken jointly and recorded in respect of these items that are reproduced in brief as under:

1. Agenda Item No.4 of the Finance Committee
New Pension Scheme be implemented for new entrants after January 01, 2004 and accumulated balance of their CPF deposited with PFRDA.
2. Agenda Item No. 6 of the Finance Committee
Adequate funds be provided to the CPWD and proper accounts be rendered by the CPWD.
3. Agenda Item No.8 of the Finance Committee
Expenditure on Science Conclave, M.Tech stipend and other such promotional activities would be characterized as Plan Expenditure.
4. Agenda Item No.10 of the Finance Committee
The matter be taken up with GoI MHRD.
5. Agenda Item No.S-3 & S-4 of the Finance Committee
The Board has approved the issue related to appointment of academic positions such as mentioned in S-3 and their terms and conditions would be as mentioned in Annexure S-4(01).

ITEM NO. 8

To consider and approve the Draft Annual Report of the Institute for the year 2011-2012 for submission to Govt. for placing before the Parliament

The Draft Annual Report was considered by the Board and was approved with the remark that Divisior update in bullet form and researches being made at the Institute should also be included.

ITEM NO. 9
To consider for implementation of the MHRD G.O. No. 1-32/2006-U.II/U.I(II) of 31.12.2008 at HIT-A as per the representation of Dr. Asheesh Kumar - Deputy Registrar of the Institute regarding change of Pay Band and Grade Pay, after having devoted fixed years of service

The Board considered implementation of GoI, MHRD G.O. No. 1-32/2006-U.II/U.I(II) of 31.12.2008 at HIT-A w.r.t. representation of Dr. Asheesh Kumar, Deputy Registrar of the Institute. Regarding change of payband and grade pay of Registrar / Finance Officer / Comptroller of Examination, Deputy Registrar / Deputy Finance Officer / Deputy Controller and Assistant Registrar / Assistant Finance Officer and Assistant Controller of Examinations as per provisions in the G.O. referred to above after completion of given number of years.

After due consideration, the Board approved implementation of the G.O. under reference for affording payband - 4 to Dr. Asheesh Kumar with GP 8700 and alongwith resolved that such matters that are based on Govt. Orders should be implemented for the employees as a matter of course under the terms & conditions mentioned in Govt. Orders.

ITEM NO. 10
To consider Representation of Dr. Pavan Chakraborty - Assistant Professor of the Institute for shifting from PB-3 TO PB-4 after completion of 3 years service as Assistant Professor in terms of G.O. F.No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 16th September, 2009 issued from GoI, MHRD

The Board considered the representation of Dr. Pavan Chakraborty, Assistant Professor of the Institute for shifting from PB-3 TO PB-4 after completion of 3 years service as Assistant Professor in terms of G.O. F.No. 23-1/2008-TS.II dated 16th September, 2009 issued from GoI, MHRD.

After due consideration, the Board approved it with the condition that he would continue to be designated as Assistant Professor. Similar case(s) if any may also be given the same benefits.

ITEM NO. 11
To apprise the Board about challenging the Enquiry Officer of Dr. Tapobrata Lahiri appointed by Board in its Meeting held on 23.10.2012

The matter regarding challenging the Enquiry Officer appointed by the Board - one after the other by Dr. Tapobrata Lahiri was considered by the Board with indignation. However, it was desired by the Board that one last chance be given to Dr. Lahiri as per desire by Hon'ble High Court whereafter the matter may be dealt with as per rules. Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Retd.) Shambhoo Nath Srivastava has kindly agreed to conduct the Enquiry. The Board approved the same.

ITEM NO. 12
To apprise the status of Sixth Science Conclave 2013

The Chairman apprised the Board of the status of preliminary preparedness of the Science Conclave 2013 that was appreciated by the Board. The Board approved that the Sixth Science Conclave be held during December 08 - 14, 2013 as proposed.

Item NO. 13

Any other Item with the permission of the Chair

S - 1: To consider the process of appointments of Academic Staff after recommendations of the Selection Committee

The Board was apprised by the Chairman that appointments of teachers on the recommendations of Selection Committees are delayed on account of Meetings of the Board being held after appreciable gap of holding of the Selection Committee and some of the selectees get restive and start searching other alternatives elsewhere on account of which the academic staff positions remain vacant.

The matter was considered at length by the Board and it was resolved that appointment letters be issued to the selectees by the Director as per recommendations of the Selection Committees at the earliest and the same may be reported in the next Meeting of the Board.

S - 2 (a): To consider the cases of Dr. Pragya Singh - Assistant Professor who has not been responding to her duties as a Member of the teaching staff

The case of Dr. Pragya Singh was explained to the Members of the Board by Hon'ble Chairman and after due consideration, the Board resolved that enquiry be conducted by appropriate person at the earliest.

S - 2 (b): To apprise the Board of the irresponsible and unbecoming conduct of Dr. Vijay Kumar Chaurasia, Assistant Professor and Warden, Boys' Hostel - III IIT-A.

Dr. Vijay Kumar Chaurasia, Assistant Professor and Warden, Boys' Hostel - III, IIT-A went out of station without permission of the competent authority. During his unauthorized absence a student named Saddam residing in the hostel had serious health problem on February 08, 2013 and had to be taken to Swaroop Rani Hospital after initial consultation with the Doctors of Health Centre.

He was called upon to explain his irresponsible and unbecoming behavior by February 11, 2013. His lame explanation to shift the responsibilities on one of his colleagues was found to be incorrect and concocted.

Accordingly, Dr. Chaurasia was removed from the wardenship of Boys' Hostel- III of the Institute. The Board apprised itself with the matter and approved the action taken by the Institute.

S - 3: To consider and waive conditions of appointment in outstanding cases in the interest of academics in the Institute

The Report of Scrutiny Committee of the applications received against recent advertisement was placed before the Members stating that certain candidates having outstanding merits in their academic performances however lack a few days / months required in teaching / research / industrial experience to qualify for the interview.

The Committee recommended that such candidates should also be called for Interview.

The matter was discussed at length by the Hon'ble Members of the Board. The Board agreed to the proposal and it was finally resolved that such conditions as may be waived by the Selection Committee in the interest of academic advancement of the Institute may be allowed to be waived.

S - 4: To consider and approve additional provision in the RBE of the year 2012-2013 for appointment on academic positions such as Visiting Professors, Visiting fellows, Emeritus Professor, Honorary Professors, Adjunct Honorary Professors, Distinguished Fellow, /Professor Chair Professors etc on approval by the Board of Management.

The Finance Committee after due consideration of the matter approved additional provision of Rs 50.00 Lakhs in the RBE internal resources of IIIT-A of the year 2012-2013 under the head salaries for appointment of Visiting Professors, Visiting fellows, Emeritus Professor, Honorary Professors, Adjunct Honorary Professors, Distinguished Fellow /Professor, Chair Professors etc. on terms and conditions as enclosed in Annexure S-4 (01).

S-5: To consider and approve appointment of an eminent Scholar and institution builder for speedy transformation of RGIIT-Amethi into a full-fledged Institute.

The Board considered the imperativeness of transforming the RGIIT-Amethi campus of the Institute into an independent and full-fledged Institute on terms and conditions already approved as per provision in MOA rules on an urgent basis.

He / She will be vested with the assignment to convert RGIIT-Amethi into a separate Degree awarding Institution as mandated by the govt.

The Finance Committee has already approved a blanket provision of Rs. 50.00 lakhs in the revised budget of IIIT-A for the year 2012-2013 under the head salaries from its internal sources.

After due consideration of the matter the Board approved the above for immediate implementation.

S - 6: To apprise the Board of the Writ Petition filed by Mr. Utkarsh Goel, a Lecturer of the Institute and the follow-up action on the orders of the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad

The Board apprised itself with the behavior of Mr. Goel with indignation and directed that the Hon'ble High Court may be informed accordingly.

S - 7: To consider the matter of appointment of Director, IIIT, Allahabad

Minutes are recorded separately and are enclosed as Annexure S-7 (01)

S - 8: To approve Terms and Conditions for appointment of Distinguished Fellows/Professors

Minutes are recorded separately and are enclosed as Annexure S-8 (01)

The Meeting concluded with a Vote of thanks to the Chair.


(Prof. O.P. Vyas)
Member Secretary


(Dr. M.D. Tiwari)
Chairman

Science and Technology Promotion at IIIT- Allahabad

As mandated in original MOA, IIIT-A implements its programs of support to eminent Scholars, distinguished academicians, Science Institutions builders, promoters of Science and technology and IT technologists working in industries and academic Institutions. Basic research a prerequisite for Technological and applied research related to Information Technology leading to developmental activity, conducted by academic institutions needs to be supported, instituting programmes of support to individuals, interdisciplinary and multi-institutional programs. With the emergence of major funding bodies in the country for carrying out scientific and technological research, IIIT-A reoriented and made more visible to support individual Scientists and Science Institution builders.

The program involves, Visiting Professors, Visiting fellows, Emeritus Professor, Honorary Professors, Adjunct Honorary Professors, Distinguished Fellow /Professor, Chair Professors etc.

The terms and conditions of appointment of these positions are as follows:

(A) Visiting Professors

(i) Eminent scholars who have made outstanding contributions in one or more fields of study covered at the IIIT-A may, with the approval of the Board of Management, be invited by the Director for a period of 3 months or more extendable to a maximum of 5 years to function as Visiting Professors in the Institute.

These Visiting Professors can be drawn either from within India or abroad.

(ii) Such Visiting Professors will according to arrangements entered into, in each individual case, deliver a course of lectures or take seminars to participate in such other manner as may be deemed appropriate in the teaching and research work of the Institute.

(iii) Visiting Professor will be paid according to one of the following options as under:

(a) Rs. 70000/- p.m. + free accommodation in the Guest House or HRA on the maximum of Professors scale in case the appointment is from outside the country.

or

(b) His Salary + 20% of the basic pay + other allowances except conveyance allowances (if any) not exceeding the emoluments at the maximum of the scale of Professor, in case Appointment is from within the country. If possible, free Institute accommodation or HRA be provided.

or

(c) Rs. 70000/-+ free accommodation or HRA on the maximum of Professor's scale excluding the retirement benefits in the case of retired person.

(iv) A Visiting Professor will be paid travel expenses + contingency limited up to Rs. 500,000/- as per rules of the IIIT-A for travel within the country or abroad, for approved academic programmes during his tenure in the Institute.

(vi) Visiting Professors invited from outside the country may be paid economy class airfare both ways by direct route.

(vii) To the extent possible, Institute will make arrangements for accommodating such Visiting Professors within the Campus so that they can participate in the academic life of the Institute.

(viii) Subject to the above, the Director will determine, at his discretion, such other terms and conditions as may be required in the case of any Visiting Professor including the duration of the appointment.

(B) Visiting Fellows

(i) Eminent scholars who have made outstanding contribution in one or more fields of study covered at the IIIT-A may with the approval of the Board of Management be invited as Visiting Fellow by the Director for a period not exceeding four months but not less than two weeks in a year to deliver a course of lectures or take seminars or participate in such other manner as may be deemed appropriate in teaching and research work of the Institute.

(ii) A Visiting Fellow may be paid an honorarium and provided with hospitality as the case may be in accordance with the following criteria :

(a) A Visiting Fellow, not receiving salary from the parent institution, will be paid as in the case of Visiting Professors.

(b) A Visiting Fellow, receiving salary from the parent institution, will be paid Rs. 40000/- p.m. as a maintenance allowance plus free accommodation.

(iii) A Visiting Fellow from outside the country may be paid economy class airfare both ways by direct route provided the duration of the visit is at least one month. A Visiting Fellow from within the country will be paid travelling allowance as per rules of the Institute.

Besides academics, eminent persons in other fields such as IT, industry, trade, business, journalism, music, literature, visual and performing arts, Judiciary, Bureaucrats etc. who may be interested in associating themselves with IIIT-A, and have interest in latest development of Information Technology in their respective fields with the Institute, could also be invited as Visiting Fellow as per guidelines stipulated for Visiting Professors and for Visiting Fellow (B).

(C) Emeritus Professor

1. (a) The Board of Management may, after the retirement confer the title of Professor Emeritus on a Professor of the University/Institute who has retired from this Institute plus other University after a total service of at least fifteen years, including at least seven years at IIIT-A as Professor in the Institute.

(b) The Director may recommend to the Academic Council the conferment of the title of Professor Emeritus, and on the recommendations of the Academic Council, the Board of Management may confer the title.

2. The title of Professor Emeritus will be conferred only on scholars who have made outstanding contribution to their subject by their published research work, teaching and research within the framework of the Division to which he is attached.

He will not be provided with any special facilities like a personal office or an independent laboratory nor will he be a member of any Committee of the Division or of the Institute.

4. Emeritus Professorship will carry with it no financial commitment for the Institute or responsibility for providing residential accommodation.

5. The conferment of the title of Professor Emeritus will be for life.

(D) Honorary Professors

(1) The Board of Management may appoint any outstanding scholar or eminent person, whose association with the Institute would help furtherance of the academic activities of the IIIT-A as an Honorary Professor for a period of five years; the period may, however, be extended for a period of another five year only once.

(2) The Head of the Division/ Department concerned, in consultation with his colleagues in the Division or the Dean (Academic) may propose to the Director the appointment as Honorary Professor of a person and the Director may, after satisfying himself, recommend the appointment to the Academic Council and the appointment will be made by the Board of Management on the recommendation of the Academic Council.

(3) No person shall be appointed or continued as Honorary Professor on his attaining the age of 75 years.

(4) An Honorary Professor shall be expected to be associated with the normal academic activities of the Division to which he is attached.

He shall, however, not be a member of any Committee of the Division or of the Institute; however, if the Director feels necessary he may be co-opted as Special Invitee to any Body of the Institute.

An Honorary Professorship will carry with it no financial commitment for the Institute or responsibility for providing residential accommodation. However, free Guest House accommodation and TA/DA may be provided during visits, as per Rules of the Institute.

(E) Adjunct Honorary Professors

1. A distinguished academic who is currently on the faculty of another University/Institute or academic institution in India or abroad or active in academic life or working for IT Industry or any other relevant Industry or Government etc., and whose association with the IIIT-A would enhance the quality of the academic life of the Institute may be appointed as an adjunct Honorary Professor of the IIIT-A.


2. Such Adjunct Honorary Professors may be affiliated to one or more division of the Institute and associated with the teaching and research in these divisions particularly

when they are in Allahabad. However, they shall not be members of any Committee of the Division or of the Institute.


3. An Honorary Professorship will not carry with it any financial commitment for the Institute or the responsibility for providing residential accommodation. However, the division(s) to which they are affiliated must make every effort to facilitate their participation in the academic life of the Institute, whenever they are on campus / city. They may be provided office space, if available and be given an Guesthouse accommodation, Travel Expenses + suitable honorarium to meet their local travel expenses.

(F) Chair Professor

Chair Professorship be either created by IIIT-A or by some donor person/ organization for which conditions of donor i.e. name of Chair, emoluments (not less than Rs. 70,000/- p.m. plus allowances) etc. be decided with mutual consent of IIIT-A and the Donor.



(Prof. O.P. Vyas)
Member Secretary



(Prof. Ganesh Pandey)
Acting as Chairman



(Prof. Manindra Agarwal)
Member




(Prof. C.M. Pandey)
Member

Item No. S-7: To consider the matter of appointment of Director of IIIT, Allahabad

It has come to the notice of the Board that selection process of Director, IIIT, Allahabad is being handled by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of Higher Education, New Delhi. The process from issuing of the advertisement, receiving the applications, screening of applications, conducting the meetings of the experts and at the end finalization of panel of the persons to be considered for appointment as a Director are handled by MHRD. The Board has taken a serious view of this process as under section 13 of the new MOA and Rules of IIIT-A Society, the Chancellor is the appointing authority of the Director. Therefore, all the processes related to selections are mandatorily to be handled by the Chancellor. In case of Central Universities the President of India is the appointing authority of the Vice Chancellor. However, the President had designated one Joint Secretary level person in the MHRD to process on his behalf. Similar process would have been followed in the instant as well and Deputy Registrar level of Officer of IIIT-A would have been attached with Chancellor for the selection process. More so the Chancellor has not delegated any authority to MHRD for conducting the Selection process of the Director.

After elaborate discussions it was decided that MHRD must immediately stop further processing of the Selection process and Chancellor be handed over the work of Selection of the Director, IIIT, Allahabad afresh.


During discussion of this issue Dr. M.D.Tiwari, the present Director has been asked to leave the Meeting and the Meeting was presided over by Prof. Ganesh Pandey.



Prof. O.P. Vyas
Member Secretary, BOM



Prof. Manindra Agarwal
Member, BOM



Prof. Ganesh Pandey
Acting as Chairman, BOM



Prof. C.M. Pandey
Member, BOM

Item No. S-8: To approve Terms and Conditions for appointment of Distinguished Fellows / Professors

Distinguished Fellows / Professors

- (1) Eminent scholars who have made outstanding contributions in one or more fields of study covered at the IIIT-A or Academic Administrators or outstanding teaching professionals may, with the approval of the Board of Management, be invited as Distinguished Fellow / Professor at campus of the Institute in recognition of their pioneering contributions in Science / Technology and / or Institution Building. The idea is to utilize their expertise and vast experience of these outstanding personalities for new research initiatives in the areas of their expertise, or Institution building.

- (2) The Award shall be made only to Indians working or having worked in some recognized research Institutes / Universities in India.

- (3) The Distinguished Fellowship / Professorship shall carry a fixed pay of Rs. 80,000/- per month plus admissible allowances. Pensionary benefits to him/her shall continue as per Government of India rules. A sum of Rs. 1,00,000/ per annum for contingencies, will also be provided, in addition to travel expenses incurred for academic meets and any other official assignment for the development of IIIT-A.

There shall be provision for appointment of two Research Associates to assist the Distinguished Fellow / Professor, by the Institute.

- (4) IIIT-A will provide working facilities, e.g research facilities, secretarial assistance, telephone facility etc. and accommodation on campus or in Allahabad city as per eligibility, Office Space, laboratory, and a Vehicle by the Institute will also be provided to such Distinguished Fellow / Professor.

- (5) The age limit will be 60 to 75 years with the possibility of an odd exception in certain cases. The invitee can be a Professor or Scientist of Eminence in his area of research or an outstanding academic, from IIIT-A or other Universities, with proven record as performer, creator and an Institution builder or a Director or Head of any academic Institute, and promoting on-campus research activity and development would be one of his key priorities.

- (6) A Distinguished Fellow / Professor will get all the required facilities for initiating new research projects and running his ongoing research projects, if any and will help the IIIT-A and provide important role in development of its campus at Jhalwa and Amethi campus or any other new campus alongwith organization of Science Conclave (Noble Laureates Conclave) as decided by the Institute or Government. The Board will invite such person(s) to work as Distinguished Fellow / Professors.


- (7) Indians, who have made immense contribution to various fields and have settled abroad, or any foreign academician may also be invited for specific period as an exception.

- (8) The Distinguished Fellow / Professorship is tenable for five years, which may be extended in exceptional cases for another term of five years based on his / her contribution.
- (9) The Total number of Fellows / Professorship shall not exceed five at any given time, however, to begin with two, in order to develop IIIT-A and its campus at Amethi and make efforts to convert RGIIIT into an Autonomous Degree Awarding Institution as mandated by the Government of India.
- (10) In case any such person, who is in regular employment (not contractual), is appointed as Distinguished Fellow / Professor, the offer will be valid for one year from the date on which offer is made by the Institute. However, if he / she accepts while in employment, during that period he / she will remain in honorary basis, and will not get any salary for that period, though he / she may avail other facilities in order to facilitate his research and other academic work in the Institute.
- (11) The Intellectual Property Right arising out of the work of such Professor will governed by the norms of IIIT-A.

After expiry of Fellowship / Professorship, he / she shall also present a comprehensive report in the form of a review article for publication in Institute's Annual Report.



(Prof. O.P. Vyas)
Member Secretary




(Prof. Ganesh Pandey)
Acting as Chairman



(Prof. Manindra Agarwal)
Member



(Prof. C.M. Pandey)
Member



INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD

(A University Established under Sec.3 of UGC Act, 1956)
vide Notification No. F.9-4/99-U.3 dated 4/8/2000 of the Govt. of India)
Deoghat Jhalwa, Allahabad - 211 012 (U.P.), India
Ph: 0532-2922025, 2922067; Fax: 0532-2430006, 2431689, 2922144;
Web: www.iita.ac.in; E-mail: contact@iita.ac.in

Ann. K-1

Minutes of the 7th Meeting of the Board of Management

The 7th Meeting of the Board of Management of the Institute was held at 11:00 a.m. on July 20, 2013 at Visitor's Hostel, IIIT-A, New Delhi.

The following were present in the Meeting:

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1. | Dr. M.D. Tiwari
Director, IIIT-A | Chairperson |
| 2. | Prof. Ganesh Pandey
FNA, FNASc, FASc
Director, CBMR, SGPGIMS, Lucknow | Member |
| 3. | Prof. C.M. Pandey
<u>Representing</u>
Prof. R.K. Sharma
Director, SGPGIMS, Lucknow | Member |
| 4. | Professor R.K. Shyamasundar
FIEEE, FACM
Senior Professor & JC Bose National Fellow, Faculty of Technology &
Computer Science, TIFR, Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai | Member |
| 5. | Prof. Manindra Agarwal
Dean, Resource Planning & Generation & N Rama Rao Chair
Professor, Dept. of CSE, IIT Kanpur | Member |
| 6. | Prof. G.C. Nandi
Dean (Academic), IIIT-A | Member |
| 7. | Prof. B.R. Singh
Professor, IIIT-A | Member |
| 8. | Prof. Anupam Agarwal
Professor, IIIT-A | Member |
| 9. | Prof. O.P. Vyas
Dean (R&D), IIIT-A | Member
Secretary |

After initial general remarks, the Agenda Items were taken up.

ITEM NO. 1

To confirm the Minutes of the 6th Meeting of Board of Management held on March 23, 2013

The Minutes of the 6th Meeting of Board of Management held on March 23, 2013 were perused by the Hon'ble Members and after due perusal, the same were confirmed.

ITEM NO. 2

To receive the Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the Board of Management held on March 23, 2013

The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the Board held on March 23, 2013 was perused by the Board item by item. In respect of Agenda Item No. 13 (S-IV), it was remarked that the provision of emoluments for "Emeritus Professor" be also incorporated.

With this remark, the Action Taken Report was received by the Board.

ITEM NO. 3

To receive the Minutes of the 29th Meeting of Finance Committee held on July 20, 2013

The 29th Meeting of the Finance Committee was held jointly with the 7th Meeting of the Board of Management on July 20, 2013. As such, although decision in respect of the agenda items were taken jointly by Finance Committee of the Board, but the formal Minutes could not be presented for approval the same day.

The Minutes of the 29th Meeting of the Finance Committee as above would be placed in the next Meeting of the Board.

ITEM NO. 4

To receive the Minutes of 4th Meeting of Academic Council held on July 16, 2013

The salient features of the Minutes of 4th Meeting of the Academic Council along with the full Minutes were perused by the Board item-wise. Prof. R.K. Shyamasundar suggested periodic assessment of newly introduced programs and organizing Awareness Lectures in related fields.

After due perusal, the Minutes of the 4th Meeting of the Academic Council were received by the Board.

ITEM NO. 5

To receive the Minutes of the 27th Meeting of the Building & Works Committee held on July 9, 2013

The Minutes of the 27th Meeting of the BWC held on July 9, 2013 were perused by the Board item-wise.

After due perusal, the Minutes of the above Meeting of BWC were received.

ITEM NO. 6

To consider, approve and adopt the Balance Sheet of the Institute as on March 31, 2013 for submission to the AGUP for Audit

The Board considered the Balance Sheet of the Institute as on March 31, 2013 threadbare. After due consideration, the above Balance Sheet of the Institute as on March 31, 2013 was approved and adopted by the Board.

ITEM NO. 7

To receive the submission of Annual Report of the year 2011-2012 and Annual Audited Accounts of the Institute for the year 2011-2012 and Balance Sheet as on March 31, 2012 to the Govt. for laying on the Tables of both Houses of Parliament

The Annual Report of the Institute for the year 2011-2012 and the Annual Audited Accounts of the Institute that were earlier approved by the Finance Committee and the Board were submitted to the Govt. for placing on the tables of both houses of Parliament.

The Board apprised itself of the submission of these documents for the purpose to the Govt.

ITEM NO. 8

To receive and be apprised of the advertisement for the 6th Science Conclave and INSPIRE Internship Program 2013 during December 08-14, 2013 and Budget Estimates for the same

The Board had earlier approved the holding of the 6th Science Conclave during Dec 08-14, 2013 and had also perused the preparedness therefor of the Institute.

Now, by way of follow-up action, the Institute has advertised invitation for application by the intending participants in the newspapers and has also submitted the Budget for the Sixth Science Conclave to the Govt. for approval.

While discussing the future of Science Conclave, it was brought to the notice of the Board that Ministry of Human Resource Development has constituted a High Level Expert Committee for Operationalisation of the Nobel Laureates Conclave under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Prof. Goverdhan Mehta with Members as Dr. T. Ramasami, Secretary, DST, Prof. Indranil Manna, Director, IIT, Kanpur, Prof. Devang V. Khakhar, Director, IIT, Mumbai, Prof. Vinod K. Singh, Director, IISER, Bhopal, Dr. M.D. Tiwari, Director, IIIT, Allahabad and Sri Alok Mishra, Director (HE), MHRD.

The first Meeting of the Committee had been convened on July 18, 2013 at IIC, New Delhi. The major decision was that: There should be a National Advisory Committee in order to bring in wider and diverse inputs in planning, organization and directions of the Conclaves. The Venue of the Conclave can be at IIIT, Allahabad for the present and it can be a mandate of IIIT, Allahabad. However, the option to rotate it to other venues should be explored. After final approval of the Minutes it will be brought in the notice of the Hon'ble Members of the Board.

ITEM NO. 9

To consider and approve appointment of widow of Late Rahul Raman Srivastava, a support staff working at RGIIT-Amethi who met his accidental death in harness

The case of appointment of the widow of Late Rahul Raman Srivastava, Sr. Executive Assistant of the Institute who died in harness was considered by the Board in the light of the Report submitted by a Committee constituted for the same by the Director.

After due consideration, the Board resolved that in view of the facts reported in the Minutes of the Committee constituted for the purpose, legal opinion may be obtained before the appointment.

ITEM NO. 10

To consider and approve reimbursement of Membership Fee of Faculty Members for different academic societies

The Board considered the case of reimbursement of Membership Fee of Faculty Members of Academic Societies and other academic organizations to the Members of the Faculty in view of the earlier decisions of the Board approving such reimbursements.

After due consideration, the Board approved reimbursement of reasonable Membership Fees under the Professional Development Allowance Scheme as provisioned by the 6th Pay Commission.

ITEM NO. 11

To consider the case of misuse of LTC by Dr. Neetesh Purohit for disciplinary action, if any, against him

The Board observed that proper advisory should be issued to Dr. Neetesh Purohit for not observing the LTC Rules to be careful in future. It was also suggested to issue a general circular to all concerned for compliance of rules in the matter.

ITEM NO. 12

To consider and approve selections on the posts of Academic Staff

The Board apprised itself with the issue of appointment letters and joining of the selectees of the academic positions as per details presented before it in compliance to the standing order passed by the erstwhile Board of Governors and ratified / recreated by itself in the 6th Meeting held on March 23, 2013 and approved the same.

Although, the Board of Management in its Meeting held on March 23, 2013 authorized the Director to make selections of the faculty members and issue appointment letters to the selected ones, however, during discussions the Board of Management showed the concern about nomination of Experts for the Selection Committee by the Board as was in practice since time of Hon'ble Prof. M.G.K. Menon, Former Chairman of Board of Governors.

After thorough discussions it was decided that the Institute should send the probable large list of experts in various disciplines. Out of it the BOM will approve the names of experts and this panel be maintained for future use for the Selection Committee. The statutory observers/experts as SC/ST/OBC and Minority (Muslim) may be experts or they may be just observer whether reservation policy is being followed as per Govt. of India notifications in this regard.

It was also decided that the vacant positions of the faculty members may be advertised at the earliest. The faculty members selected on April 6, 2013 and appointed be asked for their Performance Appraisal alongwith other faculty members on the date of meeting of the selection of faculty members against the advertisement. It was brought in the notice of the Board that the Meeting of the Performance Appraisal Committee of the faculty members including recruits on April 6, 2013 has been fixed for July 27-28, 2013. However, it was agreed that some more time may be given to them and the latter option may be opted for their Performance Appraisal. It was also decided that a copy of the approved list of experts

by Board of Management alongwith list of selected faculty members may be sent to Hon'ble Chancellor of the Institute as well for his kind information.

ITEM NO. 13

Any other matter with the permission of the Chair

- 13 (a) Some of the esteemed members apprised the Board that various Faculty members of IIIT-A are sending their grievances to the members directly whereas it was decided in the 5th Meeting of Board of Management that persons aggrieved will firstly represent their grievances to the Grievance Redressal Committee for disposal and if not satisfied even then by order of the Competent Authority thereon, then they may appeal to the Board in terms of Section - 17 of Recruitment and Service Rules. It was further decided in 5th BOM that the appeal so filed to the Board may be referred to Sub-Committee of the Board comprising Prof. R.K. Shyamasundar, Prof. Manindra Agarwal and Prof. Ganesh Pandey - Hon'ble Members of the Board that will examine the matter and will place it before the Board for final decision.

The Board desired that Prof. O.P. Vyas - Member Secretary (BOM) would Coordinate the Grievance Redressal and he would process and place all such matters before Sub-Committee of the Board for its justified disposal. It was decided that a communiqué in this regard be made by the Institute to all concerned.

- 13 (b) The Board of Management perused the MOA and Rules adopted by IIIT-A. The revised MOA and Rules of the IIIT-A Society as per UGC Regulations 2010 that were judiciously considered and unanimously adopted by the IIIT-A Society in its Meeting held on 15.11.2011 and got registered on 26.11.2011 under the Societies Registration Act 1860 may be relooked. Any provision of UGC Regulations 2010 if not adopted may be placed before the IIIT-A Society for appropriate action. The provision 13.1 (ii) be modified as under:

Present:

"Provided that notwithstanding the expiry of the period of 5 years, he / she may continue in office for not more than six months or till his / her successor is appointed and the latter assumes office, whichever is later".

Modified one:

"Provided that notwithstanding the expiry period of 5 years, he / she may continue in office for not more than six months or till his / her successor is appointed and assumes office of the Director, whichever is later".

The Meeting of the IIIT-A Society be called for the modification and adoption of other items, if any.

The Meeting ended with a Vote of Thanks to the Chair.



(Dr. M.D. Tiwari)
Chairman

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Vrijendra Singh as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013																							
Appointed As	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013 /Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement		Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks															
				On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)	Experience																		
Associate Professor, Management	(Thesis: ICA Applications in Signal Processing and Artificial Neural Networks) Enrolled in the year 2003 & completed on 14.05.2009 From Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Agra	(First Class degree of M.Sc. in Physics with Specialization in Computer Science)	High School- 71.8% Inter- 77.4% B.Sc.- 79.9% (Year 2000 from MLK College, Balrampur) M.Sc. - 74.6% (Year 2002 from Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Agra)	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required 8 Years	Having as mentioned in application 10 Years 9 months 30 days	<table><tr><th>Sl No.</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>IIT Kanpur (Project Associate) Pay: Rs. 7800/- p.m.</td><td>23.04.02 to 30.09.03</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>IIT Kanpur (Sr. Project Associate) Pay: Rs. 11000/- p.m.</td><td>01.10.03 to 06.06.05</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>IIT-Allahabad (Faculty Associate/ Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td><td>09.06.05 to 05.08.09</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-</td><td>06.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl No.	Employer	Period	1.	IIT Kanpur (Project Associate) Pay: Rs. 7800/- p.m.	23.04.02 to 30.09.03	2.	IIT Kanpur (Sr. Project Associate) Pay: Rs. 11000/- p.m.	01.10.03 to 06.06.05	3.	IIT-Allahabad (Faculty Associate/ Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	09.06.05 to 05.08.09	4.	IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	06.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Vrijendra Singh' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	Reverted back to the post of Assistant Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014. However, appointed as Associate Professor against a fresh advertisement in 2015-16. (Joining Date of Associate Professor 9.11.15)
				Sl No.	Employer		Period																
				1.	IIT Kanpur (Project Associate) Pay: Rs. 7800/- p.m.		23.04.02 to 30.09.03																
				2.	IIT Kanpur (Sr. Project Associate) Pay: Rs. 11000/- p.m.		01.10.03 to 06.06.05																
				3.	IIT-Allahabad (Faculty Associate/ Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200		09.06.05 to 05.08.09																
4.	IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	06.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)																					
Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above 3 years or above	Having																						
Asstt. Prof.	3 Years 6 Month 19 Day																						
Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total	4 Years 1 Month 27 Days 7 Years 8 Months 16 Days																						

Ann. L-1

Dr. Vrijendra Singh
Checked & Verified By

Dr. Vrijendra Singh
Prepared By

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)			
Associate Professor, IT	(Investigations of Dust from Selected Comets) Enrolled in the year 1993 & Completed in 2001 From Indian Institute of Astrophysics & Bangalore University [Date and Month not mentioned in Degree Certificate]	(First Class degree of M.Sc. in Physics)	High School- Pass Inter- Pass B.Sc.- Marks not awarded (Year 1989 from Sri Aribando International Centre of Education, Pondicherry) M.Sc. - 68% (Year 1992 from IIT Kanpur)	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required 8 Years Having as mentioned in application 11 Years 28 days	Sl. No. Employer Period 1. IIA, Bangalore Post Doctoral Fellow on a ISRO respond project Pay: Rs. 8000/- p.m. fixed Feb' 01 to Oct'02 2. IUCAA Post doctorate fellowship Pay: Rs. 15000/- p.m. fixed Oct'02 to Apr'04 3. Assam University, Silchar (Lecturer, Asstt. Prof.) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500 26.04.04 to 23.04.07 3. IIIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000 -325-15200 24.04.07 to 05.08.09 4. IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 12000 - 420- 18300 06.08.09 till date (25.02.13)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Pavan Chakraborty- His lien as Assistant Professor will be maintained as he is recommended for the post of Associate Professor upto next appraisal. In case his contributions are satisfactory he will continue on the post of Associate Professor'	Reverted back to the post of Assistant Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014. However, appointed as Associate Professor against a fresh advertisement in 2015-16. (Joining Date of Associate Professor 9.11.15)

Checked & Verified By

Appointed As	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013 / Category	First Class or equivalent in the preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement		Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks																					
				On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)	Experience																								
Associate Professor Management	(Thesis Title: Study of select issues in global supply chain in India)	(First Class degree of M.Tech. in Industrial Management)	High School- 66.8% Inter- 47.88% B.Sc. (Engg)- 68.8% (Year 1997 from B.C.E. Bhagalpur) M.Tech - 8.67 CGPA (Year 2000 from I.T. B.H.U.)	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</th> <th>Having as mentioned in application</th> </tr> <tr> <td>8 Years</td> <td>12 years 6 months 16 days</td> </tr> </table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	8 Years	12 years 6 months 16 days	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Employer</th> <th>Period</th> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>CITM Faridabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500</td> <td>27.07.00 to 31.07.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>CITM Faridabad (Sr. Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td> <td>01.08.03 to 31.07.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>CITM Faridabad (Asstt. Prof.) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300</td> <td>01.08.05 to 29.05.07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Asia Pacific Institute of Management, Delhi (Asst. Prof.) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300</td> <td>07.06.07 To 29.08.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Delhi College of Engineering (Asst. Prof.) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 +AGP 6000</td> <td>01.09.08 till date (25.02.2013)</td> </tr> </table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	CITM Faridabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	27.07.00 to 31.07.03	2.	CITM Faridabad (Sr. Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	01.08.03 to 31.07.05	3.	CITM Faridabad (Asstt. Prof.) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300	01.08.05 to 29.05.07	4.	Asia Pacific Institute of Management, Delhi (Asst. Prof.) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300	07.06.07 To 29.08.08	5.	Delhi College of Engineering (Asst. Prof.) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 +AGP 6000	01.09.08 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Pravin Kumar' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	1. IIT- A offered him alongwith other such appointees for a contractual appointment as 'Faculty on Contract' on a monthly consolidated Honorarium of Rs. 90,000/- keeping in view of both his request and the then ongoing semester requirements of the Institute. 2. He accepted the offer with the condition to prejudice his right to challenge the termination order dated: 26.03.2014 3. He resigned from his adhoc services on 23.06.2014 and joined back at DTU, Delhi
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																												
8 Years	12 years 6 months 16 days																												
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																											
1.	CITM Faridabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	27.07.00 to 31.07.03																											
2.	CITM Faridabad (Sr. Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	01.08.03 to 31.07.05																											
3.	CITM Faridabad (Asstt. Prof.) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300	01.08.05 to 29.05.07																											
4.	Asia Pacific Institute of Management, Delhi (Asst. Prof.) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300	07.06.07 To 29.08.08																											
5.	Delhi College of Engineering (Asst. Prof.) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 +AGP 6000	01.09.08 till date (25.02.2013)																											

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Ranjit Singh as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement		Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks									
				On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)	Experience												
Associate Professor Management	(Business Administration) Enrolled in 2005 completed on 27.09.2008 From Dibrugarh University	(First Class degree of M.Com and Company Secretary (Inter) Course with 54%)	High School- 74.22%, Inter- 68%, B.Com (Hons)- 65.1%, (Year 2000 from Dibrugarh Univ.), M.Com -71.5% (Year 2002 from Dibrugarh Univ.), Company Secretary -54% (Inter) (Year 2001 from The Institute of Company Secretaries of India), Faculty Development Program in Management from IIM Ahmedabad from Oct 31, 2006 to Feb' 17 to 2007	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	<table><tr><th>Sl. No</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh (Assistant Professor) Pay : Rs. 15,200/- consolidated</td><td>26.12.03 to 15.11.08</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>Assam University, Silchar (Assistant Professor) Gross: 58,500/- Scale: 21960 + AGP 7000/-</td><td>17.11.08 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No	Employer	Period	1.	Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh (Assistant Professor) Pay : Rs. 15,200/- consolidated	26.12.03 to 15.11.08	2.	Assam University, Silchar (Assistant Professor) Gross: 58,500/- Scale: 21960 + AGP 7000/-	17.11.08 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Ranjit Singh' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	1. IIT- A offered him alongwith other such appointees for a contractual appointment as 'Faculty on Contract' on a monthly consolidated Honorarium of Rs. 90,000/- keeping in view of both his request and the then ongoing semester requirements of the Institute. 2. He accepted the offer with the condition to prejudice his right to challenge the termination order dated: 26.03.2014. 3. His adhoc services were terminated by the Institute vide letter dated: 16.07.2014 4. Now working with Assam University
				Sl. No	Employer		Period										
				1.	Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh (Assistant Professor) Pay : Rs. 15,200/- consolidated		26.12.03 to 15.11.08										
2.	Assam University, Silchar (Assistant Professor) Gross: 58,500/- Scale: 21960 + AGP 7000/-	17.11.08 till date (25.02.2013)															
8 Years	9 years 1 month 29 days																
Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	4 years 3 months 8 days																

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

[Signature]

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Sonali Agarwal as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Applied for	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement			Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks															
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout																		
Associate Professor	(Information Technology) Enrolled in 2004 completed on 06.08.2011 From IIT-Allahabad	(First Class degree of M.E. in Computer Science & Engineering in the year 2000)	High School- 78.3% Inter- 69.62% B.E- 68.48% (Year 1997 from Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur) M.E.- 70.21% (Year 2000 from A.U.)	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</th> <th>Having as mentioned in application</th> </tr> <tr> <td>8 Years</td> <td>9 Years 7 months 15 days</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above</td> <td>Having</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 years or above</td> <td> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Asstt. Prof.</td> <td>3 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total</td> <td>6 Years 7 Months 15 Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>9 Years 7 months 15 days</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	8 Years	9 Years 7 months 15 days	Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	Having	3 years or above	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Asstt. Prof.</td> <td>3 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total</td> <td>6 Years 7 Months 15 Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>9 Years 7 months 15 days</td> </tr> </table>	Asstt. Prof.	3 Years	Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total	6 Years 7 Months 15 Days		9 Years 7 months 15 days	06.04.2013 'Dr. Sonali Agarwal: Not promoted/ Selected for Associate Professor, however she be given two increments over and above on her basic pay (present).'	Her increments were cancelled on 26.03.2014.	
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																				
8 Years	9 Years 7 months 15 days																				
Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	Having																				
3 years or above	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Asstt. Prof.</td> <td>3 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total</td> <td>6 Years 7 Months 15 Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>9 Years 7 months 15 days</td> </tr> </table>	Asstt. Prof.	3 Years	Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total	6 Years 7 Months 15 Days		9 Years 7 months 15 days														
Asstt. Prof.	3 Years																				
Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor Total	6 Years 7 Months 15 Days																				
	9 Years 7 months 15 days																				
				<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Employer</th> <th>Period</th> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Lecturer (BBS College of Engrg. & Tech.) Pay Scale: Not given</td> <td>02.12.02 to 17.07.07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Asstt. Prof. (BBS College of Engrg. & Tech.) Pay Scale: Not given</td> <td>18.07.07 to 02.03.09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>IIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td> <td>07.10.09 to 07.10.11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-</td> <td>07.10.11 till date (25.02.2013)</td> </tr> </table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	Lecturer (BBS College of Engrg. & Tech.) Pay Scale: Not given	02.12.02 to 17.07.07	2.	Asstt. Prof. (BBS College of Engrg. & Tech.) Pay Scale: Not given	18.07.07 to 02.03.09	3.	IIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	07.10.09 to 07.10.11	4.	IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	07.10.11 till date (25.02.2013)		
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																			
1.	Lecturer (BBS College of Engrg. & Tech.) Pay Scale: Not given	02.12.02 to 17.07.07																			
2.	Asstt. Prof. (BBS College of Engrg. & Tech.) Pay Scale: Not given	18.07.07 to 02.03.09																			
3.	IIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	07.10.09 to 07.10.11																			
4.	IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	07.10.11 till date (25.02.2013)																			

Sonali Agarwal

Prepared By

Sonali Agarwal
Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement					Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks													
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Experience On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)																	
Assistant Professor, IT	(Thesis Title: Multi Criteria Decision Making Techniques for Engineering And Management Problems) Enrolled in the year 2004 & completed on 10.08.2009 From IIT Roorkee [Viva Voce on 10.08.2009] * Date of enrollment not available.	(Degree of M.Sc. in Industrial Mathematics and Informatics with 7.61/10 CGPI)	High School- 75.6% Inter- 67.6% B.Sc- 65.27% Year 2000 from VBS Poorvanchal Univ. M.Sc. – 7.6/10 (Year 2004 from IIT Roorkee)	<table><tr><td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td><td>Having</td></tr><tr><td>3 years or above</td><td>3 years 6 months 4 days</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td colspan="2">Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)</td></tr><tr><td>3 years 6 months 4 days</td><td></td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having	3 years or above	3 years 6 months 4 days	Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)		3 years 6 months 4 days		<table><tr><td>Sl. No</td><td>Employer</td><td>Period</td></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>IIT- Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td><td>21.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No	Employer	Period	1.	IIT- Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	21.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Krishna Pratap Singh' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	IIT- A offered him alongwith other such appointees for a contractual appointment as 'Faculty on Contract' on a monthly consolidated Honorarium of Rs. 60,000/- keeping in view of both his request and the then ongoing semester requirements of the Institute. 2. He accepted the offer. 3. Presently, appointed as Assistant Professor against a fresh advertisement in 2015-16. (Joining Date of Assistant Professor 10.11.15(A.N))
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having																				
3 years or above	3 years 6 months 4 days																				
Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)																					
3 years 6 months 4 days																					
Sl. No	Employer	Period																			
1.	IIT- Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	21.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)																			

[Signature]

Prepared By

[Signature]

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks																				
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)																							
Assistant Professor, Electronics	(Information Technology) Enrolled on 08.03.2011 and completed on 07.03.2014 From IIT- Allahabad [Thesis submitted on 19.02.2013 Viva-voce on 07.03.2014]	(Degree of M.Tech Instrumentation & Control with 7.67 /10 CPI)	High School- 76.6% Inter- 61.6% B.E- 71.7% (Year 1999 from Pt. Ravishankar Shukla Univ.) M.Tech – 7.67/10 CPI (Year 2004 from MNNIT Allahabad)	<table><tr><td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td><td>Having as mentioned in application</td></tr><tr><td>3 Years</td><td>8 Years 5 months 7 days</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">6 Years 5 months 18 days</td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	3 Years	8 Years 5 months 7 days	Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)		6 Years 5 months 18 days		<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>Bundelkhand Univ. (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500</td><td>18.09.04 to 25.05.06</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>CSJM Univ., Kanpur (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500</td><td>26.05.06 To 02.07.10</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>IIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-</td><td>03.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	Bundelkhand Univ. (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	18.09.04 to 25.05.06	2.	CSJM Univ., Kanpur (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	26.05.06 To 02.07.10	3.	IIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	03.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	He was offered, along with other affected faculty members, to send a formal request for consideration of adhoc appointment in the Institute but he did not turn up and filed writ petitions before Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																										
3 Years	8 Years 5 months 7 days																										
Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)																											
6 Years 5 months 18 days																											
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																									
1.	Bundelkhand Univ. (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	18.09.04 to 25.05.06																									
2.	CSJM Univ., Kanpur (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	26.05.06 To 02.07.10																									
3.	IIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	03.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)																									

Prepared By
[Signature]

Checked & Verified By
[Signature]

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Shashi Kant Rai as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks											
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent Degree in the appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Experience On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)														
Assistant Professor, Management	(Information Technology) Enrolled on 08.03.2011 and completed on 29.06.2013 From IIT- Allahabad [Thesis submitted on 20.02.2013 Viva-voce on 29.06.2013]	(First Class degree of Master of Management Science) High School- 72.4% Inter- 70.83% B.Tech (IT) – 62.64% (Year 2004 from UP Technical Univ.) MMS – 73.59% (Year 2007 from Lucknow Univ.) UGC NET (Management) in the year 2012	Having as mentioned in application <table><tr><td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td><td>3 Years</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>2 years 7 Months 21 days</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td>Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)</td><td>8 Months 4 Days</td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	3 Years		2 years 7 Months 21 days	Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)	8 Months 4 Days	<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>IIT, Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-</td><td>04.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	IIT, Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	04.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Mr. Shashi Kant Rai only Asstt. Prof. pay & perks, the designation of Asstt. Professor after completion of Ph. D Degree	He was offered, along with other affected faculty members, to send a formal request for consideration of adhoc appointment in the Institute but he did not turn up and filed writ petitions before Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	3 Years																	
	2 years 7 Months 21 days																	
Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)	8 Months 4 Days																	
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																
1.	IIT, Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	04.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)																

[Signature]

Prepared By

[Signature]
Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Saurabh Mishra as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks																										
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Experience On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)																													
Assistant Professor, Management	(Information Technology) Enrolled on 08.03.2011 and completed on 24.06.2013 From IIT-Allahabad [Thesis submitted on 21.02.2013 Viva-voce on 24.06.2013]	(First Class MBA Degree)	High School- 66.33% Inter- 60.2% B.Tech – 71.6% (Year 2003 from VBS Purvanchal University) MBA – 77.6% (Year 2006 from A.U.)	<table><tr><td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td><td>Having as mentioned in application</td></tr><tr><td>3 Years</td><td>2 years 7 Months 22 days + 4 years 1 month 10 days (Industrial)</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total: 6 Years 9 Months 2 Days</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td>Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)</td><td>4 Years 9 Months 16 Days</td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	3 Years	2 years 7 Months 22 days + 4 years 1 month 10 days (Industrial)		Total: 6 Years 9 Months 2 Days	Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)	4 Years 9 Months 16 Days	<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>BPL Technovision Pvt. Ltd. (Asstt. Manager) Pay: 2,29,248/- p.a.</td><td>15.05.06 to 13.10.08* * Actual as per documents 13.10.2008</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>Subhiksha Retail (Deputy Retail Manager) Pay: 3,50,000/- p.a.</td><td>20.10.08 To 19.01.09</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>Reliance Telecom Limited (Deputy Manager) Pay : 3,79,000/- p.a.</td><td>20.01.09 to 29.10.09</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>TTSL (Asstt. Manager) Pay Scale: 4,55,581/- p.a.</td><td>30.10.09 to 03.07.10</td></tr><tr><td>5.</td><td>IIT, Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-</td><td>03.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	BPL Technovision Pvt. Ltd. (Asstt. Manager) Pay: 2,29,248/- p.a.	15.05.06 to 13.10.08* * Actual as per documents 13.10.2008	2.	Subhiksha Retail (Deputy Retail Manager) Pay: 3,50,000/- p.a.	20.10.08 To 19.01.09	3.	Reliance Telecom Limited (Deputy Manager) Pay : 3,79,000/- p.a.	20.01.09 to 29.10.09	4.	TTSL (Asstt. Manager) Pay Scale: 4,55,581/- p.a.	30.10.09 to 03.07.10	5.	IIT, Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	03.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Mr. Saurabh Mishra only Asstt. Pof pay & perks, the designation of Asstt. Professor after completion of Ph. D Degree	He was offered, along with other affected faculty members, to send a formal request for consideration of adhoc appointment in the Institute but he did not turn up and filed writ petitions before Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																																
3 Years	2 years 7 Months 22 days + 4 years 1 month 10 days (Industrial)																																
	Total: 6 Years 9 Months 2 Days																																
Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)	4 Years 9 Months 16 Days																																
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																															
1.	BPL Technovision Pvt. Ltd. (Asstt. Manager) Pay: 2,29,248/- p.a.	15.05.06 to 13.10.08* * Actual as per documents 13.10.2008																															
2.	Subhiksha Retail (Deputy Retail Manager) Pay: 3,50,000/- p.a.	20.10.08 To 19.01.09																															
3.	Reliance Telecom Limited (Deputy Manager) Pay : 3,79,000/- p.a.	20.01.09 to 29.10.09																															
4.	TTSL (Asstt. Manager) Pay Scale: 4,55,581/- p.a.	30.10.09 to 03.07.10																															
5.	IIT, Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	03.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)																															

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

[Signature]

[Signature]

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Ranjana Vyas as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Experience On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)			
Assistant Professor (IT)	(Computer Science) Enrolled in 2004 & completed in the year 2009 From Pt. R.S. University Raipur * Date of enrollment not available. considering July'04	(First Class degree of M.C.A. while appointed as Asstt. Professor on contract for Communication Skill)	High School- Grade III (Less than 45%) Inter- 52.5% B.A (Special)- 48.7% (Year 1990 from Saurashtra Univ.) M.A. (English Lit. - 49.87% (Year 1993 from Pt. Ravishankar Shukla Univ.) MCA- 71.27% (Year 2003 from MP Bhoj Univ.)	Having Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required 3 Years 9 Years 24 days + 2 Years 5 Month 15 Days on temporary position Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D) 3 Years 1 Month 24 Days + 2 Years 5 Month 15 Days on temporary position Total: 5 Years 7 Months 9 Days	Sl. No 1. Gurukul Girls College (Asst. Prof. & Head (Computer & IT) temporary position Pay Scale: Not given 2. Research Project (Temporary Assignment) Pay Scale: Not given 3. MATS University, Raipur Pay Scale: Not given Period 16.08.01 To 31.01.04 23 days 01.02.04 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Ranjana Vyas- for Communication Skill on contract Basis on Asstt. Professor emoluments'	Faculty on fresh contract on a consolidated honorarium of Rs. 60,000/- p.m w.e.f 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014.

Note:

She was appointed as Assistant Professor (Information Technology) on contract for 3 years

325

[Signature]

Prepared By

[Signature]
Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent Degree in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement		Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks												
				On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)	Experience															
Assistant Professor, MSCLIS On contract for one year with Rs. 40,000/- p.m.	(Ph.D./ D.Phil in Faculty of Science)	(First Class degree of MSCLIS)	High School- 67% Inter- 61% B.Sc. (Maths) – 48% (Year 2003 from A.U.) MCA(Distance)- 81% (Year 2006 from Agriculture Institute, Allid.) LLB – 61% (Year 2006 from A.U.) MS CLIS- (Year 2008 with 9.02/10CGPI (From IIT-Allid.)	<table><tr><td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td><td>Having as mentioned in application</td></tr><tr><td>3 Years</td><td>4 years 7 Months 4 days</td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	3 Years	4 years 7 Months 4 days	<table><tr><td>Sl. No.</td><td>Employer</td><td>Period</td></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>Ewing Christian College (Lecturer on contract basis) Pay: 16,900/- fixed</td><td>21.07.08 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>IIT Allahabad (Visiting Faculty) Pay: Rs. 900/- per lecture</td><td>10.10.12 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	Ewing Christian College (Lecturer on contract basis) Pay: 16,900/- fixed	21.07.08 till date (25.02.2013)	2.	IIT Allahabad (Visiting Faculty) Pay: Rs. 900/- per lecture	10.10.12 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari on contract for one year at present with Rs. 40,000/- fixed(p.m.)'	1. IIT- A offered him alongwith other such appointees for a contractual appointment as 'Faculty on Contract' on a monthly consolidated Honorarium of Rs. 40,000/- keeping in view of both his request and the then ongoing semester requirements of the Institute. 2. He accepted the offer with the condition to prejudice his right to challenge the termination order dated: 26.03.2014 3. His adhoc services were later terminated by the Institute vide letter dated: 17.07.2014
	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																		
3 Years	4 years 7 Months 4 days																			
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																		
1.	Ewing Christian College (Lecturer on contract basis) Pay: 16,900/- fixed	21.07.08 till date (25.02.2013)																		
2.	IIT Allahabad (Visiting Faculty) Pay: Rs. 900/- per lecture	10.10.12 till date (25.02.2013)																		
	* Date of enrollment not available. considering July'08																			

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari
22/5/14

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

Dr. Lokendra Kumar Tiwari
22/5/14

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Anurika Vaish as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks																									
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Experience On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)																												
Professor, Management	(Information Technology) Enrolled in 2002 completed on 06.07.2006 From IIIT-Allahabad [In application Year of completion mentioned as 2005]	PGDBM (66.8% Year 2000) M.Com. (2 nd Div. 49.88% 27.07. 2002)	High School- 58.4%(CBSE) Inter-71.75% (ISC) B.Com- 64.31% (Year 1998 from A.U.) PGDBM- with Grade-I (Year 2000 from Institute of Productivity Management, Lucknow) M.Com (Pvt.)- 49.88% (Year 2002 from Kanpur University)	<table><tr><th>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</th><th>Having as mentioned in application</th></tr><tr><td>10 Years</td><td>10 years 10 months 1 day</td></tr><tr><td>Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above</td><td>Having 7 years 9 months 1 day</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Experience at the level of Associate Professor</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">3 Years 6 Months 19 Days</td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	10 Years	10 years 10 months 1 day	Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	Having 7 years 9 months 1 day	Experience at the level of Associate Professor		3 Years 6 Months 19 Days		<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>SMS-Varanasi (Contractual Faculty)* Pay: Rs. 6,000/- fixed IIIT Allahabad (Guest Faculty) Pay: Fixed Salary, Amount not given</td><td>01.01.01 to 31.03.01</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>IIIT Allahabad</td><td>23.07.02 to 23.05.05</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300</td><td>24.05.05 to 05.08.09</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>IIIT-Allahabad (Associate Professor) Pay Scale: 37400-67000 + AGP 9500</td><td>06.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	SMS-Varanasi (Contractual Faculty)* Pay: Rs. 6,000/- fixed IIIT Allahabad (Guest Faculty) Pay: Fixed Salary, Amount not given	01.01.01 to 31.03.01	2.	IIIT Allahabad	23.07.02 to 23.05.05	3.	IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300	24.05.05 to 05.08.09	4.	IIIT-Allahabad (Associate Professor) Pay Scale: 37400-67000 + AGP 9500	06.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Anurika Vaish as a Professor'	Reverted back to the post of Associate Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																															
10 Years	10 years 10 months 1 day																															
Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	Having 7 years 9 months 1 day																															
Experience at the level of Associate Professor																																
3 Years 6 Months 19 Days																																
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																														
1.	SMS-Varanasi (Contractual Faculty)* Pay: Rs. 6,000/- fixed IIIT Allahabad (Guest Faculty) Pay: Fixed Salary, Amount not given	01.01.01 to 31.03.01																														
2.	IIIT Allahabad	23.07.02 to 23.05.05																														
3.	IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 12000-420-18300	24.05.05 to 05.08.09																														
4.	IIIT-Allahabad (Associate Professor) Pay Scale: 37400-67000 + AGP 9500	06.08.09 till date (25.02.2013)																														

[Signature]

Prepared By

[Signature]

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Abhishek Vaish as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement		Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks															
				On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)	Experience																		
Associate Professor, MSCLIS	(Information Technology) Enrolled in 2007 & completed on 29.05.2010 From IIIT-Allahabad	(Degree of MSCLIS with CGPI: 9.86)	High School-51% Inter- 57% B.Com- 60.7% (Year 2002 from Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut) M.Com.(Pvt.) 50.7% (Year 2004 from Kanpur University) MSCLIS- CGPI 9.86 (from IIIT-A)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td> <td>8 Years</td> <td>Having as mentioned in application</td> <td>6 Years 9 months 12 days</td> </tr> </table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	8 Years	Having as mentioned in application	6 Years 9 months 12 days	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Sl. No.</td> <td>Employer</td> <td>Period</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Wipro Ltd. (Associate Consultant) Pay: Rs. 3.5 lakhs p.a.</td> <td>11.05.06 to 07.05.07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>IIIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td> <td>09.05.07 to 05.06.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-</td> <td>06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)</td> </tr> </table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	Wipro Ltd. (Associate Consultant) Pay: Rs. 3.5 lakhs p.a.	11.05.06 to 07.05.07	2.	IIIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	09.05.07 to 05.06.10	3.	IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Abhishek Vaish' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	1. Reverted back to the post of Assistant Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014. 2. Working as Scientific Attachee in the Embassy of India, Moscow on long leave w.e.f. 23.11.15 to 22.11.18
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	8 Years	Having as mentioned in application	6 Years 9 months 12 days																				
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																					
1.	Wipro Ltd. (Associate Consultant) Pay: Rs. 3.5 lakhs p.a.	11.05.06 to 07.05.07																					
2.	IIIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	09.05.07 to 05.06.10																					
3.	IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)																					

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Vijayshri Tewari as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks																													
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)																																
Associate Professor, Management	(Information Technology) Enrolled in 2004 completed on 06.01.2011 From IIIT-Allahabad [As per application date of completion Year 2010]	(First Class degree of M. Psy. HRDM)	High School- 62.5% Inter- 76.4% B.Com- 57.21% (Year 2001 from A.U) M.Psy(Human Resource Development & Management) 69.35% (Year 2003 from A.U.)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td> <td>Having as mentioned in application</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8 Years</td> <td>9 Years 6 months 4 days</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above</td> <td>Having</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 years or above</td> <td> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Asstt. Prof.</td> <td>2 Years 8 Month 19 Day</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor</td> <td>5 Years 12 Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>7 Years 9 Months 1 Day</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	8 Years	9 Years 6 months 4 days	Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	Having	3 years or above	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Asstt. Prof.</td> <td>2 Years 8 Month 19 Day</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor</td> <td>5 Years 12 Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>7 Years 9 Months 1 Day</td> </tr> </table>	Asstt. Prof.	2 Years 8 Month 19 Day	Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor	5 Years 12 Days	Total	7 Years 9 Months 1 Day	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Employer</th> <th>Period</th> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>All India Univ. (Dept. of Psychology) (Teaching Associate per lecture basis)</td> <td>July '03 to May '04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>IIIT Allahabad (Faculty Associate) Pay: Rs. 15000/- p.m. fixed</td> <td>21.07.04 to 23.05.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>IIIT-Allahabad (Faculty Associate/ Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td> <td>24.05.05 to 05.06.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-</td> <td>06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)</td> </tr> </table> Note: During Opportunity of hearing on 12.05.2017, she has submitted an experience certificate of Management Trainee in Ambuja Cement during 01.04.01 to 30.09.01. However, this was not given with the application.	Sl. No	Employer	Period	1.	All India Univ. (Dept. of Psychology) (Teaching Associate per lecture basis)	July '03 to May '04	2.	IIIT Allahabad (Faculty Associate) Pay: Rs. 15000/- p.m. fixed	21.07.04 to 23.05.05	3.	IIIT-Allahabad (Faculty Associate/ Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	24.05.05 to 05.06.10	4.	IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Vijayshri Tewari- Advised to focus in one/two areas only. At present she is recommended for Associate Professorship till coming (one year) performance appraisal. If satisfactory she will continue as Associate Professor/ Her lien on Asst Professor will be maintained by IIIT.'	Reverted back to the post of Assistant Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																																			
8 Years	9 Years 6 months 4 days																																			
Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above	Having																																			
3 years or above	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Asstt. Prof.</td> <td>2 Years 8 Month 19 Day</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor</td> <td>5 Years 12 Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>7 Years 9 Months 1 Day</td> </tr> </table>	Asstt. Prof.	2 Years 8 Month 19 Day	Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor	5 Years 12 Days	Total	7 Years 9 Months 1 Day																													
Asstt. Prof.	2 Years 8 Month 19 Day																																			
Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor	5 Years 12 Days																																			
Total	7 Years 9 Months 1 Day																																			
Sl. No	Employer	Period																																		
1.	All India Univ. (Dept. of Psychology) (Teaching Associate per lecture basis)	July '03 to May '04																																		
2.	IIIT Allahabad (Faculty Associate) Pay: Rs. 15000/- p.m. fixed	21.07.04 to 23.05.05																																		
3.	IIIT-Allahabad (Faculty Associate/ Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	24.05.05 to 05.06.10																																		
4.	IIIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)																																		

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Sanjai Singh as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)			
Associate Professor, Electronics	(D.Phil in Science) Enrolled in 1999 completed on 11.06.2005 From Allahabad University	(First Class degree of M.Sc. in Physics)	High School- 73.5% Inter- 65.2% B.Sc- 60.8% (Year 1995 from A.U.) M.Sc.- 67.8% (Year 1997 from A.U.)	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required 8 Years Having as mentioned in application 7 years 6 months 24 days + *Part time 10 Months 3 Day Total: 8 Years 4 Months 27 Days	S.No 1. Employer Ishwar Saran Degree College (Part Time Lecturer) Period 17.08.00 to 20.06.01	06.04.2013 'Dr. Sanjai Singh' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	Reverted back to the post of Assistant Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014
				Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above 3 years or above Having Asstt. Prof. 2 Years 8 Month 19 Day Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor 3 Years 2 Months 20 Days Total 5 Years 11 Months 9 Days	S.No 2. Employer IIIT Allahabad (Faculty Associate) Period 01.08.05 to 15.03.07		
				Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above 3 years or above Having Asstt. Prof. 2 Years 8 Month 19 Day Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor 3 Years 2 Months 20 Days Total 5 Years 11 Months 9 Days	S.No 3. Employer IIIT -Allahabad (Lecturer) Period 16.03.07 to 05.06.10		
				Experience required at Asstt. Prof. Level or above 3 years or above Having Asstt. Prof. 2 Years 8 Month 19 Day Lecturer Equivalent to Assistant Professor 3 Years 2 Months 20 Days Total 5 Years 11 Months 9 Days	S.No 4. Employer IIIT -Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Period 06.06.10 till date (25.02.2013)		

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Manish Goswami as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement				Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks																							
Appointed As	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013/ Category	First Class or equivalent in the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout																										
Associate Professor, Electronics	Thesis Title: "Offset and Noise Cancellation Suitable for Biomedical and other Low Frequency Signal Recording" Enrolled on 17.01.06 & completed on 14.07.2012 From BIT Mesra Ranchi	(First Class degree of M.E.)	High School- 66.8% Inter- 67.4% B.E.- 68% Year 1998 from Mumbai Univ.) M.E. - 65% (Year 2002 from MNIT Jaipur)	<table><tr><th>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</th><th>Having as mentioned in application</th></tr><tr><td>8 Years</td><td>11 Years 11 months 1 day</td></tr></table>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	8 Years	11 Years 11 months 1 day	<table><tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Employer</th><th>Period</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>Atiaz Digital Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai (Asstt. Engg.) Pay Scale: Rs. 3,000+Perks</td><td>01.02.99 to 12.05.00</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>JECRC Jaipur (Lecturer & In-charge ECE Dept.) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500</td><td>01.07.02 to 30.06.05</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>BIT Mesra (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500</td><td>04.07.05 to 31.05.08</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>IIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200</td><td>01.06.08 to 06.10.11</td></tr><tr><td>5.</td><td>IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-</td><td>07.10.11 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	Atiaz Digital Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai (Asstt. Engg.) Pay Scale: Rs. 3,000+Perks	01.02.99 to 12.05.00	2.	JECRC Jaipur (Lecturer & In-charge ECE Dept.) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	01.07.02 to 30.06.05	3.	BIT Mesra (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	04.07.05 to 31.05.08	4.	IIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	01.06.08 to 06.10.11	5.	IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	07.10.11 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Manish Goswami' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	1. Reverted back to the post of Assistant Prof. on 27.03.2014 after cancellation of appointment on 26.03.2014. 2. He applied for the post of Associate Professor again in the year 2015-16 against a fresh advertisement. However, he was not selected due to lack of experience but he was given three increments on his present post of Assistant Professor in Rs. 8,000/- AGP
				Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																								
8 Years	11 Years 11 months 1 day																												
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																											
1.	Atiaz Digital Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai (Asstt. Engg.) Pay Scale: Rs. 3,000+Perks	01.02.99 to 12.05.00																											
2.	JECRC Jaipur (Lecturer & In-charge ECE Dept.) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	01.07.02 to 30.06.05																											
3.	BIT Mesra (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 8000-275-13500	04.07.05 to 31.05.08																											
4.	IIT-Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 10000-325-15200	01.06.08 to 06.10.11																											
5.	IIT-Allahabad (Assistant Professor) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 8000/-	07.10.11 till date (25.02.2013)																											

Prepared By

Checked & Verified By

Details of Educational Qualification and Experience of Dr. Triloki Pant as per the application form submitted against advt. no. FS-01/2013

Appointed As	Criteria for Appointment as per Advertisement					Experience Breakup as mentioned in application form in chronological order	Selection Committee Date of Meeting/ Comments	Remarks														
	Ph.D. on 06.04.2013 / Category	First Class or the Preceding Degree in appropriate branch	Very Good Academic Record Throughout	On the closing date of the advertisement (25.02.2013)	Experience																	
Assistant Professor, (Information Technology)	(Thesis Title: Advanced Mathematical Tools for SAR Image Analysis) Enrolled on 25.07.06 & Completed on 04.08.2012 From IIT Roorkee [Thesis submitted on 24.06.11, Defence dated: 01.11.11]	(First Class M.sc in Computer Science)	High School- 72.5% Inter- 65.6% B. Sc. – 65.6% (Year 2001 from Kumaun Univ.) M.Sc. – 77.02% (Year 2003 from GBPUA&T, Pantnagar) NET qualified in June' 04 Sub: Computer Applications in Agriculture (NET Conducted by ICAR recognized by UGC/SIR)	<table><tr><td>Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required</td><td>Having as mentioned in application</td></tr><tr><td>3 Years</td><td>3 Years 7 months 24 days</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td>Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)</td><td>2 Years 3 Months 24 Days*</td></tr></table> <i>*Calculated from date of defense</i>	Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application	3 Years	3 Years 7 months 24 days	Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)	2 Years 3 Months 24 Days*	<table><tr><td>Sl. No.</td><td>Employer</td><td>Period</td></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>Kumaun University (Teaching Personnel on Contract) Pay: Rs. 10,000/- fixed IIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-</td><td>July'05 to June'06</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td>01.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)</td></tr></table>	Sl. No.	Employer	Period	1.	Kumaun University (Teaching Personnel on Contract) Pay: Rs. 10,000/- fixed IIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	July'05 to June'06	2.		01.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)	06.04.2013 'Dr. Triloki Pant' (No comments were given by the Selection Committee, only name of the selectee has been mentioned)	He was offered, along with other affected faculty members, to send a formal request for consideration of adhoc appointment in the Institute but he did not turn up and filed writ petitions before Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.
Total Teaching/ research/ industrial/ experience required	Having as mentioned in application																					
3 Years	3 Years 7 months 24 days																					
Total Pre + Post Ph.D Experience (Excluding experience during Ph.D)	2 Years 3 Months 24 Days*																					
Sl. No.	Employer	Period																				
1.	Kumaun University (Teaching Personnel on Contract) Pay: Rs. 10,000/- fixed IIT Allahabad (Lecturer) Pay Scale: 15600-39100 + AGP 7000/-	July'05 to June'06																				
2.		01.07.10 till date (25.02.2013)																				

41.5.56
Checked & Verified By

Prepared By

**REVIEW REPORT OF THE CASES REFERRED BY
HON'BLE BOG, IIIT-ALLAHABAD ON 23.05.17**

The Hon'ble BoG IIIT-A while considering the cases of affected faculty members in compliance to the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated March 24, 2017 in respect of Civil appeal No 4406-4418 of 2017 (Arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 13914-13926 of 2016) with Civil appeal Nos. 4419-4420 of 2017 (Arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 35566-3567 of 2017) referred certain cases to the Director to look and review in consultation with Mr. Praveer Saxena, Under Secretary representing MHRD members in the Board.

Director had a consultation meeting with Mr. Praveer Saxena on 23.05.2017 at 6: 30 P.M. where the referred cases by the Board have been seen in the light of following records:

1. The Status report
2. The Minutes of 8th BoM meeting held on Feb1,2014
3. The Minutes of the 6th BoM meeting held on March 23, 2013
4. The Minutes of the 7th BoM meeting held on July 20,2013
5. Advertisement for faculty recruitment No FS-01/2013; Advts No FS-01/2012, modified Advts No FS-01(M)/2011 and FS-01/2011
6. Recruitment and Service Rules of the Institute
7. GoI orders related to 6th CPC in respect of faculty.
8. The report of the Sub-Committee constituted by BOG
9. The respective applications of the concerned faculty with respect to advertisement against 2013.
10. The publications of the concerned faculty as mentioned in the application forms.
11. The detail of experience mentioned in the application forms.

Director gathered Mr. Praveer Saxena's view points and both reached on some consensus regarding the referred cases on 23.05.2017. Subsequently the Director through telephonic conversations on couple of times, including communicating the more evolved versions of the observations over mail also, exchanged the notes, including the recent one on the late evening of 05.06.2017.

Director thanks Mr. Praveer Saxena for his consulting inputs and opinion, and accordingly Director forwards his report for placing it before BoG on 06.06.2017. which is enclosed as
Annexure -A


Prof. P. Nagabhushan
Director

Annexure-A

Sl. No.	Position	Name of Candidate	Qualification and Experience as per Advertisement	Qualifications and Experience as per Recruitment and Service Rules of IIT-A; Schedule B (See Rule 7)	Discrepancy/ Shortfall if any	Recommendation
1.	Professor	Dr. Anurika Vaish	Qualification: Minimum Ph.D. with first class or equivalent (in terms of grades, etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch, with a good academic record throughout. In addition, for Professor: 10 years teaching/research/ Industrial experience of which 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor and above	Essential Qualifications: Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch/ discipline with a very good academic record throughout. Experience and other conditions of service: Minimum 10 years Teaching/Research/ Industrial experience of which at least 4 years should be at the level of Associate Professor in IITs, IISc. Bangalore, IIMs and NITIE Mumbai at an equivalent level in any such other Indian or foreign Institutions of comparable standard.	4 years of Experience at the level of Associate Professor is the bare minimum as per Recruitment Rules whereas it was mentioned to 5 years at the level of Assistant Professor in the advertisement No FS-01/2013 instead of Associate Professor. However, the Advt NoFS-01/2012 stipulates at least 05 years experience at the level of Associate Professor. Similarly, the modified Advt NoFS-01(M)/2011 also mentioned at least 05 years experience at the level of Associate Professor.	1. The Advt. no. FS-01/2011 required 9 years teaching/research/ industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor/ Associate Professor experience. Later it was modified vide Advt No. FS-01(M)/2011 mentioned that 10 years teaching/research/ industrial experience of which at least 5 years should be at the level of Associate Professor. Similarly the Advt NoFS-01/2012 stipulates at least 05 years experience at the level of Associate Professor. However, in the advt. no. FS-01/2013 the required experience for Professor was unduly relaxed to 5 years at the level of Assistant Professor and above. It was NOT as per Recruitment Rules. 2. The total experience of Dr. Anurika Vaish at the level of Associate Professor as on 25th Feb, 2013, the closing date of Advertisement was 03 Years 6 Months 19 days. Dr Vaish was short of experience 01 year 05 months 11days at the level of Associate Professor. Hence, it is recommended not to accept the recommendations of selection committee.


 5/6/18

Sl. No.	Position	Name of Candidate	Qualification and Experience as per Advertisement	Qualifications and Experience as per Recruitment and Service Rules of IIT-A; Schedule B (See Rule 7)	Discrepancy/ Shortfall if any	Recommendation
2.	Associate Professor	Dr. Abhishek Vaish	Minimum Qualification: Ph.D. with first class or equivalent (in terms of grades etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch, with a good academic record throughout. In addition, for Associate Professor: 8 years teaching/ research/ Industrial experience of which 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor.	Essential Qualifications: Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch/ discipline with a very good academic record throughout. Experience and other conditions of service: Minimum 6 years Teaching/Research/ Industrial experience of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent.	Shortfall in Experience: 01 Year 02 Months 18 days in total experience (as per advertisement)	As per recruitment rules Minimum 6 years Teaching/Research/ Industrial experience of which at least 3 years should be at the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent is required. The bar has been raised in the advts. His total Teaching/Research/ Industrial experience is more than 06 years which is minimum required experience for Associate Professor as per Service and Recruitment Rules but he was not having 8 years experience as per Advertisement. In summary 1. The candidate satisfies the minimum requirement of 3 years at the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent as per both the advertisement as well as Recruitment Rules. 2. The candidate although satisfies the required total experience of 6 years as per Recruitment and Service Rules, he does not fulfill the minimum requirement of 8 years as per advertisement. <i>Hence, it is recommended not to accept the recommendations of selection committee.</i>

Handwritten signature and date 5/6/17

Sl. No.	Position	Name of Candidate	Qualification and Experience as per Advertisement	Qualifications and Experience as per Recruitment and Service Rules of IIT-A; Schedule B (See Rule 7)	Discrepancy/ Shortfall if any	Recommendation
3.	Associate Professor	Dr. Vijaishri Tewari	As above	As above	Shortfall in Exp: NIL	The position of Lecturer was abolished and redesignated as Assistant Professor in 6 th CPC. Her experience in the position of Lecturer is equivalent to on the position of Assistant Professor (pre revised pay scale of 10000-325-15200 and revised as 15600-39100+AGP7000). She meets the minimum qualification and experience hence RECOMMENDED for acceptance of selection committee decision.
4.	Associate Professor	Dr. Sanjai Singh	As above	As above	Shortfall in Exp: NIL	The position of Lecturer was abolished and redesignated as Assistant Professor in 6 th CPC. His experience in the position of Lecturer is equivalent to on the position of Assistant Professor (pre revised pay scale of 10000-325-15200 and revised as 15600-39100+AGP7000). He meets the minimum qualification and experience hence RECOMMENDED for acceptance of selection committee decision.

21/6/18

Sl. No.	Position	Name of Candidate	Qualification and Experience as per Advertisement	Qualifications and Experience as per Recruitment and Service Rules of IIT-A; Schedule B (See Rule 7)	Discrepancy/ Shortfall if any	Recommendation
5.	Associate Professor	Dr. Manish Goswami	As above	As above	Shortfall in Exp: NIL.	The position of Lecturer was abolished and redesignated as Assistant Professor in 6th CPC. He was in the position of Lecturer in JECRC Jaipur and BIT Mesra which is equivalent to Assistant Professor at the revised Pay scale 15600-39100 with AGP 6000. He meets the minimum qualification and experience hence. RECOMMENDED for acceptance of selection committee decision.

21/9/12
RAG

Sl. No.	Position	Name of Candidate	Qualification and Experience as per Advertisement	Qualifications and Experience as per Recruitment and Service Rules of IIIT-A; Schedule B (See Rule 7)	Discrepancy/ Shortfall if any	Recommendation
6.	Assistant Professor	Dr. Triloki Pant	Minimum Qualification: Ph.D. with first class or equivalent (in terms of grades etc.) at the preceding degree in the appropriate branch, with a good academic record throughout. In addition, for Assistant Professor: 3 years teaching/ research/ Industrial experience.	Essential Qualifications: Ph.D. with first class or equivalent grade at the preceding Degree in an appropriate branch/ discipline with a very good academic record throughout. Experience and other conditions of service: A minimum of three years teaching/ research/ professional experience, excluding the experience gained while pursuing Ph.D. Candidate should have demonstrated research capabilities in terms of publications in reputed journals and conferences.	Shortfall in Exp: Minimum teaching/research/professional experience excluding the experience gained in Ph. D is short by 08 Months 06 Days as per Recruitment and Service Rules.	He was having Minimum qualification of PhD as on 06.04.2013. His experience is short as per Recruitment & Service Rules but not as per the advertisement. He was working on the position of Lecturer on the pay Scale of 15600-39100+AGP7000 in IIITA wef 03.07.10 to the date of interview 06.04.13. <i>He is RECOMMENDED for the post of ASSISTANT PROFESSOR on the pay scale 15600-39100 AGP 7000 w.e.f 06.04.2013.</i>

21/9/13
21/9/13



भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, इलाहाबाद
(मानित विश्वविद्यालय)
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ALLAHABAD
(Deemed University)

(A centre of excellence in IT, established by Ministry of HRD, Govt. of India)

Deoghat, Jhalwa, ALLAHABAD-211011 (U.P.) INDIA

Ph. : 0532-2922000, 2922067, Fax : 0532-2430006, 2431689, Web : www.iiita.ac.in; E-mail : contact@iiita.ac.in

File.No.IIIT-A/DIR/2252/2006
Dated: 03.09.2006

Office Memo

Consequent upon approval of the Senate & Board of Governors it has been decided to re-designate the Faculty Associate as Lecturer in the pay scale of **Rs.10,000-325-15,200**. The service conditions and service benefits will remain the same as has been communicated to Faculty Associates with their letters of appointment.

sd/-
(M.D. Tiwari)
Director, IIIT- Allahabad

Copy to:-

- All concerned
- F.O./A.F.O./A.O.
- E.S. to Director
- Personal file of all concerned
- E-circular

Seema Shah
(Seema Shah)
Executive Secretary